

# The Artycraft Of GOVERNING

**An essay on Manipulation of the public mind for private power and profit.**

Revealing of mysteries whose beginnings predate history:  
this first quote is from the dreamtime of India!

Translation from: "*Indian Philosophy*" Radhakrishnan:

*"One of the leading principles of the mystics was the sacredness and secrecy of self knowledge and the true knowledge of the gods. This wisdom was, they thought, unfit for, perhaps even dangerous to, the ordinary human mind; or in any case, liable to perversion and misuse, and loss of virtue if revealed to the vulgar and unpurified spirits. Hence they favored the existence of an outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the profane."*

Machiavelli (1469-1527) puts it this way; quote:

*"One of the great secrets of the day is to know how to take possession of popular prejudices and passions in such a way as to introduce a confusion of principles which makes impossible all understanding between those who speak the same language and have the same interests."* For today, read on:

**Copyright note:** Although there are no restrictions on quotation or publication of material presented here there is a moral obligation to quote accurately and in context and to inform your audience of the source of material quoted. This, be assured, is in your own interests.

First published as "*BRAIN-WASH*" January 1992  
New Internet edition as *Globalism Brainwash* 2001  
The Truth Of Life Defence edition January 2006  
Completed for [www.gniebxbeing.org.uk](http://www.gniebxbeing.org.uk) March 2008  
Copyright @ Alan Gourley.

Earlier 'campaign' works include:

*"How to Avoid the Looming Catastrophe"*  
*"Democracy & Treason in Australia"*  
*"Assault on Childhood"*  
*"The Human Choice"*  
*"Saving the Future"*  
*"Of Truth"*

## Contents:

**Frontispiece**  
**Dedication**  
**Introduction**

<b>Ch: 1</b>	<b>ORIENTATION</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Ch: 2.</b>	<b>MANIPULATION</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Ch: 3.</b>	<b>BERNAYS: Public Relations Expert</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Ch: 4.</b>	<b>WHO REALLY GOVERNS?</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Ch: 5.</b>	<b>POLITICS</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Ch: 6.</b>	<b>EDUCATION</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Ch: 7.</b>	<b>THE SELFISH SEDUCTION</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Ch: 8.</b>	<b>SUMMARY + . . . . .</b>	<b>36</b>

**Dedication:** TO YOUR FUTURE

**Frontispiece:**

**Last verse of "Dover Beach"  
by Matthew Arnold, 1867.**

*Ah, love, let us be true  
To one another! for the world which seems  
To lie before us like a land of dreams,  
So various, so beautiful, so new,  
Hath really neither joy, nor love, nor light,  
Nor certitude, nor peace, nor help for pain;  
And we are here as on a darkling plain  
Swept with confused alarms of struggle and flight,  
Where ignorant armies clash by night.*

-----  
Those despairing words of Arnold's "Dover Beach"  
How well they speak of our own plight today;  
But fear not for the darkling ignorance brings.  
The failure of a world! The tyrant flays!  
Tomorrow yet will open doors to light  
And light of truth reveal the promise stays.  
[Comment by A. Gourley, 1991]

## INTRODUCTION

A common excuse given for not being willing to study the evidence of what is going on around us is: "I don't have time!" This is an unconscious self-insult. If we have time to live, then we have time to look at the dangers that threaten our living. People are today committing suicide just because they didn't take time to understand their world. Who do you think you are? Don't try to answer! No one today can be sure they know their true self.

Why? Well that is something we need to find out!  
If life is worth living it is worth protecting. But then perhaps you do not think life is worth living. Let's not be hasty! If you finish this book and face the evidence you may find what you thought wrong.

What has to be exposed is not so much hidden as camouflaged. The challenge is to see the true nature of things that we are, quite deliberately, trained to ignore. The real world so easily slips out of sight just as those who manipulate us intend.

Example: Most have seen the puzzle drawings where a cat or some other object is hidden among pigeons or some other. We look and see what is obviously presented but even after we are told it is there, it may take us some time to see the outline of the 'cat' among the 'pigeons'. However, once we see the previously hidden object it is then easy to find. Those who manipulate public opinion ("social engineers") do not

make the mistake of lying about the obvious, what they do is hide truth among things they encourage us to want and expect to see. I assure you; once we find and accept reality we will never again allow ourselves to be so easily fooled.

What we are enticed and expect to see is the product of our 'manipulated life' experience; what we want to see is largely a justification of our formed-by-desire beliefs – pride and prejudice defend our beliefs. We do not like to be wrong but to make the most of life requires a continual self-sacrifice of beliefs that we can, with time and experience, improve on; we can never have a complete picture of life.

To find and welcome new evidence we need first to realize that every advance in truth is to our benefit. Among the most powerful weapons used against us in the 'mind war' are our pride and prejudice; the survivors of this war will be those who control these emotions.

### How does social degeneration come about?

Social manipulation is the main subject of this book: social engineering; what it is about; how does it operate; how does it affect us; what is behind it? Social Engineering is presented to us as Public Relations: as legitimate persuasion and the generation of goodwill between people!

### Is it so?

Civilisation now means a pretended concern for 'Human Rights', 'Rights of Children', 'Rights of Women', 'Rights of Race', 'Rights of Animals', 'Rights of Anti-Discrimination'. How low can we go? Are these rights not part of human nature? When did we lose them? Are they not ours at birth! Dogma is life that died! Did the 'humanism mind virus' cripple us so we now need laws to force on us some pretence of human life?

The young of today know nothing of the world we had, they are enticed to think change means advance. But today we live as puppets desperate to obey the strings lest we have even that artificial humanity removed from us. We fear to know that when despotism tires of the game, the controlled millions (the puppets) become a waste of space and resources.

We have seen leaders of social change such as Darwin; Germaine Greer; Dr Spock; Dr Mead; etc., renounce earlier positions without change to public education or mass media attitude. Nevertheless these people, and dogmas, were widely used to support the deceits of humanistic social engineering. Can we imagine that all new-found enlightenment of such people is ignored

because it does not suit the deception being promoted? We see politics, business, family and religion increasingly corrupted. We see bank fraud protected by law – mindless social behaviour encouraged by TV – robbery and violence presented as normal life and war made politically correct. Let's look into our hearts before both conscience and soul die of shame?

Yes, we do need a new world order but an order of natural social conscience where 'order' is not a dictator's choice and 'peace' does not require the death of those who do not accept the tyrant's decree.

After many years of literary research and general observation it became my considered opinion that almost all immorality is directed from the top levels of society. Years later I found that political manipulation (in cloak of humanist ideology) is the real force behind the academic study called *Public Relations*.

PR is tightly attached to studies of human behaviour and psychiatry! Its purpose is political manipulation of the mass community for private power. PR, instead of being 'considerate persuasion' is, in fact, for political deceit, while commercial PR is camouflage to allow academic study of community behaviour without the rebellion that would surely result were its real goal known to the public. It's a big 'cat' hidden in a peaceful view of 'pigeons'.

It is now apparent that all manipulation of the community mind results in our confusion, ineptitude and misapplied effort. Commercial manipulation masks, and aids, the political invasion – brainwashing, at today's level, gives our community the appearance of mental incompetence and generates feelings of futility and anger. As a result of this, political manipulation (hidden by commercial disguise and distraction of beer and circuses) is now easy. Mind manipulation IS a more obnoxious crime than common child abuse; it is the main cause of child abuse – and much more!

Deceit has been with us for a long time but this modern, "1984" type, 'big brother' program has rapidly advanced over the last hundred years and massively over the last fifty.

### **Can humanity be regained?**

Brainwashing is a frightening abuse but we must avoid being frightened into thinking that all is lost, the "what can I do" cringe is far too widespread already.

So before we expand the evidence may I just remind readers that housewives, farmers and office workers have, on occasion, taken on money institutions; establishment forces and governments with some

successes after having refused to accept the idea of their own insignificance!

That there are so many more failures is only because too few people are prepared to study problems and stand behind their own intelligence.

Jacques Cousteau, who most of us know because of his well-publicised oceanographic adventures, once said that the only chance the world has is an educated people. He proposed there should be a world "Supreme Court of Science and Technology" but saw that only an informed people could safely be given the position of judge in this court. When he said "informed" we can be sure he did NOT mean, "mis-informed".

**People today march in the streets to protest, but they march to the Hegelian Dialectic band; they protest effects – not causes – they protest on their own behalf not that of the community. Can the deceived be safely left to make decisions about the matters that affect them? Not until they accept the need of understanding!**

While manipulated, we will always demand 'big brother' does the right thing rather than accept our adult responsibilities! But, let us know the nature of our deception and our first impulse will be to expose and eliminate the cause of deceit – ah then, our disabilities would quickly heal!

To make good decisions we have to know the truth of our situation and the first step to that end is to expose the facts of manipulative propaganda and false government. Only after the cause of the problem is understood can recovery begin.

Propaganda against common democracy is now pandemic. There is a public relations campaign to discredit the power and authority of the people even to the extent of discrediting the common law and jury system of justice; big government has taken over. Today, in many towns, kids are running riot. Police look away for fear of charge of harassment; they are discouraged and feel futile because courts give such light punishment that children see themselves as beyond the law. If parents discipline, they then also become subject to 'politically correct' punishment!

If we, the people, are to regain control of our lives, we need to accept our duty to Constitutional Democratic Government and to fair family discipline.

True democracy is an ideal only possible if people know the scientific and political truths. To be sure that we are honestly informed we, the people, must govern

ourselves – otherwise we cannot rely on what we are told. We have to learn what democracy is and not just accept that we have it because we are told we have it; or because our Constitution provides for it.

So let's stop feeling sorry for ourselves and realise that it is we, the people, who have both the NEED and the ABILITY to solve our problems. To do this we need to make a 'quantum leap' in goodwill to the service of future generations.

Let's not just think, "what I can do for me"; let's think "what can I do for humanity". A true human generosity is for our own good. It may well be too late for those of us (who make decisions today) to be wise in many things, but we can be wise in one thing: we can be wise in having the caring to provide following generations with a base for truth, prosperity and justice.

Corruption spreads down from the top. To return to a more just – more human – society, we have to reverse the process. A true regeneration of conscience must come from the grassroots level of society to spread understanding and permeate upwards.

We are a multitude – they are few! We have the power, they the illusion! Yes, you may read this as fiction. If that is what you want: read on – while you can! But perhaps, when you have read it and better understand how illusions are created and maintained, you will feel a stirring of human conscience; an awareness of your human privilege and a concern for the human future on this earth.

One more thing before we go on – severe criticism of certain groups does not mean that all people in such groups are criminal. There are good and bad in all walks of life and both good and bad are misled. People like Bernays and fanatical ideologues seldom support despotism by choice of understanding; they, like the rest of us, behave as they do because of beliefs and attitudes they absorb on trust from nurture and environment.

## **The artycraft Of GOVERNING**

### **Chapter 1. ORIENTATION**

**WELCOME to your chance of survival!** Is that an arrogant statement? Well I just want to be sure you know what we are talking about. Those who can profit from this book will take it seriously and few have a memory so good that they can retain and relate well

enough to casually make the many connections. Minimum length is to encourage readers, not because of lack of material for reference.

Manipulation of public opinion is not new in the world. There is no reason to doubt that conspiracy to manipulate others goes back to the beginning of civilisation. Manipulation extends from the smallest family to the largest community and we tend to take it for granted; at some levels it is necessary.

Be warned: to understand what has been done to you, will mean a major review of how you see your world.

There is an old saying in our culture, "A fool and his money are soon parted". Actually the way of it should be, "A fool and his wealth are soon parted". Our true wealth is something we are born with – our birthright – it is our ability to know life and profit from it rather than, as dumb animals, be the playthings of fate – or manipulation. Is the brainwasher more to blame for robbing you of your life than you are for allowing it?

The means of manipulation have greatly advanced in the last century. The scientific study of human behaviour, has created a system of power, exploitation and injustice that is truly new and dangerous; and not just dangerous to the human community but to civilisation and the future of life on earth.

Beware! Those who conspire to rob us of our birthright go to great lengths to convince us that international conspiracy does not exist. The 'cat' does not want the 'pigeons' to see it.

The 1914-18 war introduced a new potential for scientific public manipulation. A man called Bernays became the recognised expert and advocate for development of a form of mass persuasion called Public Relations; PR for short.

'Public Relations' is the friendly public face of what Bernays himself liked to call "propaganda", now called "social engineering". However, to the general public (when aware of it) this is just a common social abuse called "brainwashing".

Brainwashing, in military terms, is a physically forced program of indoctrination and the crime is not so much brainwashing as assault. That is not the kind of brainwashing we are concerned with here.

The brainwashing we deal with here is something that causes us to act in ways beneficial to others and detrimental to our own interests without our being aware we are manipulated.

The word brainwashing is a deceit in itself. It does not mean washing to make clean. Rather it means washing to make dirty – to change the purity of brain logic by adding contamination. This brainwashing may be called confidence trickery, but in the computer age it may be best explained as inserting a ‘virus’.

Our bodies suffer at times from virus attack but it is only in the computer age that we have come to see virus as a destroyer of logic – an active agent in the mind to sabotage its logical workings.

So brainwashing comes in many forms but none can excuse its essentially obnoxious nature. The manipulated find that their decisions – social, family or business – do not work out and they lose confidence in themselves. They find they have to trust 'authorities' – financial consultants, the news media, politicians, educators – but they know that these people lie.

Though some live happily with, or find defences for this abuse, growing numbers secretly despise their incompetence as a personal problem that leaves them without choice.

Community manipulation has to be achieved in ways subtle because to be noticed is to fail. In fact PR is now so pervasive that those brainwashed will often claim PR is not brainwashing at all; its just legitimate persuasion.

In reply to that I think we will find that PR opinion-forming, pursued by deliberate deceit for the profit of the manipulator, is not just highly immoral but should be legally criminal. It is an activity designed to damage the human ability to make rational decisions.

It is more poisonous than ‘confidence trickery’ and more cancerous than indoctrination.

Does manipulation really affect our lives? Let us have an example: If you want to swap items with another person there is no reason you should pay an onlooker for watching. If you choose to use money to make your swapping more convenient then there is still no reason why you should pay a bystander.

If we are told we are to be taxed on every transaction we make (a goods and services tax) we are annoyed, but this is exactly what has been happening for years.

No, not as a government tax but for the benefit of a private group of people! This hidden tax, the one disguised by public manipulation, is called usury; meaning unjust use of power and deceit to charge excessive INTEREST on money loaned.

Our money (today) is created and loaned by international moneylenders. Our trade and commerce is now organised around the borrowing of money, so every use of money carries a hidden cost for personal gain of others.

The cost of providing money for convenience use in a free economy is so small it can be a function of government with no significant cost to government. To borrow money from a community-owned bank is estimated to cost no more than 1% or 2% (mainly to cover unforeseen bad debts). The difference between that and what you are paying today on your Bankcard or other loan (or the addition to cost of goods and services because of loans cost to business and government) is a cost imposed by deceit. In fact it is a perfect "Goods and Services" tax imposed by the international bankers through PR and government manipulation.

We pay Globalists a ‘stand-over’ fee each time we buy or sell. But that is only a small part of the price! The full price of institutionalised mind corruption is irrational behaviour and includes the greater part of all ill-health, suicides, road accidents, road-rage, crimes, terrorism, loutish acts and bad government generally.

These things, by and large, are all the result of community confusion, muddled thinking, misunderstandings, induced desires, and frustrations caused by the implanting of virus persuasions in the community mind.

Another example: When George Orwell wanted to tell the world what was planned for it, he cloaked his message in fiction because he feared to openly tell the truth. Professor Quigley, an insider, wrote openly his 1,300 page "Tragedy & Hope" about the New World Order and the people who planned it; Quigley’s work had no more public effect than Orwell’s.

PR allows the brainwasher to tell YOU what to believe. The power to persuade YOU about what you can or cannot believe is what today's mind manipulation is about.

When people, over recent years, have tried to explain about the New World Order they have been laughed at, sneered at and abused as 'conspiracy theorists'. Brainwashing also persuades us that our leaders are reliable and caring about our welfare (not bandits) even though our great majority is aware of deceit we are still constantly deceived, used and abused.

Deception creates insecurity so we are desperate to believe that "Big Brother" is OUR 'family' not Mafia

'family' – that our leaders sacrifice to our welfare. Yes, it is laughable, but we believe what we want to believe and what we want to believe is what PR inspires us to believe.

Then came the so-called 1<sup>st</sup> "Gulf War" and Big Brother felt sufficiently secure to make the New World Order public.

We then had to admit that warnings of conspiracy about world government were, to that extent, true, so we adjusted to the idea that world government, born by sword and deceit, was not conspiracy but 'a good thing' – PR persuaded us it was for our benefit.

Reliable estimates are that over 100,000 'enemy' soldiers died of this one-sided Globalist mission. In addition it was estimated that 100,000 children and babies (more as the blockade continued) died of disease and malnutrition. Certainly a lot of innocents gained 'peace'.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> Gulf War also: we do not excuse Iraq but there have been many countries with leadership and policies as bad or worse. Can we really believe our own is better? They were abused of a threat that didn't exist!

Surely we must ask if it is a worse crime for Hussain to kill 10,000 rebels, few of whom were guilty of any crime, than for advanced nations to kill tens of thousands AND many thousands of children even more innocent of any crime and even less justifiable as none threatened us in any way and were defenceless against modern weapons.

Well, if we have no care for truth, justice, humanity, or social environment, so be it. If our social conscience is no more than that of the puppet obeying the strings as they are pulled; if we have no concern for family, or human future, then let us glorify the growing erosion of our humanity; it is our choice. No doubt we will get what we deserve.

To know who cheats us is interesting. To know why is important. To know how is vital. To know ourselves – well, that's our life!

## **Chapter 2: MANIPULATION**

Modern mind manipulation differs as much from the old advertising as men moving blocks of stone differ from a machine pouring concrete. The modern promoter of deceit NEVER dirties his hands with production.

Your attitudes, whether to pop music, sex discrimination or political ideology, may begin with a seeming harmless promotion on TV, or at kindergarten where you adopt a comfortable peer-group reinforced fashion or fad – you became 'hooked' into a **“slave to a group-security comfort and empowerment fad”** – you felt good.

So long as you agreed with the group you felt the power of the group, you could make demands of your parents because what all your little friends wanted or had you must have a right to; parents feel guilty if their child feels 'left out'. So the mob was you and you were a mob – no need to think for yourself – you had the first link of the slave chain embedded in your mind but you FELT you had power.

Modern government would never say, “The jury system of justice is no good, we are going to change to a system of direct judgements by our judges.” Oh NO! Ideological control forbids any such dangerous honesty. What liberal governments now do is “bow to public pressure” from people who make up their own minds on the basis of evidence that shows the jury system failing to give justice.

**The job of public relations is to create or identify situations that will create news. This, the news media publicises so as to create the required emotion, public perception and attitude.**

Laws introduced to restrict evidence in crime's favour manipulate the jury system. If justice may be seen as 'not done' this situation can be publicised to arouse public anger. The mass media then shouts, “the mob has spoken”. The Government, like parents, pretends to bow to the wishes of its children.

Public anger, of course, will be directed against the jury system not the hidden persuaders or the corrupt law. These manipulations only happen where change is required by the manipulators; irrelevant issues are allowed to be resolved free of PR; media does not build up emotion and government does not bow.

By using subtle persuasion only for issues that matter to the manipulator, reduces the chance of exposure; credibility is maintained and results achieved. Media reports of protests give us credibility.

### **That is how the system works!**

Educated people are taught to scorn any idea of “conspiracy”. However honest thought shows conspiracy to be an historic and ever-present fact of life. Having gone that far, more honest thought brings us face to face with the fact of greed for power.

We only need look at the clear evidence to see the world is managed very differently from what we are taught to believe. Or, as Disraeli put it: 1804-81 put it: *"The world is governed by very different persons from what those believe who are not behind the scenes."* he was in a position to know.

Human greed for power is a sickness of egocentrics! Normal humans tend to avoid responsibility and this hands fanatics the power they crave. Mob allegiance is popular because it gives a feeling of power with little self-exposure. We still have lessons to learn: *power corrupts; liberty requires eternal vigilance; responsibility is personal.*

### **Let's look for essential basics of social reality.**

Manipulation of human intelligence for the purpose of mass enslavement is perhaps the most despicable and inhuman abuse of power we practise.

Although drugs and force can help control people there is now little need of physical force or drugs; the achievement of enslavement is known socialist policy. Carefully designed deception is safer and gives the tyrant elite more security. This is because it allows the victim a determined pursuit of 'apparent' self-interest and produces willing service. Remember: the Egyptian pyramids were not built by slaves.

Explaining manipulation of the mind is at the heart of these writings; please excuse repeat of quotations that need to be remembered. The following from *"The Impact of Science on Society"*, by Bertrand Russell; quote:

*"... it may be hoped that in time anybody will be able to persuade anybody [children] of anything, if he can catch the patient young and is provided by the State with money and equipment. This subject will make great strides when scientists under a scientific dictatorship take it up.*

*"Although this science will be diligently studied, it will be rightly confined to the governing class and the populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated ... education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they will be incapable ... of thinking or acting otherwise than their schoolmasters would have wished."* End Q.

Russell was of the establishment: *The Impact of Science on Society* was published in 1976, that a book set for higher education could contain such revelations shows the program described was in effective use.

We 'think' we are not controlled because "misinformation" does not alter our ability to think, it only alters *what* we think about and *what* we think about it – it deforms our perception of reality.

In a national education system this is not difficult. Keep students under pressure; set the literature to be read and remembered. Confined to work to your curriculum students memorise, pass exams and don't want to find they have it wrong.

By the time students enter higher education many 'already see themselves as elite and enter easily into the spirit of elitism', (clearly shown by human behaviour). Now accustomed to feelings of mob power they want to be part of the '*elite*' peer-group mob. Pride is in our nature; encouragement to excess of pride is easy 'PR' trickery.

Instead of education giving us greater human responsibility and enhanced feelings of self-satisfaction at being able to help advance OUR humanity, we are ego-boasted with ideas of group power and eager to take advantage of any less powerful group – willing, if unwitting, we serve others.

### ***The Humanist, Jan/Feb 1983; quote:***

*"The battle for humankind's future must be waged and won in the public school classroom by teachers who correctly perceive their role as the proselytisers of a new faith ... These teachers must embody the same selfless dedication as the most rabid fundamentalist preachers, for they will be ministers of another sort, utilising the classroom instead of the pulpit to convey humanist values in whatever subjects they teach.... pre-school or large state university."* E.Q.

Continuous 'PR' promotion is very evident and we see academic disciplines and public authority used to advance the 'socialist slave' humanist religion. PR deception is made possible by large amounts of money.

At the time of the Multi-Function Polis debates the following comment was made at a MFP meeting: *"... it is necessary to control the consciousness of public and related organisations very carefully."* EQ. This was said to people who were not insiders, but the speaker was confident the audience was educated to accept the humanist attitude; an attitude that accepted a deceived servant class population.

As Russell mentioned, early training is important. Parents are now socially pressured to deliver their children to the system as young as possible.

Here is a fine example quoted by Caldwell & Zanfagna in their book *Fluoridation and Truth Decay* p8, it is from writings of Edward L Bernays:

*“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organised habits and opinions of the masses must be done by experts, the public relations counsels (Bernays invented the term); they are the invisible rulers who control the destinies of millions ... the most direct way to reach the herd is through the leaders.... all this must be planned ... indoctrination must be subtle. It should be worked into the everyday life of the people – 24 hours a day in hundreds of ways ... A redefinition of ethics is necessary ... the subject matter of propaganda need not necessarily be true.”* EQ.

Yes it is abominable but, some of our race, raised in our culture, abuse their advantage with enthusiasm. Fluoride, a poison, is not needed to make us compliant, our compliance is needed to make us sick.

Bernays is said to have been the father of modern PR! That he advocates control “through the leaders” supports the earlier quotation from Disraeli.

The following quotation is from an address given by Sir Herbert Gepp to the *Constitutional Association (30/5/1938)* Sydney, Australia. In emphasis of the duties and responsibilities of youth in a democracy; quote: *“The so-called mysteries of banking, finance and economics are mysteries only to those who will not take the trouble to try to understand.*

*“I do not suggest it is possible to obtain a complete appreciation of all factors operating in our complex civilisation, but I do maintain that the colossal ignorance today of economic fundamentals is the gravest danger to the continuance of democracies.”* EQ.

Next day, *The Sydney Sun* devoted its leading article to this warning: snippets are from a booklet called *MONEY*, by Chartered Accountant Stanley Allen F.C.A.; quote:

*“To the average citizen, economics still remains 'the dismal science', but the speaker was correct in his suggestion that it goes to the root of most of the world's trouble today. It may at least be suspected that a condition of affairs in which men are able and willing to work are unable to find secure jobs, and in which urgent works are delayed because a prosperous [elite] community declares that it can raise no money for them, is no advertisement for the knowledge of economics possessed by the community.”* E.Q. Even the media may speak honestly without fear of awakening.

We ‘the people’ think that those we elect, argue about and beg of, are the government. Not so, they are ‘people leaders’, sheep dogs to round-up public opinion, neither the sheep nor the dogs understand their role. If some suspect it, fear prevents honesty. Will we forever consign our children to slavery rather than face reality? Soon we look at Bernays' work.

### **The money managers:**

Our civilisation has degenerated to the point that the hidden government can now openly flaunt *Globalism* and years of economic deceit means a far smaller % of people know just how much better the above comments fit the world of 2006.

Search for the forces behind the brainwash of democratic society lead directly and surely to international banking. This subject is quite well covered in our literature and there is vast information on the money trick so let us here just mention essentials.

International finance is ‘owned’ by the most powerful mafia-type ‘family’ in existence. The power of this group is legalised by fake democracy called the ‘party system’, a system foreign to Great Britain’s common law and to all legitimate democratic constitutions.

Some indication of the power of Globalism is shown by the following comment from Lloyd George, famous English statesman and Prime Minister, when speaking of peace negotiations following World War 1. (1914-18); quote:

*They (international bankers) swept statesman, politicians, jurists, and journalists all on one side, and issued their orders with the imperiousness of absolute monarchs who knew there was no appeal from their ruthless decrees.* EQ.

The power of Globalism is maintained through political control made secure by PR. To break this chain “we the people” must understand mind-manipulating methods and face the consequences of allowing the power of life or death to reside in a despotic, *New World Order*.

Many still have the idea that mass manipulation is to give everyone the same values and opinions but change-agent manipulation has advanced. Such simple viewpoints are themselves the products of manipulation.

### **MANIPULATING CONSCIOUSNESS**

To understand how present levels of control are achieved we must first realize that mind manipulation

is not a new development. Machiavelli (1469-1527) was referring to it when he said; quote:

*“One of the great secrets of the day is to know how to take possession of popular prejudices and passions in such a way as to introduce a confusion of principles which makes impossible all understanding between those who speak the same language and have the same interests.”* EQ

Modern technology multiplies that power.

Example 1: To the present time 2006, no one, at street level, knows if the 'greenhouse effect' is a very serious problem, a possible problem or a confidence trick, but a lot of people (including 'authorities') have very strong opinions covering each possibility.

Example 2: In our society there are political factions pulling in all directions but who understands, wants to know about, or is prepared to expose the illegitimacy of 'political party' government?

Example 3: We rush to adopt new technology but what is safe? There is evidence that mobile phones are dangerous to our mental health? We are given various 'authoritative' views so who cares? Real evidence is delayed until millions are incapacitated, we then pretend caring.

### **Restriction of human consciousness**

Here are three common ways of restricting human development:

- 1/ Restrict access to knowledge: isolate development.
- 2/ Create false ideals (Humanist ideology); both the above are greatly aided by use of TV and peer-group to create 'generation-gaps'; divide children at an early age from real-life experience and from parents by kindergarten. Later by cram and regurgitate public education.
- 3/ Heighten family conflict by peer-group loyalty to aid progressive indoctrination of ideology. Promote sex and circuses for mind decoy.

*The Hegelian Dialectic Process* is a system that is misused to achieve social deformities. Designed social conflict forces desired social change.

To appreciate this we go first to the principle revealed by George Orwell, *“who controls the past controls the future.”* As social attitudes are based on past experience it is possible, by manipulation of belief about the past, to influence future behaviour.

This leads directly to the complementary idea, *Conflict Creates History*, which is a short statement of the purpose for which the *Hegelian Dialectic Process* has been adapted. The socialist axiom, *Conflict Creates*

*History*, sets the idea of using designed conflict to create a desired 'history' to control future generations. The Hegelian expression of this concept is *thesis, antithesis, and synthesis*. *Thesis*, manipulation to generate conflict, *antithesis*, the generated counterforce of conflict, and *synthesis* is the desired result; example:

Example: A politician is enticed to challenge for leadership (*thesis*); other leading figures resist (*antithesis*); the conflict brings the party into disrepute and the opposition is elected (*synthesis*). Division of the nation into balanced political factions is excellent example of thesis and antithesis whose *synthesis* is control of the national political emotion. Two main parties (*thesis* and *antithesis*) form two reins to achieve (*synthesis*): by manipulation of mass emotions.

Change is achieved by instilling false hopes, beliefs and fears so as to create conflict that leads to desired outcomes.

Consolidation is to "institutionalise" deceits so that they become permanent. This is greatly helped by restricting reading and vocabulary. (See later).

To see how all this can be possible we look at the forces directing social corruption; many find this hard to face. To admit public corruption means acknowledgement of deliberate manipulation of the public mind – means admitting that we, personally, are slaves to false beliefs.

Globalists believe they have succeeded in mind manipulation to the extent that revolution against them is no longer possible. They believe the commonalty no longer understand their situation. If they are right, no significant percent of our population will see the cat among the pigeons.

### **Chapter 3.**

#### **BERNAYS: Public Relations Expert Extraordinary**

**Here we see a new public relations propaganda becoming respectable as a wartime need and turning to commercial manipulation. Its political use slots in almost invisibly. Expertise, in steep learning curves over the first half of last century, consolidated in the second.**

To look into the business of PR in more depth who better to be our guide than Bernays himself. Bernays, a leader in his field, was given an eminence that few modern authorities attain. A book, *Public Relations, Edward L. Bernayses and the American Scene*, by

Keith A. Larson; over 300 pages to catalogue his works and teachings. First published in 1951 this book was enlarged and republished 1971.

This, for someone barely known outside of academia, must be rare. It is interesting that Bernays, born in Vienna, was a nephew of the infamous Sigmund Freud. This propaganda expert guided introduction of the forced medication, fluoridation in the USA in 1951 and spread worldwide.

So here we have a family that would be high in the favour of a manipulative establishment. Let us take a closer look at his writings on scientific public relations; following quotes, unless otherwise specified, are from his book , *PROPAGANDA*; quote:

*“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government, which is the true ruling power of our government*

*. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of. This is the logical result of the way in which our democratic society is organized. ...*

That comment, from the founder of manipulative science is something you are unlikely to know of unless you study PR or related subjects. It is important to understand that he was not exposing hidden government – or conspiracy. He was writing a frank technical essay for the use of people educated to be making a living from public manipulation.

The book *Propaganda* was first published in 1928 and one chapter is given over to explaining that the ignorant public has sadly misunderstood the word “propaganda”. We who use words may have sympathy with him that a word that started out honourably as meaning 'the propagation of truth' has become its opposite. Nevertheless, for a person who makes a living by understanding human nature, we must also wonder if he is really so naive as to believe that any system designed to set beliefs in the human mind (even with honest the intent) will not be eagerly seized by greedy self-interests.

May we be excused for thinking his comment on the changed meaning of the word propaganda was just his own little public relations exercise to soothe the conscience of students? Students who read Bernays also learned that there is no conspiracy. A good belief to have if public manipulation is to be your business; it

might be embarrassing to think creating public delusions is in service to conspiracy.

PR persuasion, according to Bernays, is a way of life essential to organised society. If scientific means were not used to convince people of the ‘desired truth’ then there would, in Bernays' opinion, be social chaos. He accepts that today’s young and unworldly students are either content with this or are too involved with success in their studies to challenge it.

There, I think, is the answer to a question that puzzles many people. How can it be that so many people can be involved and yet the dangers of public manipulation are not exposed? We are manipulated for our own good! If it happens the manipulators are the beneficiaries, well ... they did their best.

When students accept an authority, then their first dedication is to put into effect what they have learned. They are very conscious of this and very involved. By the time they have established themselves they have a psychological commitment and may never see a need (or desire) to challenge the reason for their success; few are ever moved to see that they are being used.

So far as Bernays himself is concerned, I think the weakness of his argument for PR, and the weakness of the whole academic argument against true democracy, is very apparent in *Propaganda*. Let us now continue the quote where we left it earlier:

*... Vast numbers of human beings must co-operate in this manner if they are to live together as a smoothly functioning society. Our invisible governors are, in many cases, unaware of the identity of their fellow members in the inner cabinet.*

[I wonder if Bernays, could he have seen our culture today, would think it a smoothly functioning society?]

*They govern us by their qualities of natural leadership, their ability to supply needed ideas and by their key position in the social structure.*

*Whatever attitude one chooses to take toward this condition, it remains a fact that in almost every act of our daily lives, whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons – a trifling fraction of our hundred and twenty million – who understand the mental processes and mental patterns of the masses. [USA 1920s] It is they who pull the wires which control the public mind, who harness old social forces and contrive new ways to bind and guide the world.*

[That, surely, is putting it on the line. But there's more.]

*It is not usually realized how necessary these invisible governors are to the orderly functioning of our life group. In theory, every citizen may vote for whom he pleases. Our constitution does not envisage political parties as part of the mechanism of government, and its framers seem not to have pictured to themselves the existence in our national politics of anything like the modern political machine.*

*But American voters soon found that without organization and direction their individual votes, cast, perhaps, for dozens or hundreds of candidates, would produce nothing but confusion. Invisible government, in the shape of rudimentary political parties, arose almost overnight. Ever since then we have agreed, for the sake of simplicity and practicality, that party machines should narrow down the field of choice to two candidates, or at most three or four.*

*In theory, every citizen makes up his mind on public questions and matters of private conduct. In practice, if all men had to study for themselves the abstruse economic, political, and ethical data involved in every question, they would find it impossible to come to a conclusion about anything. We have voluntarily agreed to let an invisible government sift the data and high-spot the outstanding issues so that our field of choice shall be narrowed to practical proportions. End Quote. Emphasis added.*

Well, that is the essence of his argument; are you convinced?

**NOTES:** A/ He says "*voters soon found that without organization ... WOULD produce nothing but confusion*"; "did produce" or "produced" are avoided. Is that because the political parties took over almost as soon as the USA Constitution came into use? In the USA non-party government had no time for trial. Australians had about fifteen years over which time national non-party government worked as well as the party controlled; (not saying a lot for them of course). States governments enjoyed longer party-free time.

In England non-party government lasted for over 300 years before elitism worked out that the democracy idea should be aborted before people realized the need to choose AND elect their representatives. Introducing parties, (for public convenience of course) secured elitist control.

Political parties have a long and obnoxious history. Can't we believe that those idealists who framed

constitutions of the USA and Australia ignored party government because they were well aware of the danger it represents?

B/ Who "*voluntarily agreed*"? Have you heard of a referendum in the USA or anywhere else where the people have agreed to let an invisible government rule their lives, beliefs and attitudes?

If the people would actually agree to this, then why bother with elections at all? A façade of voting does not change the decisions of government! Were voting not for the purpose of misleading the public then it would be a total waste of effort! Yes: parties represent cats hidden among us.

Should any mature-minded person not be appalled by the huge power grabbed so easily by so few hands and hidden from public question? Is it not obvious that powerful and egocentric people would (if they had not in fact set the party system up) soon easily gain control of it? Who are these people of *natural leadership* abilities who are such great leaders that they need protection from both the sight and knowledge of those they lead?

C) We can easily agree that every individual cannot be expert on every subject; it's not necessary. Are our elected politicians experts about everything – or anything? Are even those *natural leaders* expert in anything other than manipulation? Every person does not need be doctor, lawyer, singer and dancer, but we are entitled to the right of INFORMED choice when needs arise. One does not have to deny the need for specialists to advise government but we do have a right to know the loyalties and abilities of our specialists.

As responsible, intelligent or caring people, can we accept that secret self-interest organisations should control our lives, likes and thoughts; arrange our wars and laws, and, in general have life/death power over us/our future?

If the needs of social behaviour are different today than 2000 or even 10000 years ago that does not change our right be informed. Our ability to act on information changes with time. The nature of life and creation remain the same. Mind manipulation has no place in it today.

What changes is the complexity of organisation as population and technology advance. When numbers increase we need rules of the road; but laws cannot substitute for that rule of the heart called moral responsibility; nor will any just law ever deny our right to development and enlightenment.

Enslavement to simple fixed behaviour patterns is no substitute for intellectual freedom that is only limited by moral restraints. We have a designed ability to develop and advance as humans.

We need become more responsible as society becomes more complex, we can eliminate non-productive effort and reduce waste. Can we imagine a supermarket without checkouts, money or bankcards; where people could be relied on to take what they needed and not waste? We may not be able to imagine this but we can imagine reasons for its impossibility.

If we can imagine the latter and not the former, then we cannot imagine that people may become increasingly kind, honest, considerate and responsible; but growing in humanity IS our proven potential, and our right!

Globalism may lead us to believe we are not human but belief does not change our potential! A true civilisation is one where we become more and more aware of our human nature and more responsible in our care of it. Neither civilisation nor technology, honestly employed, will change human needs, for that to happen we would need to become a different species.

### **Public Relations Examples**

Having looked at the social attitude promoted by Bernays we now need to see how this translates into everyday PR and social engineering practice.

Bernays has written openly and with obvious confidence in the natural, emotion-bound, security of a system of government-controlled higher education; we are indeed fortunate that this window on the real world of social manipulation remains open. Clearly the danger of public rebellion is no longer considered relevant.

### **We now go to p27 where he says; quote:**

*"Formerly the rulers were the leaders. They laid out the course of history by the simple process of doing what they wanted. And if nowadays the successors of the rulers, those whose position or ability gives them power, can no longer do what they want without the approval of the masses, they find in propaganda a tool which is increasingly powerful in gaining that approval. Therefore, propaganda is here to stay.*

*It was, of course, the astounding success of propaganda during the war that opened the eyes of the intelligent few in all departments of life to the possibilities of regimenting the public mind. The American government and numerous patriotic agencies developed a technique which, to most persons accustomed to bidding for public acceptance, was new.*

*They not only appealed to the individual by means of every approach – visual, graphic, and auditory – to support the national endeavour, but they also secured the co-operation of the key men in every group – persons whose mere word carried authority to hundreds of thousands of followers.*

*They thus automatically gained the support of fraternal, religious, commercial, patriotic, social and local groups whose members took their opinions from their accustomed leaders and spokesmen, or from the periodical Publications which they were accustomed to read and believe.*

*At the same time, the manipulators of patriotic opinion made use of the mental clichés and the emotional habits of the public to produce mass reactions against the alleged atrocities, the terror and tyranny of the enemy. It was only natural, after the war ended, that intelligent persons should ask themselves whether it was not possible to apply a similar, technique to the problems of peace.*

*As a matter of fact, the practice of propaganda since the war has assumed very different forms from those prevalent twenty years ago. This new technique may fairly be called the new propaganda.*

*It takes account not merely of the individual, nor even of the mass mind alone, but also and especially of the anatomy of society, with its interlocking group formations and loyalties. It sees the individual not only as a cell in the social organism but as a cell organised into the social unit. Touch a nerve at a sensitive spot and you get an automatic response from certain specific members of the organism." E.Q.*

This revelation exposes a very logical advance of social engineering; groups now react with monotonous predictability to their seduced leaders in every social division. When the culture itself becomes manipulative and educates for increasing manipulation, then we can see that group leaders soon become part of the programs. Your religious leaders; “parents and citizens” organisations; government departments, all become integrated.

I am sorry to have to take such long quotations from PROPAGANDA but large quotes are needed to make clear Bernays' very important points about the extent and penetration, even at that time, of mind invasion – no mystery now about how humanity is manipulated or to whose benefit!

We may well take issue with Bernays about the superior intelligence of those greedy for power.

Having achieved wealth by immoral means they then use this wealth to HIRE the expertise to maintain and expand their empires. They are not superior intellectually and morally are very inferior.

We can also doubt that the need of leaders to have the public on side is new. Was there ever a time when a leader could ignore the feelings of his subjects for long? In fact Bernays himself accepts this in a later book, *Public Relations*, in which he gives a history of the profession.

History surely shows that the desire to manipulate and deceive is rarely, if ever, a skill of good leadership. It is also apparent that the 20th century introduced a new ball game in both the technology of deceit and the numbers to be deceived. There came a need to recruit a generation of elitists and structure the propaganda more carefully.

There was need to adapt and sell a more scientific understanding of behaviour throughout a more educated and technically organised populace. Modern study of psychology played a big part in providing “behavioural studies” to support new technique, however those who want to study human potential may find much of this ‘behavioural studies’ work biased.

Many experiments use the very available university student but this group may well not reflect that of a more senior or more worldly sample, or a group of similar age from a different setting.

Other experiments are flawed by perceptions of the experimenter. If it is visible that an experiment to test human responses may differ when carried out on people subject to different levels of brainwash – it will also differ if the experimenter has different perceptions of what is human and natural. ‘Scientific’ conclusions may actually contradict the evidence uncovered.

However, for the purposes of elitist manipulation the testing of University students is very important, such tests may measure the efficiency of brainwashing techniques on future leaders and indicate where new work may be best applied.

Don’t think any of this huge effort is for cultural enlightenment, unwanted results may be weeded out!

Because of conflicting interests it is naive to take the public or educational presentation of psychiatry and human behaviour research at full face value. Let us now look at examples of commercial use of PR to better appreciate the extent of its commercial/political use.

Bernays tells of how markets were regained for a group of textile manufacturers. Velvet had gone out of fashion and its U.S manufacturers faced ruin. Study showed that the market could not be revived by action taken within America so where was the vital spot? Quote: *It was determined to substitute purpose for chance and to utilize the regular sources for fashion distribution ... An intelligent Parisian was enlisted in the work- He visited Lanvin and Worth, Agnes and Patou, and others and induced them to use velvet in their gowns and hats.*

*It was he who arranged for the distinguished Countess This or Duchess That to wear the hat or the gown. And as for the presentation of the idea to the public, the American buyer or the American woman of fashion was simply shown the velvet creations in the atelier of the dressmaker or the milliner. The editors of the American magazines and fashion reporters of the American newspapers, likewise were subjected to the actual (although created) circumstance ... A demand was slowly, but deliberately, created in Paris and America.*

*The created circumstances had their effect. "Fickle fashion has veered to velvet," was one newspaper comment. EQ.*

So it is that fashions, trends, movements, political plans, pop-singers and popular beliefs. Every aspect of life becomes biased, created and imprinted in the public mind. You will note that with modern technique the target group is not approached directly. For risk-free conviction there should always be one or more “innocent” carriers between deceit and victim.

We may be getting the feeling that those Bernays quotations were from 1998 rather than 1928 so let us now go to another of his books, *Public Relations: 1952*; here he is still giving examples of propaganda from the time of “World War I” these show techniques developed in that era, are now improved and relevant; most improved is organisation: p72-3 quote:

*April 6 1917, the Committee on Public Information was set up, under the direction of George Creel, former editor of the Rocky Mountain News. Other members were the secretaries of War, Navy, and State. ...*

*I worked with the Committee on Public Information in the United States and in Paris. I saw it grow from an idea to an organization of enthusiastic men and women in key centers throughout the world.*

*This experience in broad public relations was a turning point in the lives of those who worked with the Committee. My own case was typical ... In 1913, when I was editor of the Dietetic and Hygienic Gazette and*

associated with The Medical Review of Reviews, Richard Bennett, the actor, was trying to produce Brieux's play, *Damaged Goods*, but was unable to find sponsorship for it because the theme of the play offended the people in pre-war America.

Believing that Brieux's drama taught an important social lesson, I wrote Bennett that our magazine, The Medical Review of Reviews, would give him its moral support in his efforts to produce the play. ... The result of our talk was that we undertook, under the auspices of the Review, to mobilize public opinion for the production of the play ...

The technique employed became widespread in later years. We set up a Sociological Fund which appealed to public opinion to support production of the play on the grounds of public and social interest. Membership of the Fund cost four dollars [probably equal to \$50 (2006)] and entitled the member to a ticket for a performance of *Damaged Goods*, when and if produced.

The results were described by John T. Flynn in an article entitled "Edward L. Bernays: The Science of Ballyhoo" published in the *Atlantic Monthly* for May, 1932; quote:

"Bernays ... sent invitations to our New York nobility. Every person of social prominence was invited to subscribe four dollars to endorse a movement for dealing with sex via *Damaged Goods* ... these notables responded nobly. When they did, the rank and file of humbler folk proceeded, as always, to grow curious, and then toddle along behind their betters, sending in their four dollars by the hundreds and the thousands. ...

[Note: Moral corruption is easier to sell and more profitable than velvet. As said: immorality comes down from the top. Public perceptions of morality are today so changed that 'Damaged Goods' would be just funny.]

#### **How words won the war; p74-5:**

*It bombarded the public unceasingly with enthusiastic reports of the nation's colossal war effort ... Dissenting voices were stilled, either by agreement with the press or by the persuasive action of the agents of the Department of Justice.*

*Intellectual and emotional bombardment aroused Americans to a pitch of enthusiasm. The bombardment came at people from all sides – advertisements, news, volunteer speakers, posters, schools, theatres; millions of homes displayed service flags. The war aims and ideals were continually projected to the eyes and ears*

*of the populace. These high-pressure methods were new at the time, but have become usual since then. ...*

[In Australia such a campaign sold the glory of Olympic Games. And, yes, very effectively.]

*The most fantastic atrocity stories were believed. ... Later, the slogan – equally true – that "Words Won the War but Lost the Peace" came to remind us never to place too great a reliance on words. ... In public relations, as in all other pursuits, actions speak louder than words. Public relations activities in World War I never attained their full potentialities. EQ.*

So we see new public relations propaganda was made respectable as wartime need and turned to commercial manipulation. Today we see serious 'but manipulated' events turned into excuse for war and an imposition of social restraints that are completely foreign to a free society. Political use of propaganda is slotted invisibly into populations.

Expertise in effective control was in a steep learning curve over the first half of the 20th century and even faster over the second half.

Actions speak loud, but can be disguised behind lies. Bernays goes on to tell us how, in the 1930s, most large American companies and industries including the *National Association of Manufacturers, Rockefeller Center, General Motors, Standard Oil Co., J.P. Morgan and Co.* etc., all became involved with use of the new public relations industry.

Bernays himself was appointed a member of the *President's Emergency Committee for Employment* (President Hoover), and goes on to say that there was even a significant change in public attitude by bankers.

In growing numbers bankers sought professional advice and in 1939 Bernays' own firm was working with *the Bank of America* on the West Coast and in Washington, p106-8, quote: "*That America was becoming more aware of the nature and importance of public relations was further evidenced by the active interest now shown in the field by newspapers, magazines, universities, social scientists, research organizations, and political parties. ... But the new era was emphasized when Mr. Flynn spoke of the modern Public relations expert as "a social psychologist engaged in carrying out in actual practice and according to newer theories that branch of psychology which August Comte\* and later Herbert Spencer recognized as having a definite relation to sociology.*

*In connection with these activities, we initiated a practice which became widespread toward the end of*

*the decade – the creation of institutes and foundations as public interest bodies of private profit organizations ... These bureau's, service institutes, and foundations functioned as non-profit institutions in the public interest, but were always tied up with a profit organization. ...*

*By this time the colleges and universities of the United States were keenly aware of the dependence of government, industry, and all other social groups on public opinion. EQ.*

Note: August Comte (1798-1857) as we will see in GNEB><BEING, reset the pattern for the New World Order. This huge effort was not to improve the morals and activities of government, industry and social groups, it was to create (by manipulation) a public attitude accepting of corrupt morals.

Bernays firm surveyed American colleges and universities in 1937 to find that they offered a wide range of courses devoted to public opinion and propaganda.

The increasingly predatory attitude of industrial and political leaders is now (2008) obvious. Bernays tells us that interest in propaganda at that time (1937) even extended to exposure of propaganda; but that did not prosper!

Techniques of mass persuasion are used in relation to business, music, sex, politics and human relationships; to any temptation that relates to gaining power through ability to influence by deceit.

Two things become notable from any study of modern social engineering:

a) No matter if the activity is to find answers to what opinion is popular with the public; or what people think of a business or service; or whether it is to manipulate the public to form a favourable opinion of a business or service, one thing remains constant – its purpose is to mislead or to take advantage of the public. Public health and welfare is not considered.

b) No matter whether the activity of public relations is presented as being for the public benefit or for private advantage, there is never any acceptance that the commonalty is human – all except the controlling elite are treated as animals. To the mind manipulator, humanity is a collection of biological machinery.

Public Relations does not consider that people have a right to truth. The manipulator plays out the game as

unknowing and uncaring of the consequences as the dog rounding up sheep to the master's whistle.

When Bernays wants to know future trends he asks group leaders because he knows that what the group leaders: Editors, administrators of education, labour leaders, top executives, heads of organisations; hold the opinions to be touted by media as public opinion and the public accepts these as true.

Bernays knew, and we need keep in mind that behind the group leaders most directly influencing the thinking of millions, is a real (but secretive) private group planning the future for private benefit. They know you not, nor care about you. It is just as so many, over ages, have openly said.

These hidden princes and princesses see themselves as gods and for their wealth the generals of P.R worship them. Public relations people work happily, without thought of the arrogant assumption that a few of the same race and abilities are gods while all others are just mind-manipulated slaves.

Because power corrupts, the gaining of power inspires a lust for power. Arrogance hides the fact that if all were given equal rights to knowledge, then the human situation would be very different.

As with the party system: had we the whole parliament working for the nation rather than a few leaders working for an international crime syndicate, the benefit for the human community would be beyond imagination.

After generations of power a man may think he, his family and friends, are divorced from the stupid inept mass they have created; they may claim they cannot be held responsible for the stupidity of slaves. But they are, and will be held to be.

p256, by the mid 1940s future opinion would favour "free trade; an international bank; an international police force; and a world court." At that time the opinion leaders had set in train a public opinion to favour the formation of the *United Nations*; 1945. This would offer multiculturalism; world government and a *New World Order* without one in a million knowing what it was about.

Bernays' whole attitude to manipulation is well summed up in the chapter heading on p335: *How American Business Can Sell the American Way of Life to the American People*, apparently the American people did not know what their way of life was.

Well, they sold the American way of business to the American people, and world-wide, and no one bothered to sell the way of humanity to business.

Some people will still argue that manipulative business enterprise creates work – it certainly does, but to what purpose? People made to want things they don't need and which may, in the long term, be detrimental to their welfare. Can we be honest and see that unneeded work is waste work, it is work that could serve real satisfaction and social benefit!

Can we see that unneeded manufacture creates pollution and wasted resources. Can we see that in an honest world we could do so much better for ourselves than waste time and create pollution!

Our society has reached a stage in its development where we MUST take a new look at ourselves. The present system has created poisons for body, mind and environment; the final chapter will end human life unless we change direction to reset the final chapter.

Bernays may believe the chains of manipulation serve mankind; what does he know? He is an expert on manipulation but what does he know of humanity? We go back to the book *Propaganda*, p30, for closing quotes:

*A desire for a specific reform, however widespread, cannot be translated into action until it is made articulate ... Millions of housewives may feel that manufactured foods deleterious to health should be prohibited (1928). But there is little chance that their individual desires will be translated into effective legal form unless their half-expressed demand can be organized, made vocal, and concentrated upon state legislature or upon the Federal Congress in some mode which will produce the results they desire.* EQ.

How nice to think that PR might work for the public good – if those with the power organised us for us. Yes, it's OK to laugh. If those with the power cared, then millions of housewives would have had no need to complain, either then or now.

His statement clearly demonstrates the futility of the common herd when enslaved by government manipulation? Should public protest be against something detrimental to elitist plans then the establishment will support it, but if against establishment interests, then it will be ignored.

**Bernays ends this chapter of his book with these words; quote:**

*Small groups of persons can, and do, make the rest of us think what they please about a given subject. But*

*there are usually proponents and opponents of every propaganda, both of whom are equally eager to convince the majority.* EQ.

The first of that statement is true but makes the rest irrelevant. An entrenched elite controls the propaganda machine; opponents have small voice and serve only to give credibility to the independence of the propaganda. When Globalists play the *Hegelian* game (to balance the strength of puppet opponents) opponents must be heard. Globalists only use dissidents to create or reinforce community illusions.

If elitism needs to support one or other side in a *Hegelian* game it may be impossible to guess their game plan. However the plan is made transparent by the overall picture if we carefully examine it.

#### **Chapter 4: WHO REALLY GOVERNS?**

*“The present order of our lives is governed and controlled by the governors and controllers of money ... If an economist from Mars or a little child of ordinary intellect were told of the present position they would rock with laughter at the blind stupidity of mankind.”*

V. C. Vickers.

Since that was written the world has moved on and today the little child would more likely cry. Do we care who governs us? We should for, wherever you are in the world; it is certainly not the blindfolded people.

Also it is certainly not government as Constitutional agreements or “Bills of Rights” may require. No doubt many readers already know that, but we need to know more. It is of little help to know individual problems, or people involved with international political manipulation, we have no direct power over them. Only by knowing sufficient of their plans and methods to act meaningfully! We CAN protect ourselves and reclaim our heritage.

In Australia, political babble urging people to be free of British colonial ties is 'hogwash'. The colonial ties of Australia are now with the U N and with other nations by political agreements. As a U.N. colony, quisling signatures overrule old ties and, although brought about unconstitutionally and by agreements that are treasonable, the system effectively controls people, information and government.

We allow our freedoms to drain away because we place our trust in a form of government controlled by

unknown agents! Why? Is it because we are too smug to be interested in what is going on? Do we want to be slaves? No! Those who work to give our freedoms away are “quislings”; these think to profit from servitude to international finance.

### **Who or what does the Banking system represent?**

Although the ‘Australian Commonwealth Bank’ appears Australian it has long been only another private bank and joined them to stand over the Federal Government in the 1920s. Similar also happened in the USA. By such action it was thereby made clear that the banking system was top of the ‘pecking order’ of power. For example:

Ref. *Facts and Theories of Finance* by Frank Anstey; quote: *The war governments gave the Associated Banks the 'Right to Draw' Commonwealth notes without any gold payment or any deposited security.*

*The mechanism of this scheme, and the way it operated, were set forth in detail on June 13, 1924, by the then treasurer Earle Page. For all notes drawn under the scheme the banks had to pay interest at rates varying between 3% and 4%. ...*

*For instance six millions of the War Gratuities had to be paid in cash. The Government arranged with the banks to pay out and charge to the Government on a 5 1/2% loan basis. For this the banks had 'Right to Draw' Commonwealth notes to an account paid to ex-soldiers. Thus the banks were out nothing and scooped the difference between the 4% notes and the 5 1/2% 'loan'.*

*But the banks did not draw notes – they traded on their 'Right to Draw' as if the notes were actually in their own vaults. Thereby they avoided interest payments to the Government, but upon these 'Rights' they issued credits and drew interest from the Government and from the general public. ....*

*On June 23, 1923, these Rights to Draw totalled £8,000,000. The Board made a demand that the banks should exercise their 'Rights' – draw the notes, and pay interest thereon. The Banks refused.*

*Early in 1924 the banks made a demand that these rights should be extended by another £3,000,000. The Chairman of the Board, Mr John Garvan, stated that these 'Rights' were equivalent to an issue of notes to the banks without interest.*

*He described the proposition as 'madness'. The Treasurer upheld the view but the banks' demand was conceded. EQ.*

Anstey goes on to report that the banks subsequently demanded and forced the issue of further "free" drawing rights to a total of £31,000,000.

Who really governs? Had Australians elected their own chosen representatives to parliament and kept the Commonwealth Bank as an arm of government to be used as intended (as, in its early years it was) Australia need never have known any depression. **The newly formed Commonwealth Bank financed World War 1 and other of our economic needs without need of foreign debt or interest robbery.**

Now some quotes from *The Story of the Commonwealth Bank* by D J Amos, F.C.I.S. in which he provides information on the early bank and events leading to its betrayal by political parties. The parties, by their actions, prove that they were and are in quisling Service.

Amos shows that in 1912, Australia was a nation free from want and debt. In 1921 Commonwealth Bank Governor, Sir Denison Miller, (as reported in the press) said: p5-6, quote:

*"The whole of the resources of Australia are at the back of this bank ... whatever the Australian people can intelligently conceive in their minds and loyally support, that can be done." [As, for a time, it had been.]*

... p. 11. *By utilising Australian notes in this manner the Commonwealth Government avoided debt, interest charges and taxation ... it made enough money out of that account to pay the greater portion of the construction cost of the East-West Railway, the remainder coming out of revenue. (Hansard Vol. 129, p. 1930). EQ*

By 1916 the party system of government had begun its infiltration. By 1921 Miller must already have been aware that the parties would betray the nation and the bank. If this was an attempted warning to the public it fell on deaf ears.

1931 found Australia faced with a complete breakdown of her monetary system and proposals by the Theodore-led government to ease the financial situation were refused by the Bank; p34-5; quote:

*[The Commonwealth Bank] now proposed, without any consultation or prior discussion, to cut off money supplies to the government beyond a point that would be reached in a day or two. That could only be regarded as an attempt on the part of the bank to arrogate to itself a supremacy over the government in the determination of the financial policy of the Commonwealth, a supremacy which had never been*

*contemplated by the framers of the Australian Constitution, nor sanctioned by the Australian people.*

*In financial, as in all other matters of public policy, the Government was responsible to the electorate, and not to the banks, and it could not change this responsibility without exposing to grave danger the democratic principles of the nation. The Government would not be a party to any attempt of the Bank Board, or any other authority, to take from the people's representatives in parliament what had hitherto been regarded as an essential prerogative of the people – the control of the public purse.*

*He [Theodore] went on to point out that the present financial difficulties .. had been largely caused by the action of the Commonwealth Bank and the private banks themselves; for they had blindly followed the overseas banks in pursuing a deflationary policy which had forced down prices the world over, and brought in its train a collapse of trade, loss of commercial profits, thousands of business bankruptcies, and the creation of unemployment on a scale wholly unprecedented in the history of the country.*

*That it was in the power of the banks to remedy this state of things could not seriously be denied. EQ.*

Scullin's surrender to Sir Robert Gibson (bankers representative) in the Senate settled the matter and the sell-out of Australia to *the International Money-Lenders* was virtually complete. It seems that, on occasion, some party people may get too big for their boots and must be shown, quite firmly, the masters they must bow to. If we are going to have a party we should know that the man who pays the piper calls the tune.

Speaking of the depression of the 1930s Amos assures us that had the Commonwealth Bank been allowed to function as intended; quote (p9):

*"Many prosperous business firms would have been saved from ruin, and somewhere about one-third of our people would not have had to eat the bitter bread of charity. Neither would the people have had to submit to the ruinous debt and taxation imposed upon them in the second war period (1939-1945). EQ.*

This is not our only example of national blackmail. Now another, equally blatant – USA: 1907, where it is made equally clear who is master.

From the *New Book of Knowledge*, undated but no doubt printed in the early half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century when information and 'documentary evidence' was more accessible. Quote: Rockefeller, John Davison (1839-1937).

*This great capitalist, for long the richest man in the world was born at Richford, New York. . . his real life-career began in 1862, when he and his brother William entered the oil business.*

*In 1870 the various interests of the brothers were incorporated as the Standard Oil Co of Ohio, with John as president. In the 1870s the railways were competing desperately for business by giving rebates and drawbacks. The Standard Oil Co not only secured from the railways a rebate from the published rates . . . but also forced them to pay an agreed sum for every barrel of oil which the railway carried for its competitors.*

*. . . the Standard Oil Co quickly put its rivals out of business; by forcing them to sell out on terms laid down by Rockefeller. Owners of oil wells were also equally dependent on the one great oil refining co., and so an enormous business, controlling 95% of the oil refining. . . was built up in ten years.*

*Rockefeller Senior founded, amongst other organisations, the University Of Chicago, the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research and the Rockefeller Foundation 'to promote the well-being of mankind throughout the world.' His benefactions probably totalled over 150,000,000 Pounds Sterling.*

No doubt we now, or very soon, will see that these people are never really benefactors – they do not give anything away. They want their *pound of flesh* and all the blood that goes with it.

**We now go to the book *Money Power* by Frank Anstey; quote:**

*'The men controlling the great trusts and the principal banks of America organised a scheme of complete dominance over the industrial and financial life of the American nation. They waged a war of extermination against every competitor.*

*Against this policy President Roosevelt set his teeth. He prosecuted and secured against Standard Oil verdicts that loaded the trust with penalties to the extent of 29,000,000 dollars.*

*At once the Standard Oil banks (under Rockefeller), the Steel Trust banks (under Morgan), and the Beef Trust banks (under Armour) entered into an offensive Alliance. This triple alliance dominated the financial world of America. It had a majority on the Clearing House Association of all the big cities.*

*The New York Clearing House Association commenced proceedings. It commanded every independent bank to come to heel and take orders. Those who refused were*

*expelled from the Clearing House (October 20, 1907), on the ground that such banks were no longer worthy of public confidence.*

*Such action destroyed confidence in the blackballed banks and caused a run Charles Barney, of the Knickerbocker Bank; and Howard Marwell, of the Brooklyn, committed suicide. All other banks capitulated.*

*Within three days (from October 20 to 23, 1907) every bank in the United States had been brought to heel taught obedience, and mobilised for action. The representatives of banks expelled on the 20<sup>th</sup>, having duly made submission, were re-admitted to membership. Every bank received its orders, its ammunition and the hour of action.*

*Next day (October 24<sup>th</sup>, 1907) the strike of the Bank Trust against the nation commenced. Every bank, from the Atlantic to the Pacific, refused to pay out gold; refused to pay anything but paper currency of its own creation – a currency with which every bank throughout the United States had previously been stocked – an incontestable of proof of preparedness.*

*On October 25th, 1907, Pierpoint Morgan declared, on behalf of the Banking Association of America: We will continue to trade in a paper currency and pay no more gold until we get from President Roosevelt the necessary guarantee against adverse legislation. The people can take paper money or leave it; they will get nothing else. The mills, mines, and other industries controlled by ourselves and allied interests will slacken down or close until we get effective guarantees against anti-trust prosecutions.*

*This was the ultimatum of the Money Trust to the subject nation. Speaking in the United States Senate, Senator James Mill said: this recklessness and remorseless brutality comes from men who speak our language, who were born under the same skies and nurtured in the principles of a common faith.*

*It comes from the cold, phlegmatic heart of avarice – avarice that seeks to paralyse labour and increase the burden of the nation's debt – avarice that refused to be satisfied without the suffocation and strangulation of all the labour in the land.*

*The banks held the country by the throat. If any depositor moved legal process, the great magnates could carry him from court to court for years. If the Government enforced the law of cash redemption the Banking Trust threatened to close its doors. Only a revolutionary seizure, that Congress was not prepared*

*to enforce or the press to endorse, could defeat the conspiracy of the financial thuggery. ...*

*The London "Daily News" (December 6) said: The Trust magnates hardly seem to have moved a finger, yet they made their power felt throughout the civilised world. They have made no sacrifices, but, on the contrary, will emerge wealthier men than before. . EQ.*

Not only wealthier but with unchallenged power. Question: Did President Roosevelt really 'set his teeth' or was there all along an agreement to make it seem that the government was powerless to act against "wealthy" thugs and gangsters? The action that the banks took show they had prepared for this and there was action that could be taken if the government and/or the press had been willing to take it.

Government and news media could have exposed the scam and enforced public accountability! That the banks were already prepared (by having paper money printed and distributed) is sufficient evidence that the 'blackmail' was planned. For the international moneylenders to gain that amount of power by legislation would have been a long process – only by going through the charade of force could it be accomplished quickly, decisively, and with security.

Had the government been, as it is supposed to be, in democratic public service, then the conspiracy would have been charged with act of war, treason or blackmail. Leaders would be arrested and assets confiscated. This uprising could have been quelled within twenty-four hours.

Obviously, had the trust magnates not been sure of the servility of 'their' Party System and 'their' Mass media then that risk could not have been taken. These people are not rash gamblers.

We have to face the fact that Globalists have no concern for nations or people. 'Charitable' tax-free donations to institutions of learning "to promote the well-being of mankind throughout the world" are bribes or public camouflage. By careful graft selective recruitment is gained for effective bias of education and promotion of Humanist ideology. The leading Universities of leading nations have great influence on education "throughout the world".

The grip of the international bankers on the nations was tightened further in 1944; p45, quote: *On July 1st, 1944, delegates from 44 nations met at Bretton Woods, in the USA. There they found an Agreement already prepared for their signatures, and they were asked to sign upon the dotted lines. It took three weeks to induce them to sign, but eventually all did so.*

... p46 Australia was given until December 31st, 1946 to ratify the agreement. Some cabinet ministers were in favour of doing so, but many members of parliament and trade union executives saw clearly that to do so was to prove false to their promise to bring the Commonwealth Bank back to its original position of financier for the people of Australia. EQ.

Australia signed in August 1947, the 45th victim to ratify this plunder. Undoubtedly there are MPs who enter politics with some principles and rose-coloured spectacles; their battles are vital to keeping people believing the parliament is genuine.

This manipulation did not start in the USA! The money-lending elitists are the moneychangers Jesus drove from the Temple. Usury probably began in early Middle East civilisations; flourished in Europe from the Middle Ages and was highlighted when (1743-1812) Mayer Amschel Rothschild and five sons financed wars, nations, and railways in Europe and America.

As Amos suggests certain carrots consistently dangled before the donkey's nose are of great use in sending the donkey down the road desired by international finance. He also sees that a great deal more is now understood about these forces and how they operate.

The reason knowledge is not taken up by the people is that it is, by subtle deceit, hidden from them. The banker cat is hidden by the party system and mass media. Almost everyone behaves as if living in democracies even though, when asked, most readily admit that what we have is not democracy. We pretend governments must do their best for us because we can vote them out of power. There are two problems with that idea:

- 1) We are not well enough informed about government activities to make intelligent decisions and:
- 2) Though we may dismiss a party, we are not offered a vote on party government and the party systems are Globalist 'owned'.

If a 'party' was serving the people and not the money-lenders then it would take the people into its confidence and have the public support it needed; Criminals would be arrested and assets confiscated. That political parties don't do this, clearly shows who they work for, p49; quote:

*"The right of the people of this Commonwealth to expand or contract financial credit in accordance with their needs, by means of the Commonwealth Bank, was something that the people of Australia should have safeguarded with the same jealousy as they safeguard their right to vote. They did not do this, so when the*

*artificial depression of the 'thirties' burst upon them, they were exposed without defence to the mercy of domestic and foreign financiers who knew no mercy. ... they are just as powerless to help themselves against future depressions which those same financiers may be preparing for them."*

This is clearly evident today (2008). Deceit is made easy by party managed, candidate selection. The right to vote does not create democracy.

Had the Australian *Commonwealth Bank* continued as originally planned – Australia would be a very different nation. As the party system became established, the Commonwealth Bank became, in effect, a stooge of the private banks and international banking.

Plato, *"The Laws"*: *"The state is just like a ship at sea, which always needs someone to keep watch night and day, as it is steered through the waves of international affairs, it lives in constant peril of being captured by all sorts of conspiracies."*

Until we, the people, are prepared to admit our slavery to a system of international financial deceit, we cannot escape this tyranny.

When we are prepared to take responsibility for our governing we will elect our own chosen representatives and confiscate all assets lost by blackmail seduction or manipulation of political control. Banks will return to public control; all personal debt at time of changeover can be halved and interest rates set below 5%. Humanity will then progress in wisdom and justice.

An American, Dr. E. Vieira, writes about unlawful money. The situation in the USA is no better than in Australia. Vieira, a lawyer, explains that it would take the power of a foreign nation to take the International Money Lenders (IML) to court and expose that they are operating outside, and contrary to, the Constitution of the USA.

In the 1980s this idea may have seemed possible, today it is clearly a dream. Where is there a nation of authority that is not already under the thumb of the IML? Should a substantial nation come forward to take the IML in the USA to law the USA Government would consider the trade consequences; the legal system would not accept that there was a case to hear; the mass media would not report on the matter. That would be the end of it. No nation has, or will ever, attempt this.

Years ago Lord Rothschild is reported to have said: "Permit me to issue the money of a nation and I care

not who makes its laws." As you might expect, his wording is devious. His emphasis would lead people to believe he was above the law.

To be truly honest he would have said, "So long as the law permits me to issue the money I care not who makes the laws." This way of expressing it would have shown that it is national law that has the power to control the issue of money.

Electors have the power to choose honest people – we, the people have access to ultimate control.

**Note:** the *USA Federal Reserve Bank*, which has effectively controlled world finance, is privately owned, it is not, as many believe, a government instrument (more in other literature).

Another truth to remember: as expressed by C H Douglas in, "*In Whose Service is Perfect Freedom*"; quote: *It is not too much to say that an International Organisation having almost unlimited control of money and, in consequence, of the Press, can produce almost any "trend" which may serve its purpose. What it cannot do, however, is to avoid the natural consequences of the policies which it pursues.* EQ.

After the 1990s economic downturn and bank seizures, people are told that the economic conditions are improving; we work hard to pay off our debts and establish new assets. Then, a few years later, comes the next shearing.

They get away with blatant robbery, that we let them is not a simple matter of natural cringe and servility. Cultural cowardice may go a long way to explaining party servitude but to explain public servility we have to look at mind manipulating deception.

If someone stands in parliament and resists economic blackmail we might think the people would flock in support. But when the people do not understand the issues they look to the media and 'authorities' for leadership: to where the PR experts spin their web.

At this stage you may feel that this is all too incredible, or hopeless, but think about what is at stake! What have we to lose by making a realistic effort – our lives, the lives of children and grandchildren? The evidence of our social situation is telling us: everything we have to live for, the integrity of our human potential, our right to understanding and choice, is at risk. We cannot lose what is lost, but we can regain it!

What we have to decide is whether we will try to understand the problem or accept growing misery as

we sell our soul and watch our human potential drain away. There is a lot written about the money trick and usury. Its disastrous results go back thousands of years. To go into it more fully here would delay gaining of the "whole of life" understanding we need.

If we cannot now see that the *international money-lenders* (IML) are the power behind globalisation of commerce and the New World Order, then perhaps we should admit that we no longer have human intelligence. Still, this is only the fourth chapter of Book 2, and surely our alert readers are now seeing there are cats among us pigeons.

**Remember this quote:**

*The present order of our lives is governed and controlled by the governors and controllers of money ... If an economist from Mars or a little child of ordinary intellect were told of the present position they would rock with laughter at the blind stupidity of mankind.* EQ. As said by V C Vickers, one-time Deputy Lieutenant of the City of London, a director of Vickers Limited and, from 1910 to 1919, governor of the Bank of England.

He knew his subject. Are we, the people, are a sub-human species unable to understand the meanings of "truth" or "lies"? If that is so will you please explain to me why it is then necessary that we brainless ones have to be lied to, miss-educated and brain-washed? Why is it that a huge industry has to be set in place to keep us in the dark? Tell me why science delivers no evidence of two distinctly different human races inhabiting our earth?

If you explain these things to me then I will apologise to myself for wasting my life – and to you for wasting your time – if you cannot do that then I trust you to think and, by the end of this book, to see that our position is only without hope if we are ourselves hopeless.

**Chapter comment:** Be in no doubt whatever, the wealth of the world has been purchased with fake money! Paper money, and 'book-entry' money are not of themselves criminal; they become criminal when created by criminals for criminal purposes. The owners of the Federal Reserve won't be harmed if it fails.

Not only has the wealth of the world been purchased with fake money but also the very "humanity" of mankind is sold into the hands of these same criminals.

Make no mistake! This is not just a life or death struggle for some; it is a struggle for the humanity of man.

## Chapter 5: POLITICS

Now a sample of just how treacherous 'Party' people are and what that means to our nation.

**World-wide we, the people, have been robbed of so much more than democratic government and massive wealth – we have been and are being robbed of our birthright to know ourselves and the right to understand and develop our true humanity.**

### Starting again with the small nation.

In 1991 it was well demonstrated that Australian political leaders not only lie to the public but also break solemn promises to each other in their self-interested competition to win the glory of office.

Even with that known, we still feel that if we ask a politician a straight question about Constitution or law, we will get a straight answer. Here is a revealing answer given by a sitting Federal MP dated 20th.11; 1991. Quote:

*"Thank you for your letter, directed to me by my State colleague. Your argument seems to rest on the constitutional question of whether the 1688 Bill of Rights confers any legal 'right' to bear arms on the Australian citizen.*

*I have sought advice in this matter from the office of the Attorney General who advises that the 1688 Bill of Rights has never been applicable to Australia and is not part of our Constitution. Nor can it be made binding under any Westminster System of Government.*  
Gary Johns MP, Federal Member for Petrie. EQ.

It is difficult to imagine how any nation can become so divorced from all understanding of its roots that a Federal MP, backed by the Attorney General's office, can give, and get away with, such blatantly misleading advice. We seem prepared to live with lies and deceit but it is still hard to accept such contempt. Years of neglect of the truth and misrepresentations of fact have made us ignorant and uncaring of where our rights and freedoms originate, or of how vital is knowledge of past victories and the sacrifices to life's future.

Our legal rights are no longer enforceable. By election we hand parliament the reins of power. Parliaments may have acted illegally in signing our rights away but elected government (illegal or not) holds the reins of power.

Or, as Chairman Mao is reported to have said. *"The hand that holds the gun ...."* Have we no human vision for life? If we are too mindless to accept electoral

responsibility, then what right have we to complain if our children are also made mindless?

For confirmation that the political advice given above was a blatant misrepresentation, consider comment from *Issues Paper No.1* put out about the same time by a, government-organised *Constitutional Commission*. In the report of its findings, p6, it has this to say; quote: *"Laws dealing with individual and democratic rights, which are also called 'fundamental freedoms' and 'guarantees', have a very long and cherished history, beginning with Magna Carta, continuing with the British Bill of Rights of 1688, which is still law in Australia".* EQ.

The Gary Johns statement also refers to the "Westminster System of Government". The so-called Westminster System is nothing more than a political convenience; it has no legal status. Deceit of the public in political matters is worldwide and well entrenched.

For an even more important example of just how submissive we are to political rape (Australia; 1990) we find the then Prime Minister Hawke addressing the *National Press Club*; quote: *"I now come to an area of reform which we must acknowledge has proved most difficult to achieve – the Constitution itself. It is true that there have been very significant changes in the way we govern ourselves, within the existing Constitution.*

...

*"High Court decisions have done more to alter the Constitution than all the referendums. And there has been, without change to the text of the Constitution, quiet and constructive adjustment in the roles of the Federal and State Governments in such areas as family law.*

*"Thus, without actual change to the written Constitution, there has been considerable change in the role and responsibilities of the three levels of government".* EQ.

Here we see a Prime Minister speaking directly to the *National Press* and boasting about how the political parties have conspired with the High Court to make significant changes to the way the Australian Constitution is interpreted at law – all without referendum, publicity or press reference to alert the public to a crime that, when the constitution was written, would have bought charges of treason and quite likely hanging.

The Australian Constitution requires that changes be put to referendum and passed, not only by a majority of people but also by a majority of States. Do you wonder

how it is possible that those statements did not make headlines? That words were not changed is no excuse: a change of legal meaning is change to the original legal meaning of the Constitution.

Even the pigs in *Animal Farm* had the courage to change the words on the back of the barn. What kinds of creatures run affairs in today's democracies?

For significance of what the PM said, let us consider a comment by High Court Judge Wilson in regard to one such change; quote:

*"The effect of investing the Parliament with power through Section 51 in all these areas would be transfer to the Commonwealth of virtually unlimited power in almost every conceivable aspect of life in Australia".* EQ.

Now consider the *Crimes Act*, under TREASON, "24 AA. (1) A person shall not – (a) do any act or thing with intent – (i) to overthrow the Constitution of the Commonwealth by revolution or sabotage." Was not the then PM boasting to the *National Press* of sabotage of the National Constitution? Have all been silenced for life by bribe or threats? No! No! No! Nothing so excusable! They keep quiet as co-conspirators!

As we see, the privileged and politically indoctrinated like to imagine themselves as part of the elite 'peer group'; manipulation is for the common good. From lofty heights of ideology they look down: "What right have ignorant people to be Common Law equal with us – what right have those lowly creatures to truth?"

It seems that news reporters and petty officials have regressed to primitive times and believe that truth is contaminated by contact with the 'profane'! Well, perhaps it is – but who now are the profane?

That is how it now stands – wherever your nation – so we let it stand! Can we care so little for our future – the future of humanity? How can any now believe that National Constitutions protect us – that we can appeal to law if we need to?

In fact there is ample evidence of people trying to uphold their constitutional rights having such appeals simply brushed aside without explanation. It is now clearly demonstrated that our freedoms are being deleted without Constitutional change.

If secretly arrested it is now useless to appeal to law! Even if that is an option the law will judge according to what parliament and courts have decided. People bankrupt themselves in foolish attempts to have the law find in the logic of the written Constitution.

Knowing why and how we are deceived it is only honest we call it conspiracy? Let the 'educated' see it as a joke if they still can. Let them say we are guilty of treason if we oppose treason? Of course they can, and who will those we defend believe; or even hear?

Let's see why all given authority (from nurses to police) must be university 'trained/indoctrinated'? As said years ago by Sir John Fortescue:

*Treason never prospers; what's the reason?  
For when it prospers, none dare call it treason.*

It can be shown, from comment recorded in *Hansard* (official government record), that the political parties and their politicians, knowingly and without shame, exceed their Constitutional authority – that they unite across party lines to cover their deceptions. Petty officials and media ignore it.

The long-term effect of mass deceit is that when someone with knowledge tries to show people that they are being betrayed, the first action of those who should be concerned is to ask their local MP. Having asked, they will believe his answer rather than trust their own intelligence or investigate for themselves! They fear to face that, what they believe is 'their government' deliberately and wilfully betrays them.

The Australian Constitution is little more than a limited authority, given by the Australian States and people, to allow Federal unity. Australian States were originally independent colonies. The Australian National Constitution is not an overriding authority for our Common Law system!

So, while the *1688 Bill of Rights* may not be actual part of what is called *The Australian Constitution*, it is the base for Australia's national Common Law system – the system that empowered the States to create *The Australian Constitution* – our legitimate democracy. It was never intended the Federal Government be above the national law.

For the quoted MP to be correct then Australian States could never have been British colonies and there would be no legal base for an Australian legal system. Don't waste time and money trying to get charge of treason upheld in a court of law. You are divorced, now get out and stay out.

This situation of deceit and treachery could not possibly arise, as a result of local conspiracy – were that its extent, deceit would be exposed by true democracies. This cancer is world-wide. As in politics so in finance! As in the small nation so in the US of A: treachery and treason are world-wide!

When Jimmy Carter was elected to Presidency in 1976, an ABC (Radio 2) *Investigations* program discussed the power elite behind the US of A presidential system. The panel, consisting of host John Merson, Dr Ernie Chaples (University of Sydney), Dennis Altman (University of Sydney) and Ralph Summy (University of Queensland) listened to and supported a talk given by an American, Professor William Dunhoff, who explained the system quite well.

Transcript of a section: Dunhoff talking with Ben Smith, a political scientist from *California State University*; quote: Dunhoff:

*"One of the things that we find that's very important is that the big-business people who are the ruling class have a series of policy planning organisations which try to stay bipartisan and non-partisan in their representation, and these organisations consist of big-businessmen, corporation lawyers and academic experts, who meet together in small discussion groups and try to plan out policy in areas such as foreign policy, economic policy, welfare policy, population policy – name it, and what we find is when we look at those organisations, they have leaders who are both democrats and republicans, and what we find further is that whomever is elected as president, a democrat or republican, we find that his appointments to government tend to be from these non-partisan, bipartisan (whatever euphemism we're giving for them) policy organisations.*

*"Next question, 'Why is that possible?' Americans are very deferential towards expertise. Somehow these people have been certified as experts on complex questions. And always the idea is, 'questions today are very complex – it takes an expert'. Well, these organisations have [been given] a monopoly on expertise.*

*In this country, in the two party system, for historical reasons, what we see is that politicians will say and do anything to get elected, but they often don't mean it; and they often don't for sure know what they're talking about; and so, when they are elected, they turn to these experts for their policies.*

...

[Ben Smith] .. *The government itself sends representatives, the executive branch sends representatives to these Councils, and they work out policies there quite outside of the public agencies.*" EQ.

Private policy making agencies mentioned include *The Committee for Economic Development, The Council on Foreign Relations, The Rockefeller Foundation, The Trilateral Commission* (organised by David

Rockefeller and including leaders from Japan and Europe). These are among leading agencies that support, *international banking, international commerce, leading academics, etc.* In short these are Globalist agencies not just *big-businessmen, corporation lawyers and academic experts*, and, among these are PR advisers. No doubt we may now add Asia to the contributing list.

How subtle (but not secret) this web of deceit? Mentioned in course of this discussion is that Jimmy Carter was invited onto the Trilateral Commission because he was "internationalist orientated". Carter was not necessarily set-up as president; he wanted to be president and because he had the right public profile, attitudes and beliefs, he was supported.

The elected do not have to be 'insiders'. It is easier to look sincere in what you say if you are sincere. Public profile, ignorance, passion for power and 'acceptance of indoctrinated beliefs' = a Globalist-preferred candidate.

At time of the election Carter had, as his most prominent foreign policy adviser Zbgniew Bryznsky, *Russian Studies Centre of Columbia University* and member of the *Council on Foreign Relations*. As seen in recent comment this 'adviser' in 2008, is still with the Carter family.

We, the people, think some nations are enemies; Globalists live in a world where power is god and 'peoples' and nations, are pawns. No matter who of the present candidates wins the presidency in the 2008 elections I see disaster for the USA as a world power. You need \$millions to get elected and if elected you need a team of expert advisers. All (approved) 'expert advisers' are members of those private, foundations.

Dean Rusk was President of *The Rockefeller Foundation*; Henry Kissinger was with the *Council on Foreign Relations*. Similar relationships may be made for literally thousands of government members, officials and advisers. No doubt, throughout the 20th century, all governments in the USA drew their policies and experts, from people empowered by 'International Bankers' and loyal to international commerce.

It makes little difference who wins a US, or any other, political election. [2008]

This comment is not based on that broadcast alone. That broadcast is only a useful example of information 'revealing' and/or 'confirming' the efficiency of our manipulation. Numerous books comment on, praise, or claim to try to expose the hidden government.

Most appear to fail not because they are too extreme or because they do not explain in enough detail. Insiders and their puppets can openly discuss these things in public but, take note! Such discussion is NOT publicised as it would be (and as we expect serious matters to be publicised) what is publicised is what they want us to take notice of and what we believe.

That is one of the effects of 'ballyhoo', when indoctrinated we expect to be clearly (and often) told what to believe. Without that we accept the matter is of no importance to us and happily ignore implications. Let's follow this:

"Poll setback will push Bush to the Right" says the headline (20/12/1992); quote: *The astonishingly strong vote for Patrick Buchanan in New Hampshire is a disaster for Australia. The former speechwriter for Ronald Reagan is doing his formidable best to energise every destructive force in United States politics, every force that could transform the US from the leading Progenitor of free trade and liberal internationalism into a small-minded, mean-spirited nation turning increasingly inward upon itself.*

*"Buchanan is trying to tap into deep forces in the US political psyche. His targets in the New Hampshire campaign were more than just President Bush. They were also Japan, immigrants, internationalism, and indeed the very concept of US foreign policy".*

That emotional diatribe is in support of Globalist, *New World Order*, policies and the writer's complaint is that Buchanan was appealing to basic American feelings that oppose Globalism. Its just sideshow! Both sides appeal to basic feelings and both sides abuse the motives of the other.

All who appear to challenge Globalist policy are accused of anything from treachery to Ku Klux Klan but no clear Globalist abuse is claimed as small minded support of Marxism or National Socialism, though these are also among wingtip feathers of the *capitalist/communist* bird.

But Capitalist governments everywhere teach and encourage communist socialism in their universities under cloak of humanist philosophy? The World establishment pulled the props from under the USSR (a frightener no longer needed) but still find use for communist/capitalist dialectic for deceit.

Does it seem strange that a socialist-leaning 'Globalist' national broadcasting service is exposing "Capitalist Conspiracy" and hidden government, while other 'Capitalist' media blacken the character of people who

appeal to community feelings that seem to oppose Globalism?

This is understood when we know that keeping secrets involves distracting people and maintaining divisions. Can they be accused of keeping secrets if few take notes? It's all about keeping the dream alive: I mean the Hegelian Dialectic dream – the divide and conquer dream.

The academic position is to scorn any suggestion of conspiracy. However no serious attempt is made to answer evidence of secretive manipulation of community or government. It is difficult to disprove what is true in convincing manner especially when you know it is true.

From where comes UN policy direction? Committees representing various interests will normally argue, splinter, create more problems than solved. Example: the first League of Nations.

Globalists did not make this mistake twice; the '*social engineering*' of the United Nations works because it now works to guidelines bound by a guiding force of disciplined goal-setting.

Who arranged the consensus of United Nations' members to secretly betray the independent nationality of each and every country taking part? When was this argued out in any National Parliament?

Where were the mass media headlines explaining that in joining the UN the nations were surrendering national independence to a secretive world government? You won't find those questions answered in news headlines!

The world-planning directive of the United Nations is Globalism and the word 'governance' was introduced to soften the harsh reality of dictatorial brutality taking over everyday care, life-direction and business! The UN is a big cat among the pigeons.

## **Chapter 6: EDUCATION**

**Globalists have no concern for nations or people. 'Charitable' tax-free donations to institutes of learning "to promote the well-being of mankind throughout the world" are encouragements for selective teachings and selective recruitment.**

There is a difference between education and indoctrination but they merge easily. The danger of

government education is that it so easily includes indoctrination. This is not obvious! It is in the nature of education that success is a fixation of teachings into the minds of students.

We all dislike challenge to our beliefs! Though many accept the value of progressive enlightenment others, for various reasons, feel so insecure about themselves that once they accept a belief they evade all challenge of learning.

Indoctrination is about control: education is about understanding. Beliefs about religion, ideology and creation are loyalty-demanding fixations Globalists must control. Good education is kept to established knowledge – one is about free communication the other about selective control.

The glue of ‘public relations’ creates secure links between ‘party politics’, ‘world government’ and ‘education’. Injected by control of social environment, these are hardened by repetition of mass media.

*The Humanist*, Jan/Feb 1983: *The battle for humankind's future must be waged and won in the public school classroom by teachers who correctly perceive their role as the proselytisers of a new faith ... These teachers must embody the same selfless dedication as the most rabid fundamentalist preachers, for they will be ministers of another sort, utilising the classroom instead of the pulpit to convey humanist values in whatever subjects they teach .... pre-school or large state university.* EQ.

Would this be so totally ignored were it, with appropriate word changes, published by a theist religion? Humanist religion is based on faith in chance creation, its strength comes from its ability to prop-up or excuse those distressed by inability to control the fears and insecurities it creates.

As we saw: “Book 1”, humanity is learned, a child raised by animals cannot, after learning basics are set, ever become human. That has been demonstrated by actual examples and Alexis Carrel, who worked for the Rockefellers, has shown the principle was understood.

That knowledge offers easy deformation of human intellect. A child need only be denied access to human development (or be taught false values) to have its human growth deformed or destroyed – the worst possible abuse of humanity and the work of PR.

Another confirmation; Quote: *The control of society – any society – needs to be taken out of the hands of technicians, experts and panoply of bureaucrats and*

*placed firmly in the hands of the community, which has increasingly been manipulated, managed and controlled as if it were a programmable machine". "The High Tech Fix" by Dr. J.W. Smith; Flinders Univ.*

Naturally (self-educated) people do see through the frail veil, unfortunately too few now have this advantage and the courage to admit that deforming of the human mind is deliberate. Evidence of mind corruption, deceit and manipulation surrounds us as a shroud of fog. It is essential we appreciate that ‘humanism’ means “substitute human”.

News report (2/10/1991): “*Losing all sense in plain English*”. Quote: *The title of the recent national conference of English teachers held in Brisbane was "New Voices, New Directions: the Politics of Literacy". One might assume that a variety of voices would have been heard and that there was room for disagreement and debate – but this was not the case.*

*In the jargon of the new orthodoxy the teacher's role is to give students the power to offer “a resistant reading that challenges the ideology of the text”. ... Of course, the intention is not to understand or appreciate what is actually written but rather to subvert and undermine the text and to give free play to one's subjective and idiosyncratic response.* EQ.

Another example of how language destruction is promoted: humanists have paranoid fear of discussion and ban it to pretend they are unchallenged. Knowing our situation, we can see this conference is about destruction of literacy; by deformed literacy education ideologues pretend each text is ideology-directed and must be redirected or discredited by their own ideology – there is no cultural truth; culture is an ideological competition.

The writer of the article, a teacher of English, saw that to attain their ends, the ideological fanatics were intent on denying their students any mastery of written and spoken English. The ideas being promoted were not to ensure that students would understand the text but that they would use their “imprinted” ideology to read into a text whatever they wanted to see.

One can imagine the difficulty of sensibly correcting an exercise in English appreciation. The difficulties for the student in any future attempts to know the real world become insurmountable when such an attitude becomes fixed in the developing mind.

The power of mind-bias is, over time, crippling. Here a few lines from “*The Forgotten Language*”, by Professor David Myers (1992); quote:

***This decline in literacy at our universities is so grave that many universities insist that all students enrolled in the natural sciences or engineering or business must also pass a subject in written communication skills.*** [Note: Not needed for social courses.]

*This need was highlighted by the Williams report on engineering graduates in Australia, which complained about the illiterate and socially unaware graduates who were nothing but highly specialised technicians.*

*Conservatives believe that a decline in academic literacy can be ascribed to the decision taken a few decades ago by educators in our primary and secondary schools to stop teaching the boring old rules and practice of grammar and to encourage creative self-expression. ... almost half of the incoming students at the new universities are not functionally literate. ....*

*We must acknowledge that the quality of life in our society stems from the quality of our communication. Although you wouldn't know it from watching TV, the quality of our civilisation is more dependent on our literature and on our joy in writing and reading than it is on sex without AIDS, mindless consumerism, cordless telephoning, video-conferencing and gee-whiz technological progress.* EQ.

Though many find it hard to believe that "politically correct" is not a joke; it is active, working and in dramatic influence over the last 30 years. The first stage is now complete and a second stage being introduced to the English speaking world.

Observant people, of an age to have witnessed the changes taking place, should be well aware of the truth of the above but many are fooled to think technical progress mirrors human progress. There has never been a more important human issue!

### **Language, Dictionaries & Libraries**

Our fears are confirmed in many ways including 'new-speak' indoctrination. The following is from an article by Dame Leonie Kramer, then Chancellor of the University of Sydney; quote: "*Much has been written about political correctness. It is a comprehensive idea which includes your attitude to just about everything from politics itself to children's literature ... and language. The new Random House dictionary must surely be the bible of the language of political correctness ...*

*Here are some examples.. "heightism " and "weightism " recognise discrimination against short and fat people but not, you will notice, against tall and thin ones..*

*"herstory ... distinguishes the study of women's affairs from the generic, all-inclusive history". This absurdity is allowed in spite of the fact that the word "history" has nothing to do with gender but comes from the Greek word for "knowing".* EQ.

Political correctness is exposed in Orwell's 'Newspeak': as a social engineering device. "Political correctness", allows no room for "common sense correctness". You may feel this radical extremism is a 'red herring'. Beware! It IS working! It is NOT just words! It IS seriously undermining factual beliefs and attitudes!

Dame Leonie shows her deep consciousness of the essential part played by literature in the progress of civilisation in a later article (25/11/1991) where she says; quote: "*Yet the lesson from links in memory (whether conscious or not) between the disintegration of the Soviet Union; mid-19th century English melancholy in the face of the retreat of faith; Sophocle's tragedies, and Shakespeare's reflections on mortality, is that the memory is an extraordinary flexible and agile power. If it is provided with a great variety of images, sensations, thoughts and experiences, it can rearrange them so as to create new thoughts and images.*

....  
*"The neglect of history in schools, and the abandonment for many students of literature which has influenced and enriched our ways of viewing the world, has deprived them of the means of making contact with their own heritage. It is very difficult, and for some impossible, to recover for themselves what has been denied in early years.* [Underline added.]

[Anne] "*Wroe compares the purposeful characters of traditional narratives with the merely repetitive stereotypes of [new novel] 'Scarlett', whose characters "shift from adventure to adventure without growing older or wiser". She sees this as "an apt metaphor for our morally structureless world in which event follows event without particular meaning... "*

[*"Morally structureless"* well describes today's social literature and TV. To see its promotion as propaganda we only have to see how often event follows event without logical story line; drama follows drama without reason. Characters are stupid or wise to needs of drama.]

*"The notion of 'Political correctness' is receiving a great deal of attention in the United States at present, as journalists highlight examples of the new intolerance being shown by promoters of sexual and racial equality toward anyone who dares to question their views. On US campuses, harassment and*

*intimidation are – with alarming results – enforcing the demand for conformity to PC thinking. Professors have been forced to drop controversial subjects and have been hounded out of teaching positions. Books are being censored, and courses modified to conform to what has been called the "tyranny of the minority".* EQ. UL emphasis added.

This radical minority is unable to question its fixations; that is beyond its courage. These zombies murdered millions in Cambodia and the USSR. They accuse opponents of 'authoritarianism' but are totally blind to their own mindless beliefs. Radical ideology IS government-backed –Globalist mind manipulating IS an accepted part of your life.

Members of the general community are inclined to disregard or take lightly the more extremist ranting and ravings of political correctness but the danger is real and couldn't be more serious! In power it kills without mercy! In claim of peace it destroys without compassion. Though it may seem crazy we must remember that "politically correct" means a 'NWO' of totalitarian barbarity.

#### **Libraries:**

Howard Coxon, (4/12/1991); quote: ... *if there is a low level of use why retain the material? ... Librarians must set their own priorities. It has been too easy for them to surrender this role on the grounds that selection is a decision ultimately for users.* EQ.

This is about the growing mass of (uneconomical to store) printed material: But what should be destroyed? Coxon (a librarian) thinks librarians should make the choice – items should be disposed of irrespective of usage.

However we are in a situation where knowledge is hidden for political control. Many do not know what a National Constitution is, even less understand its social importance. Constitutional lawyers are trained for commerce; they gain no income from social research.

Books explaining features of our political heritage may be vital to a democratic future; do we throw these out (or burn them) because they are now not used? We can sympathise with problems of space but imagine the disaster – the vandalism – should a head librarian have a 'politically correct' attitude. We are now facing fascist/socialist extremes, Librarians are University indoctrinated, truth is irrelevant and books (as in other truth-fearing dictatorships) are being burned.

What is the importance of good library services? Is there any truly original thought? Do we, as Dame

Leonie says, build new complexity out of old complexity? Are 'new' ideas thought recombinations? Is a steam engine a logical recombination of simple laws of leverage, lubrication, huffing and puffing; does general knowledge facilitate new understanding?

Nature's laws only become understood as a result of our ability to create words to describe and help visualise the nature of our world – libraries are a storehouse of experience and ideas. Accumulated experience forms the foundation of human development!

The English language is based on 26 letters. The genetic code has an alphabet of only four 'letters' but from this base is written the genetic literature of all animals, including mankind. At this time great effort is being made to protect our world's genetic reserves. The genetic reserve is the gene library. Each life-form may be seen as a book in the library of life.

More serious than the threat to our gene library is the threat to our thought library; without thought based on fact and life experience, the humanity of mankind disappears. What is safe? Language is being altered and deleted to create communications gaps; law is altered to break family bonding and to discourage the mixing of generations. Any explanation to a teenager today is likely to be met with a smug, "I know!" This indoctrinated response protects ignorance from experience and defends it from light.

Political manipulators destroy our understanding of language and literature to control future thought and attitude, this is the equal of breaking the communications chain between past and future – to destroying the creative reservoir of humanity – when we destroy our ability to understand our past we delete the human family-tree from the jungle.

Destroy vital books; lose communication skills; change word meanings; create generation gaps! Divide culture into groups and communications barriers divide us! Our ability to think, express ourselves and be human, dies. Thoughts construct images! Communications create humanity!

Remember Machiavelli (1469-1527); quote: "*One of the great secrets of the day is to know how to take possession of popular prejudices and passions in such a way as to introduce a confusion of principles which makes impossible all understanding between those who speak the same language and have the same interests.*"

**Restricting Vocabulary.** Directing our attention to trivia of fashion, sex, sport, entertainment, politics; fears and insecurities of confusion restrict vocabulary.

Human consciousness of self and the ability to think beyond the trivial depends on an agreed meaning of words. Expansion of vocabulary was limited until the invention of a form of writing using an alphabet of letters based on sounds.

Ideas must be born in mind before the physical is created. Before the phonics-based alphabet, signs or symbols represented words. Pictures and signs served early writing, however these forms limit descriptive words for invention and development of vision and ideas. Culture dies in dogma!

Today, if we want a word to describe some new idea or product, we can create one without need to relate it to existing words. A phonics-based alphabet gives limitless ability to develop and convey new concepts; it frees us of restraints imposed by early writing.

This value is conclusively shown by the historic fact. Civilisations based on 'images-writing' stagnated because of communication limitations.

Modern civilisation was facilitated by the phonics-based alphabet! The 'new' education, where phonics was dropped in favour of "Look-See", was vital to the 'dumbing down', mind manipulation, program.

Not evenly effective because of different home environments and abilities, nevertheless reduction of communication skills was quickly evident.

Having trouble understanding new equipment instructions? You experience the problem: do you see the cause. Reduction in word ability leads to cultural blind spots; computers are not difficult to use but those doing the programming cannot understand user needs or explain in user language. I found it easier to learn the essentials by trial and error.

Computer programmers inherited a 'dumbing down' language along with a new technology. Doctors and lawyers developed specialised language to enhance their mystique; a generation of technocrats see themselves as elite but have no go-between transmitter.

Communications between "advanced" and "retreating" cultures decay because people writing the software can't explain, in simple language, the use of what they create! Trial and error is a limited entrance. New models become more gimmicky and less reliable.

Generation gaps are not natural. There is a connection between what is going on in our schools, the failure of cultural logic and the inability of modern technology to explain itself.

### **The TV as a propaganda tool**

Are mature young people role models for TV characters or do these characters lead young behaviour?

If you find the young becoming 'touchy', opinionated, uncaring, contemptuous and aggressive, it may be futile to try to correct them. They do not properly understand what you are saying because they do not have a full grasp of language and little real-life experience, they try to behave as their culture portrays as normal. They 'can't get their heads around' 'what you are on about'.

Let's recall Bernays quote (Chapter 2) use of "subtle" and "worked into the everyday life of people in hundreds of ways"? Do you notice TV story lines often don't unfold logically? Research shows that TV characters become "role models".

Ignorance induces "fear of the unknown"! Some overcome or bypass this fear; adventure and new discovery excites them. Inability to understand a higher level of civilisation causes vandalism.

Vandalism originates in primitive instincts that may be expressed as fear of the "unknown". The vandal impulse is to destroy what makes him feel inferior; having damaged what he fears he then feels superior!

Survival instincts provide alternatives so all do not go down the same road in dangerous situations. Instincts, in the past essential, are now stimulated by manipulators to mislead and confuse.

See "Stockholm Syndrome" on site.

### **The TV culture.**

TV impresses an artificial culture while reducing opportunity for "real-life" experience. TV is much better at promoting a 'dead' view than a 'living' view.

Scientific study of the effects of TV has been limited but not so limited as lack of public reporting suggests.

We are constantly assailed by pitiful scenes of people substituting sex for lack of meaning in their lives; whole generations now 'live and dream' crude ideals and unnatural reactions while many cry out for their natural human "being". Confusion creates violent response and unnatural behaviour. Lawlessness grows as policing ability declines.

Is plea for rainforest shown in forest beauty or destruction? It may take an hour to show a little of the beauty of a living forest – ten seconds of one frame conveys destruction. Life is far more complex than death! TV gives no time to think because the images rush by in hypnotic progression; first impressions are

'grabbed' by mind with no time for thought or question.

In 1975 F E & M Emery (researching at the Australian National University) showed that TV viewing turned people into zombies. This was based on experiments in which brain-wave-measuring equipment was used to measure the activity of the various areas of the brain before, during, and after watching television. Brain that makes critical assessment and sorting of information was found to "shut down" during viewing and may remain inactive for sometime after. Very convenient for People Shapers!

As Bernays said: *a few people who decide the kind of programs we see, form our impressions of life for us.* Children have no real-life experience to correct this. How insidious is it that TV is efficient at imprinting images of death, drama and sorrow but very inefficient at presenting real-life values!

What do we want for our children? Sick culture? How easy to promote the violent – the destructive frustration – how difficult to inspire healthy human ideals!

Research has shown that both children and adults (even though they know the characters are fictional) are influenced in their expectations and attitudes by role models acted on TV. Young children TRUST adults to give them reliable images of life! So many shows feature a constant portrayal of abnormal family strife – child wisdom?

Children are told to make up their own beliefs; this helps hide that they have been denied the mind tools to understand the world of their past. Inability to visualise higher cultural development creates resentment – a vandal.

Children and adults are encouraged to believe in a 'generation gap' caused by a failure of old people to keep up. They cannot see that "communication gaps" result from their deprivation of language skills and "real life" experience. They cannot see their divorce from life's beautiful complexity.

Sixty years ago present TV would have been seen as 'racist', 'sexist', 'pornographic', 'exploitative', 'damaging', 'inhuman', 'insulting'. Today it is sold as being the opposite. Viewing by the young and impressionable is encouraged by claims of 'adult' for what is, in fact 'childish' rubbish. As adult growth is aborted 'adults' are turning to cartoon characters.

No TV is the only good TV for children. TV is an image machine. TV, as programmed today, is a good

salesman but poor (or destructive of) in-depth understanding; it discourages development of reasoning.

**Remember, humanity is a learned development.** Children's TV evades the vital "real-life" learning experience of early years, this cannot be replaced and only partly recovered later. There is no second chance childhood. Children's TV gives the mind manipulator a killer punch. It may seem a cheap child-minder but how tragic the consequences.

### **A Primitive Example**

A light on the difficulty of intelligence to advance into areas of knowledge where there is no library to store experience, is revealed by the behaviour of dolphins. Dolphins are our only close brain relatives. As powerful swimmers they easily leap out of the water but thousands are caught in fishing nets they could easily leap over! Why?

They have no historic experience of nets and despite their brainpower, it seems that, being unable to store generation-by-generation experience leaves memory with too little base for response to strange threats. Without complex experience, visualising a leap to escape can't be assembled!

Likewise when educated to belief in chance creation our intellectual ability is reduced; life offers less 'opportunity' for inspiration. It takes from the human mind a large area of mystery, stimulation and inquiry. The suicide rate rises because belief in a meaningless creation leaves less reason for struggle to overcome difficulty.

The facilitation of drug use encourages suicide levels to rise with belief in chance creation. Globalism promotes animal instincts. This doesn't require brilliance but feeds greed and lack of human compassion.

### **Reclaiming Humanity**

To regain humanity we need to see the value of the written word – that TV is only a means of distributing primitive 'squeaks and images'; it kills *pursuit of thought* – it conditions to primate dependence and incompetence of language to destroy understanding of life's potentials.

Deplete literary skills and delete life potential. Brain without an external storehouse of experience, or deprived of the ability or opportunity to use such a store, remains unconscious of concepts that require assembly from wide experience. The Internet gives us access to the knowledge of the world but limits digestion? How many printout for enhanced

understanding? How many seek information but fail to find it?

Every person cannot be expert in everything; access to knowledge does not change that. We need will to know and experience to search! Humanity leaves guideposts for following generations; if these are covered, humanity may be led to 'advance' backwards. Our culture would not be the first to lose knowledge of foundations and collapse.

How many accept misleading information? The Internet is a long way from a good library and, when/if it does so become, will it be a good library for humanity or for inhumanity? The situation may look desperate, but Globalists are not Gods! People can improve their communications ability and discrimination by wide reading and practiced mental discipline.

### **Chapter 7: The Working of Selfish Seduction**

We have seen how Public Relations science is used to manipulate social and commercial values and how the instigating agent is usually one or two steps away from the innocent who sells the deception or fad. We have looked into the ruthless heart of Globalism: the manipulative soul of commerce; the lying face of party politics. Now let's look at the seduction used to sell this package of crime.

*"Explicit sex education courses should be taught in the first three years of primary school. [So said] research on AIDS awareness presented to education authorities in all states and the territories."*

**Let's review our "whole of life" concept.** Indications are that about 200 years ago elitists began to notice world population (Malthus, *Principles of Population*, 1798. This hurried planning of world take-over plans.

Although Malthus, in public, was claimed to be wrong, it is now clear that only his timing was out. Argument still goes on but the undeniable fact is that we cannot forever keep using up reserves of space and resources.

Is there realistic public evidence or logical debate as to how long we can go on overusing resources and survive? Even now there are reports that the Gulf Stream, a major ocean current, is slowing and has even stopped for a short time. Though science still seems uncertain and commerce acts as though no danger exists, Global Warming, from now on, is likely to have

severe consequences. Have you missed the GW article?

For early stages of elitist response we look back. It is doubtful that those used were consciously working for Globalism.

#### **People of interest include:**

Karl Marx, 1818-83; main writings 1848-67.

Base for 'programmed animal' socialist ideology.

Charles Darwin, 1809-82; main writings 1859-71.

Undermining Christianity and setting base for (humanist) religion.

Sigmund Freud, 1856-1939; main writings 1900-23.

Support for sexual promiscuity and moral decay.

Benjamin Spock, 1903- main writing 1946-.

Undermining child discipline.

George Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel; Philosopher; 1770-1831. His principles of learning misused to install subverting philosophy.

Finally the man behind putting the plot together! Auguste Comte (1798-1857). Primitive ideal for government of the future... form of worship for the 'profane' to replace all the religions .... See "*GNIEB*><*BEING*".

Although both Darwin and Spock withdrew from their most damaging theories the belief-fads they began were not, in humanist propaganda, exposed to public correction. Of these only Karl Marx is known to have been paid to write his book. Globalism is both subtle and opportunistic. People who produce work suited to Globalist ambitions are promoted and publicised but if the pawn finds he has made a mistake and if to reveal this would damage humanist philosophy, then revision is ignored by the PR machine; this deceit is helped by the fact that academia resists challenge to established theory.

The writing of Marx became the base for a fanatical and fanciful idealism to motivate both disadvantaged and well-fed idealists.

Darwin's theory "*survival of the fittest*" offered life to the Globalist dream; it appealed to arrogance and was eagerly accepted by those who saw their animal instincts as sacred. Though all life-forms use it, sex was promoted as the ideal human ambition.

Survival of the fittest enabled the rich to see themselves free of moral restraint! An elite free to seduce the commonalty to self-indulgence and an excuse for 'cleaning' the world of opposition with Freud's promiscuity to seduce recruitment from both upper class snobs and uneducated workers.

From there it was a natural progression to Dr. Spock's release of children from parental discipline. Freedom from morality became a social revolution and the sex/drug culture, supplied with drugs, was excited by illegality and promoted by lavish mass-media reporting.

The "Hegelian insight" became a force to divide and destroy existing culture and open the way to revive the ancient mind-slave culture of elite and profane.

These were united all give plausible support for humanist philosophy and chance creation, with public mind-set by PR promotion, mass media and compulsory State education. To this mixture August Comte gave direction and authority.

Thus a false base for history was established for nurture and nature and, by law, set in place for control of future generations. This satisfied the theory: *'Who controls the past controls the future'*.

All outside Globalist "family" were enticed to believe that mankind was no more than a common animal descended from apes. Those wanting it believed it and the forces of scientific propaganda were recruited to convince others. Any discipline of our animal nature became seen as abuse.

Early in this treatise was the question: "Who do you think you are? Do we now see the really big cat of Globalism and its consequences?"

### **They seize the reins and progress:**

Wealthy families learned the danger of public leadership – the safe way was to work behind the scenes. Once having gained control of wealth creation by ownership of the world financial system the passion turned to the accumulation and exercise of power.

But power corrupts: they soon saw themselves as superior beings – superior to kings they bought and sold – superior to nations that they could bring to war. Then came the industrial revolution, the population explosion, state controlled education; the door to their dream of world ownership was open.

At this stage we have a group of families who, for generations, have lived with power. They have come to see themselves as gods. To enslave the world they needed to break the Christian religion and set in place a religion for the 'profane'; a religion of nature worship was ideal.

Return to the ancient world of a separate religion for the 'profane' would return to Globalists the power of the ancients: it would allow safe solution of population,

pollution and rebellion problems. Once accepted, literacy was a small hurdle. By-pass access to knowledge and the profane could be culled to a willing and easily controlled slave service without their understanding. Globalists are now so close to achieving their dream.

**Comment:** The 'mind war', even more contemptible than the 'Gulf war', is a passionate slaughter of innocence by an invisible army. Now so 'dumbed-down' that in a few years journalists interviews may be conducted using a dozen or so of set phrases such as: *"How do you feel about that?"*, *Have you got your head around it?*; mind dead questions in a mind graveyard seeking mindless answers!

### **More details of 'the great sex seduction'**

Sex: a powerful animal instinct and a weakness dirty fighters' use. Once persuaded we are animals, morals became myth and promiscuity normal.

It was in service to Globalism that the *British Family Planning Association* has recommended books like *Make it Happy*. A book said to contain pictures of naked children in poses that could be called obscene and which gives 'value-free' pornographic information on oral, anal and group sex, communal masturbation and sexual contact with animals. It also informs that intercourse between brother and sister expressed a loving relationship.

Degenerate literature is no longer unusual in English speaking schools.

It is in the same service that the *Parents & Citizens Association of NSW* (Aust.) produced a reference book in 1985, p47 to say; quote:

(f) *Drug Education*

(i) *Drug education should become part of the present and proposed Personal Development Programs within the schools.*

(ii) *Teachers should never give undue emphasis to, nor in any way underline the dangers of drugs.* EQ.

Drugs erode logic, moral resistance and caring. Did parents and citizens want this in their reference book?

They were not asked.

Degenerate music and stimulation of teenagers to crude sex motivated attitudes and anti-social behaviour. This was then supported by political ideology that served for both support and public distraction.

Globalism is the only force to gain from these deceits and depravities. The behaviour of increasing numbers of the young (2008) shows the consequences.

Mass media encouraged and helped force this trend against public resistance. More examples of evidence: In an article, *Condom Culture (The Bulletin 28/1/1992)* Lucy Sullivan, an experimental psychologist with the *Australian Institute of Health*, argues that the campaign to stop the spread of AIDS has become propaganda for promiscuity. Quote:

*Over the course of the last two decades, Germaine Greer moved from advocating sexual liberation of the male model for women to arguing that this version of sexual freedom has made women more, not less, subject to male sexual dominance.*

*A promiscuous mode of sexuality, which suits men better than women, has been preached and imposed and has left women alienated from their real modes of sexuality, which are far more entwined with reproduction and personal, family relationships than are those of men. Women's bodies and health have increasingly been put at risk in order to dissociate copulation from reproduction, as a necessary concomitant of sexual freedom.* EQ.

Quite so! AIDS was used as a distraction for encouraging social acceptance of promiscuity hence this report in *The Australian (13/13/1992)*; quote: *"Explicit sex education courses should be taught in the first three years of primary school, [so said] research on AIDS awareness presented to education authorities in all states and the territories"*.

[Where did this originate and by whose authority distributed? A culture that creates this need by incessant artificial promotion to force premature sexual development is abominable. Under 16-year-old sex and education is an unneeded distraction from healthy human development.]

Promotion of sex, under a banner of a 'safe sex' became epidemic! Whether male promiscuity was as liberal as made out by 20th-century propaganda or a figment of PR imagination, is not worth arguing. Can one sex be promiscuous without the other? Homosexuality was important promotion. Promiscuity became a disaster for, child and culture.

The comment in the Sullivan article is important: the PR promoted attitude was not for female benefit. It is gratifying that a leading feminist had the courage to look and speak honestly. A great confidence trick was played on women. Promiscuity is an animal impulse not a human pastime. To destroy human culture is a need only of elitist world take-over.

In a free sex culture (do as you like, when you like, with whoever you like) we might expect rape to be unknown and brothels out of business, but both are

booming. Media reports kidnapped girls sold for sex slavery – rife in Asia and Europe to serve tourists. A lawyer claims that rape, in recent years, is becoming more violent: sick depravities unfit to repeat.

To undermine human culture both male and female were tricked by a massive PR exercise of seduction and lies. As insider, George Orwell, exposed in his book *"1984"*: word meanings are manipulated and government ministries disguised; the Ministry of Peace promotes war; the ministry of love promotes hate (Or should that be: contempt!)

Cultural abortion is most intensely directed at children (*give me a child for the first seven years and you can do as you like after that*).

Children are born with human potential for development. Deceive the perceptions of the child and you cripple the adult; cripple adult vision and the next generation can be dehumanised.

Children raised among/or by animals if captured and given the best of scientific help were never able to become normal humans; or even advance their human potential. We now see human understanding being stripped away; ability to communicate degraded; reading skills diminished; ability to understand the culture into which they are born corrupted, so **"Who do you think you are"** is now a very interesting question?

If we want to know who we are we have to step back: step back and face the future. We have to admit the futility of the by-pass we now travel. We must step back to more advanced culture to find the missed path.

To undermine moral structure is the easiest, most effective, way to bulldoze a culture and lay foundations for another. An example of how deliberately hard core pornography was smuggled into Australia by political design is found in the following quotes from *The Report of the Joint Select Committee on Video Material*. Vol. 1. Canberra 1988, p15-17. Quote:

*. . . in order to understand more accurately the operation of the censorship laws from 1973 to 1984 it is necessary to refer to the year 1973 when the number of video tapes entering Australia was insignificant.*

*In that year the then Attorney-General announced a policy on censorship . . . which in its original form read as follows: ... federal laws to conform with the general principles that adults should be entitled to read, hear and view what they wish in private and in public and that persons – and those in their care – be not exposed to unsolicited material offensive to them. (Statement by the Attorney-General of Australia, Censorship – A*

*Question of Balancing Individual Rights 19/73, Canberra, Feb. 26, 1973).*

[Like saying: you are free to abuse others but don't be offensive.]

May 1973: *Although the proposed legislation is self-regulating it may be considered desirable to set up a tribunal to establish what publications are to be "restricted" and what are not. This takes responsibility away from the trade and gives the appearance at least of community involvement.*

*The document also noted that for the policy to become law, amendments would be required to the Customs (Prohibited Imports) Regulations and the Customs (Cinematograph Films) Regulations and a new law drafted to cover advertising, display, distribution and sale to adults of obscene material. However the document warns that such legislative measures would meet resistance and could lead to the negation of the policy and commented that:*

*It is considered that almost all the States would be hostile to a policy which could lead to the circulation of so-called hard core pornography and material dealing with hard drugs and extreme violence, anarchy and sedition.* Emphasis added.

*The document raised for consideration the question of whether the policy announced by the Attorney-General should be brought fully and immediately into effect or by stages. It stated:*

*You would need to have regard to repercussions in the electorate; the Australian public is notoriously conservative, whatever its political affiliations. The Government's policy might best be achieved by a strategy of hastening slowly – gradually broadening the standards of imported material so that public opinion can be developed to embrace the principles embodied in the policy.* EQ. Emphasis added.

We are betrayed by people of our own race; our own culture! Anyone thinking that 'our' government is taking care of us must either be very, vary brainwashed or very, very dumb. This deceit is passed off as: attitudes changing to satisfy public demand.

Here we see ongoing, cold and intentional subversion of public morality and public standards; anarchy and sedition; all government promoted.

Here deceit is used to undermine morals and inset a control-freak humanist administration. The plan is to create a pornography accessible to children. Had

Christian values not been dogmatised this could not have succeeded

The procedure is simple: if the public does not demand action that supports, in some way, the scheme, then mass media will say it does anyway. We, the people, go along with the mass media; how can we know how many of us are protesting or applauding other than by what they tell us?

Public servants go along with orders. People, who want porn and related violence, are loud in complaint when their children are raped, killed or crippled, but never look at their own hands. Those behind the scenes congratulate each other. Fiscal robbery, political correctness, radical feminism and porn are deliberate products of PR propaganda; those who follow suffer for blind loyalties.

It is not only the convicted that will come to the time when they have to face conscience and question the value they gained from life. Among those for whom judgement will be very hard to face will be many blind fanatics.

Pain and pleasure are such fleeting impressions! Pride so empty! How little they matter! Yesterday's toothache or last night's party, what value today? What do they add unless associated with some human value?

Human values are unknown to the young unless they have the discipline and guidance of a human social environment. Since the public promotion of porn the economy has become more artificial and erratic. Huge companies crash; rob shareholders and customers; criminally irresponsible management seldom accountable. Farm and small business is mangled; massacres become increasingly common; rape, vandalism and violence now so common as only a small fraction reported (one-third of university girls claim to be rape victims).

Authorities, blind to corruption, abuse the whistle-blower. Is growing depravity, reducing levels of understanding and growing contempt for others what we should expect from an advancing culture?

Why do people not see that laws cannot substitute for morals? That lies and trickery lead to self-delusion? That immorality cripples the child mind and families disintegrate in hate and violence? In culture or business, the cost of immorality is a cost too high for humanity to meet!

Why does humanism turn into depravity? Dr. Susan George (next chapter) gives us the answer: *the*

*assumptions that underlie the whole concept [of humanist philosophy] are absolutely false.*

### **PAVLOVIAN BONDING**

To better understand moral subversion we need to know a little about our "bonding". Bonding is usually associated with mothers and babies – with animals it may be called "imprinting". Humans are not just animals; mankind may be 'a man-kind of animal' but human adds a new dimension.

A healthy calf, foal, kitten or puppy will, if fed and cared for, grows up to be a normal creature of its kind. A human baby, raised by animals may be physically healthy but is stripped of human responses. "Tarzan" is a total fiction. Pavlov is credited with demonstrating conditioned response.

Globalists, for generations, have worked to detail, test and perfect their plan! Testing opportunities included Nazi Germany, the USSR and some Asian situations. The greatest confidence trick ever was their very special dream; they did not plan to fail. Can their web of deceit be untangled and explained to create an effective change. They believe they have no *Achilles Heel* but the plans of mice and men do go astray.

An animal is driven by fixed responses, a human by learned responses. A human is born with both animal instincts and human potential. It has an idealism and natural desire to advance which will (if not led into blind alley) take up most of its early life and allow intellectual growth for as long as health allows. To be human is to have a life of your own! Ability to advance life potential is the greatest gift life offers!

The human baby needs to experience responsible social behaviour – have access to human levels of understanding – it needs early discipline to human behaviour and access to the truth of its history.

Mother/baby is not the only human bonding. Also important to humanity but seldom mentioned, is "marriage bonding"; not difficult to see why this aspect is being avoided. Assuming the human ideal where both partners are innocent at marriage and not subject to artificial sex exploitation. Both seek their ideal; experience the joy of each other and family.

The benefit of the human ideal is confirmed by generations of experience. Though seldom perfectly achieved it is the base for all advancing cultures.

Destroy this ideal and there begins the AIDS-like process of cultural disintegration. Human bonding is essential to human social development.

Marriage bonding is strong and lasting. That we have lived in a mixture of faith and confusion has not stopped the family ideal being the foundation of great civilisations. Not misled, human ideals and relationships would, in the twentieth century, have flourished. Family disintegration has had, as seen, a deliberately created cause.

The excitement of promiscuity leaves a lust imprint. Imprint of promiscuity does not kill the human desire for the ideal but erodes bonding. Promiscuity imprints a passion for change but the human desire for the ideal remains! Many continue a desperate search for the ideal mate but, with bonding attachment misdirected, the ideal is seldom met. Frustrations easily turn to deceit and family violence; among those living in disappointment there grows a bitter jealousy of those living in harmony.

Today a man's word is no longer his bond; in politics and business we expect and accept lies and corruption. International tyrants both govern us and rob us of humanity, heritage and future.

### **Mass Marketing Seduction**

The mass marketing of seduction began early in the 20th century. Bernays himself gave an early lead. The 'sex & violence' type of comic book appeared in the 1940s and among the first large-scale public protest against this seduction of youth was led by Dr Fredric Wertham, author of *Seduction of the Innocent*.

However there was always too much money and too much high-level pressure for the confused public to ever win a legal contest. From comic book porn to school classroom porn, the people were always resisted to bankruptcy or exhaustion.

A 'girlie' magazine provided my first insight. Bold in the 1930s, now tame. By the light of an "Aladdin" at the kitchen table I read of a rich man who hated women. Why? Irrelevant! He had decided to make miserable as many women as possible. To this end he engaged a bevy of call girls and invited young bachelors as guests.

He knew that once the innocent were seduced to the joys of promiscuity it would then be difficult for them to settle-down. They would marry but there would be extra-marital affairs, disputes, distress and family break-up to leave the wife (probably with young children and no income). That was this rich man's plan of revenge.

Fiction yes, but the principle behind the plot was sound (those interested) understood. However it was some

years before I found that this principle of bonding was not understood by, nor impressed on the general public. Many years later I saw that the whole world was being seduced in accord with this understanding, but this time in service to a more vicious plot.

There is not much new under the sun! Thousands, perhaps millions, read that story without care of meaning or consequence. How many mothers (the hand that rocks the cradle rules the world) have felt a secret pride in the philandering of sons while angered by inattentive husbands? The good misled; so innocently, serve evil ends.

## Chapter 8 SUMMARY PLUS

For every advance to freedom there must be a corresponding advance of order and discipline imposed on lesser freedoms. Or, alternatively, only by bringing lesser freedoms to order we can progress to greater freedoms. Had we not learned the need for rules of the road we would still be arguing which donkey has right of way!

How far would we get if we had, consciously, to tell every leg muscle each movement to make? Basics are programmed to gain improved freedom!

Are controls, now being introduced part of the process of bringing lesser freedoms under control? As civilizations advance, regulation becomes automatic! But restraints preventing or misdirecting access to information, are definitely not in that category. Laws making us subject to authority for control or exploitation are moral crimes and are contemptible.

### Secret surveillance: an Australian example:

*“Roger Clark: When they set out to number everyone with the Australia Card, the people rose up to defend their liberties. ... the government backed off. But the Australia Card debacle was a minor setback. ... They do take privacy a little more seriously than they once did, but they have found other ways ... and clearly one of the ways in which they can achieve their objectives is not to go through legislation, not to take the risk of going into parliament.”* EQ.

In a true democracy an identity card would be either unnecessary or a convenience; legislation needing secret introduction or disguise would be unthinkable.

The Australian ‘Bill of Rights’, because of public pressure (28/11/1986), had all its important features introduced as part of the Human Rights and Equal

Opportunity Bill (10/12/1986). When government ignores National Law the law it creates is not legal law and enforcement is morally criminal.

Personal forms: medical records; tax returns; school reports etc. can be collected and filed in a computer somewhere; why tell us? Globalisation is not for us? We are now a resource! If authority wants to know our abilities, genes, blood type etc., it is for their benefit.

Note the number of pharmaceuticals found to be harmful; we are the ideal guinea pigs for human research. If they need some part of you they want is to know where to find you.

Mind enslavement can return humanity to primate levels! As we lose access to understanding – to humanity – self-discipline and ability of self-sacrifice – we lose power to escape! Indoctrination is brutalisation!

Law to protect us from the consequences of stupidity encourages stupidity! It also measures our increasing failure of rational response; the more ‘dumbed down’ we become the more rules and regulations must be introduced to keep us operational!

So many examples of communal irrationality growing in every walk of life! The educated no longer able to see that principles of discipline related to social order are as insistent and, over time, as certain in their effect as are principles of engineering.

We now react to moral laws as once to rules of the road – as though a matter of some tyrant's pleasure. But these, as are engineering laws to bridges – the laws to truth of the social structure.

They want us to believe there is no social truth, “Work out what is to be your behaviour by agreement with your peer-group”. “Situation Ethics”: “Do whatever seems best in your situation”.

These frivolous, ‘no truth’ ideas divorce us from benefits of human experience and understanding – from truths of creation – advance back to the cave! Face painting – primitive art – the grotesque – the ugly – vandalism – suicide – cruelty – crime for kicks – this is not the way of advancing culture.

This evidence, taken in conjunction with day-to-day world events, should convince a rational mind. Can there be logical doubt of the existence of a corrupting elite or its motivation. Seen from a Globalist viewpoint world events appear logically as corruption! No natural force explains them.

There is a cause for each effect! Social trickery is revealed; neither its reason nor its means are mysterious! It is the humanist/socialist solution to the problem of achieving total power. To create human freedom and choice, humanity had to be made corruptible.

#### **Another Voice:**

More and more, intelligent people are coming to see through the frail veil. Here is Dr. Susan George; quote: *... dystopia, which is where everything is just as bad as it can possibly be. My dystopia is a kind of worldwide apartheid in which a very small transnational elite is served by a more or less permanently employed middle class, people who generally have jobs, generally have services, all on the backs of an increasingly marginalised and criminalised underclass.*

The nightmare she sees is a world taking shape before her eyes. Dr. George has been a consultant to the United Nations University; to UNESCO; to the UN's Economic Commission for Europe; to the International Union of Food Workers and the Government of Nicaragua etc. She knows the UN and the international scene and is trained in humanist philosophy!

Yet in answer to recent questions (Australian ABC report) we find these comments; quote: *“Well, I think this meaning of the word ‘development’ is rather obscene. Development in biology means that an organism unfolds harmoniously in its environment. It means that the flower becomes a fruit, or the embryo becomes a child. But the social sciences have taken over this concept and perverted it. When we say ‘development’ in the modern sense, we generally mean outside intervention and meddling.*

*If you meddle too much with an organism, it will be damaged or will die, which is precisely, I think, what we've been doing with other people's lives. I think development has failed largely because the assumptions that underlay the whole concept were absolutely false. EA.*

[A good example of how word meanings are changed; To humanist dogma “development” means “indoctrinating humanist religion” – totally invasive social meddling, any lie or deceit justified! Humanism creates a world of cruelty and horror precisely because it (deliberately) teaches *absolutely false* ideals. Intentions (good or evil) do not excuse manipulation!]

In relation to economic manipulation she says: *“All these institutions are centralised, hierarchical, completely undemocratic and working with a lot of money contributed by their members, mostly their*

*richer members. What do they do with that money? Well, in many ways they are helping to subjugate all the economies of the world and making them satellites of ‘free enterprise’ so to speak. ... So the World Bank is in charge of imposing this economic doctrine, the International Monetary Fund is in charge of imposing structural adjustment, in other words austerity programs in the Third World, and GATT is involved with indeed reducing not only barriers to trade, but any standards – environmental standards, health standards, high wages – that could be considered impediments to trade.*

*I feel very much that the undemocratic nature of these institutions will mean that a whole new world order is put into place and that it is an anti-democratic, authoritarian order run by the elites of the rich world on their behalf.*

*“... every time citizens in one country or another seem to be winning some victories, seem to have gained, for example, either higher wages or better environmental standards or whatever, these international agencies will often say, ‘No, wait a minute, it's our law, international law is above national law and your national standards simply don't hold up.’*

*If I can give one example from the US/Canada free trade pact, a model for what GATT would like to do for the entire world: British Columbia was planting trees – the province itself – and the Americans challenged it and said, ‘This is a help to the Canadian timber industry and we don't do that for our timber industry so what you're doing is impeding trade..’*

*That's just one example of the kind of thing that would happen under universal free trade. The standards for pesticide residues would become the standards of the FAO ... sometimes 50 times lower than certain national standards. So if I want to export fruit laden with pesticides to Australia, Australia would have absolutely no recourse but to accept them..” EQ.*

A very honest report; a warning from inside the N.W.O! A true insight to the perverted thinking of those in control!

**Where are we heading?** The rich become richer, the poor poorer. Robbery from pockets, food from mouths, rationality from minds. Few now understand their world, or themselves. Those planning our demise lack human feeling not animal cunning: gradualism is their password! Blind faith their motivation!

The disadvantaged become too poor to resist. Newly poor are first incredulous then angry! Having ignored

the plight of previous victims they learned nothing. Blind to experience they repeat brilliant responses – protest march – petition the P. M. – new political party. Politicians smile: watch another lot let off steam then crawl into their shells.

### The equality of man

From the beginning of civilisation we have used advancing technology to our delight. From pyramids to nuclear reactors it has been man who has decided the use, or misuse, of technology. And the misuse can be charged to the INEQUALITY (the beast) in man!

Inequalities of man were always accepted; so obvious! The EQUALITY of man! AH! That is an idea of advancing civilisation and is more difficult to appreciate. We had to learn abstract reasoning. Equality is clearly not a physical thing. Equality is about:

JUSTICE (equality before the law)!

POLITICS (equality of knowledge and control):

HUMANITY (equal rights to self-development)!

Equality, based on equal responsibility, is something that can only come with human ideals and understanding generated by accumulated experience.

We approved mass education for, without common access to knowledge, human equality could never be realised. But we skimmed our homework! We had not accepted our responsibilities to govern ourselves! We believed we had democracy because we were told it was in our vote. Soothed to complacency we accepted IN-equality, MIS-education, PR deceit. With democracy a lie, equality of rights disappear! We descend into slavery!

How significant now the question; “**Who do you think you are?**” now that we know our beliefs, attitudes, thoughts, desires, likes and dislikes were decided for us? In Australia such hassle about the ‘stolen generation’! How trivial is stealing racial identity in comparison to stealing our human potential?

It may help us know ourselves if we look deeper into knowledge of us used by our oppressors. *Bernays, Crystallizing Public Opinion*, p122; quote: *The average citizen is the world's most efficient censor. His own mind is the greatest barrier between him and the facts. His own "logic-proof compartments," his own absolutism are the obstacles which prevent him from seeing in terms of experience and thought rather than in terms of group reaction.* EQ.

That is so much truer now that they have had more time to perfect our “peer-group” cage. We behave, as we

are enticed to behave. Item, p115; quote: *The relative importance your newspaper gives to an occurrence affects your thought, your character, and your children's thought and character. For few daily habits are as firmly established as the habit of reading the newspaper.* EQ. Bernays here quoting another author.

"We have now intensified the effect by transfer of much of this habit to TV watching. Yes, the relative importance given by media to items of daily information does decide the common opinion of our world – mass media is our ‘window’ to our world and we have become, in many ways, a media peer group."

Bernays again quoting another author. p108-9;

Remember, THEY are talking about US. Quote:

*“He is more sensitive to the voice of the herd than any other influence. ... It can inhibit or stimulate his thought and conduct. It is the source of his moral codes, of the sanctions of his ethics and philosophy. It can endow him with energy, courage, and endurance, and can as easily take these away. It can make him acquiesce in his own punishment and embrace his executioner, submit to poverty, bow to tyranny, and sink without complaint under starvation. Not merely can it make him accept hardship and suffering unresistingly but it can make him accept as truth the explanation that his perfectly preventable afflictions are sublimely just and gentle.*

*It is this acme of the power of herd suggestion that is perhaps the most absolutely incontestable proof of the profoundly gregarious nature of man*

*He is subject to the passions of the pack in his mob violence and the passions of the herd in his panics”.*

Again we see research truly reporting our crippled-mind responses – the mob is so united in so many passions because mass beliefs are under influence of mass media propaganda.

When raised in an environment of deceit mankind has little ability, desire, or self-confidence to distinguish fact from fiction, why should we try if there is no social truth, no future in life? Generations of deceit – it is not human nature but the slow poison of deceit that is “most absolutely incontestable” in its ability to create a false human nature!

Is that extreme? Think of the suicides, some even killing their families in their despair. How many ever question the true nature of the culture that drives them?

On pages 129-30 Bernays gives three ways to obtain national cohesive force. One he calls “patronage and

pork”. The second: “government by terror and obedience”. However it is the third that is interesting; quote:

*“The third method is "government based on such a highly developed system of information, analysis and self-consciousness that the knowledge of national circumstances and reasons of state is evident to all men". EQ.*

AT LAST! Finally we are recognised by someone ‘up there’ as being human. Someone actually admits what could, and should be done – if given the truth we are equally able to make decisions. And the person who now admits this is the recognised master of deceit and propaganda himself.

Relating this to what happened during the 1914-18 war Bernays says “the method least relied on by any of the belligerent countries” was the third method and; quote: *“This breakdown did not occur among small, inefficiently organised groups. It occurred among the representatives of the highest development in social organisation. If this is the fate of the most highly organised social groups, consider then the problem which confronts the social, economic, educational or political groups in peace time, when they attempt to obtain a public hearing for new ideas.” EQ.*

So you see why they are not worried about exposure! In the hierarchy of mankind corruption descends from the top; these feel their control is total and secure. So ‘*alternative 3*’ is avoided like the plague by those nations where enslavement of mind is best organised.

Bernays goes on to remark on the common futility of trying to fight back or awaken new understandings. He then (as do other apologists) attempts to maintain the myth that public opinion is interaction between man and media (i.e., there is no manipulation: pornography never degrades; lies never mislead; crime is the fault of the victim). But evidence and logic deny this.

In nature filth can become fertiliser but, when vested interests deliberately poison the delicate flower of reason, it dies. Globalists know what they do and never veer from the plan; but, Bernays did stumble, that once.

### **We NEED a Wide view**

Population cannot keep doubling while soil degrades. Shift weight of water from land to oceans will increase volcanic pollution. We have clean energy to develop but it must not be given into the hands of manipulators. When they talk of “herd mentality” they are not meaning street mobs but interlocking peer group associations; we need this power used logically now.

Work, in recent years, on “Human Behaviour” and “Psychology” confirms that the ‘common herd’ is now more swayed by emotions than fact; but it does not have to be that way. Reform can have emotion too and we can use it with intelligence if we are prepared to use our intellect.

Manipulators need no secret codes, just a smother of comforting words and bland assertions. Conclusion of claimed ‘authority’, not reason of evidence, is what we now accept and regurgitate; but we don’t have to.

The evidence is there for all to see; ‘big brother’ is a slave-master! To escape all we need is a little confidence; and courage in our own intelligence.

You may doubt it is so bad or think it already too late. You may believe yourself helpless or think, “It will be easy to straighten out.” But you find friends strangely reluctant. You are one of a peer group. Why are you being different? Why should you know more than the rest? If you do get their attention they may want the problem referred to an accepted ‘authority’.

Don’t give in! If you can see it why not they – if they try? It will be sad, if, rather than act on your own intelligence your best result is that you seek advice of the enemy.

As a short time salesman I was often asked for something new. A common response to something new: “I don’t think I can sell that, no one has ever asked for it.” Strange! People don’t ask for things they don’t know exist.

Today they say: “We can’t tell people, they don’t understand”. How odd! Might it be that people don’t understand because they have never had the opportunity – never been given enough of the truth to understand it? If we fail to convince others perhaps we have failed to convince ourselves?

To convince others we need to see clearly enough to convince ourselves. Let’s understand that the more who see the truth the more easily others see it. It is natural to like being part of a group. By knowing us they enslaved us – by knowing ourselves we can be free.

Exposing public manipulation offers huge gain; it needs a whole of life viewpoint to bring all into true perspective. To start a new chain the first link has to be forged. Only when a few start a chain can a chain reaction start! Who knows what magic may unfold?

**BREAK THE CHAIN OF DECEIT  
START A CHAIN OF ENLIGHTENMENT**

Let's not continue to behave like animals that have been long frustrated and abused! Let's not huddle in angry peer groups! Let's not make mountains of molehills. Let's seek enlightenment and think for ourselves!

The power in complaint is small; we have to expose the problem and act as adults! Unconstitutional government cannot be exposed in the courts. But we can educate ourselves! We can organise ourselves to choose representatives of proven organising ability and trustworthy reputation from our own electorates! We can stop running around in circles.

Perhaps the hardest thing to accept is that many who will oppose us are good people with good intentions (*the road to hell is paved with good intentions*). Innocent trust has blinded many to the inhumanity of Globalism.

We may say that some do not have the courage to face responsibility! Or have, perhaps, too much pride to admit of error! But is it that their indoctrination been just a little more successful than our own – is it a case of: there but for the grace of God, go I.

The consequences of humanism are obvious! Those who plan this world government are criminals! Their ideas have no survival value! They have already triggered disaster and time for action is short.

**The Christian Bible warned: left to ourselves we would make the earth unliveable:**

**Will we continue to waste life's great opportunities?  
Exert ourselves for deceit, waste and pollution?  
Live for lies, greed and depravity?**

**Oh man:  
We have advanced to iniquity.  
Let us now turn – while we can.**

**Truth is eternal: a never-ending story!  
Life is a creation based on order of truth!  
When we say "I know" we turn Truth into dogma!  
We then have a corpse, a little bit of life that we killed.**

**Truth is the order and discipline of the living not the dead!  
Life is a structure of energy in an order of Truth that serves life  
Intelligence is life's creator and its potential has no perceivable end.**

Just one thing more: Congratulations for having the courage to read through to the end. I don't know who you are or who you think you are but if you are the person I think you are, you are not going to take living the rest of your life as a puppet on a string. You are able to sacrifice the past for the future! You will not waste the greatest gift of life! It is time we put aside our dependence on others to live by use of our own intelligence. Our combined potential is great!

This is Book 2; Book 4 coming soon will complete the set of five  
Don't miss Global Warming; Your Life and other articles in Forum at:  
[www.gniebbeing.org.uk](http://www.gniebbeing.org.uk)