

The Hidden Face of Politics

*“A healthy human mind will neither fear
to face the truth nor love seduction’s lies”*

“Even though the idea that any EXPERT in conditioning techniques may set out deliberately to shape behavior to conform to some individually pre-conceived particular pattern is repugnant to all thinking Americans, this in no manner dissuades the zealots dedicated to the cause of reshaping human behavior. M. Royer, M.S.

Copyright @ Note: Although there are no restrictions on quotation or publication in part or total there is a moral responsibility to quote accurately and to inform your audience of the source of material quoted. This, be assured is in your interests. www.gniebxbeing.org.uk

Contents:

Part 1. Knowledge is power:

First – Nine steps:

Step. Page. Title.

Foreword:

1. 3 Let's take an honest look at our world?
2. 5 Belief Manipulation
3. 7 How experts packed deceit in a box
4. 9 The battle for our right to life
5. 11 Police State democracies
6. 13 Capitalist Communism
7. 14 Advertising damages logical response
8. 16 Advance go back to the crossroads
9. 18 As ye sow so shall ye reap

Part 2. Background Briefing.

Chap. Page. Title.

1. 20 Philosophy – Ideology – Religion
2. 22 The Child Seducers
3. 24 The Dilemma of Democracy
4. 27 Dig: The truth is available
5. 29 A Tale of two cities
6. 30 What have we come to
7. 32 The war of all against all
8. 36 The Humanist consequence
9. 38 Who will speak?

Foreword:

A healthy human mind will neither fear to face the truth nor love seduction's lies, however this stage of human development is still rarely achieved. It seems that the development of true humanity does need extremes of challenge and, entering the very dangerous times we see looming throughout our world today, we will be faced with mental and physical torments that will be testing to our attitudes and behaviour. Now, more than ever before, we need to put desire for truth before convenient attitudes and adolescent ideologies.

Ideologies, such as humanism, attempt to lead us back to slavery by seduction to the primitive animalist religious behaviour that has been a continual challenge to human development. Primitive desires, no matter under what name, should be set aside, so let us get on with searching for understanding of ourselves, our humanity and our manipulation by the hidden face of politics.

Slavery was banned in England long before the fight to free slaves in the other parts of the world, but that banning, of course, was related to the physical form of slavery; no account was ever taken of mind slavery. Jesus of Nazareth was the only one who appears to have understood and mentioned this slavery but we, the people, were then not sufficiently advanced to appreciate it.

Slavery of mind is far worse than slavery of the body. Taking control of a developing human mind so that it will work and willingly sacrifice itself to the agenda of a control-freak organization is the worst possible atrocity. It is worse than physical enslavement because the mind does not know it is enslaved and, without external help, has no freedom to resist or seek its true identity.

Has it really taken two thousand years of human development for us to accept the simple logic of enslavement by deceit? Well perhaps it has not taken so long for us to be able to accept it, but if not, then how much longer will we suffer it before we face-up to changing it?

How much longer before we admit to, and free ourselves of, humanity's most sinister atrocity? Will pride/ego force us to leave it too late? Will we never again realize, that enslavement is worse than death?

Yes we did accept this form of slavery because, in our early development, it was safer to unite behind strong leaders and the consequences were then both invisible and limited. The loss of a social unit in earlier times did not spell disaster for an entire civilization. Our

situation today is very different; we are NOT now united behind free leaders but behind national leaders bound to world government.

What we now have is a New World Order (NWO) and, as you will see, it is to the great benefit of this order that some 90% of world population be deleted. It is not just that Globalism plans it; "genocide of the mind-manipulated" has already begun.

Already millions of peoples (of many races and cultures) are being manipulated through mind slavery to death by inducement to unhealthy lifestyles, childish behaviour, warfare, starvation, malnutrition (by foods deprived of nutrients), lack of warning of natural events and "politically aided diseases".

Why do we close our eyes to realities such as that exporting our industries to foreign countries has not come about as a result of foreigners loving us so much that they are happy to work for a subsistence wage so as to keep us in luxury – or that it is not by chance that 'our' governments make laws in pretence of defending the nutrition and purity of foods, then allow foreign imports of low standard to sell at prices below competition by healthy products.

Nor is it by chance that these situations receive neither useful publicity nor public awakening.

To control the mind of the commonalty so as to lead it to its own destruction is despicable. But those who work for this Globalist NWO do so with pride in their ignorance and full of arrogant belief in their 'control-freak' philosophy.

Individual liberty to develop understanding of self for gain of life's ultimate satisfaction is the greatest gift that creation can bestow. It is also the greatest fear of the NWO control freaks. that the (in their words: useful idiots who serve them) may awaken.

How did this culture gain power? First we have to face that world ownership was always the greatest prize available to arrogant leaders who are without feelings for truth, compassion, or justice. After that we have mainly to thank our own gullibility. Here the prophetic words of Professor Hayek; *The Road to Serfdom: ... many who think themselves infinitely superior to the aberrations of Nazism and sincerely hate all its manifestations, work at the same time for ideals whose realization would lead straight to that abhorred tyranny.*

Greed and pride has been with us since human development began and we have ample evidence of

the existence of long-standing behind scenes power. However it is only within the last 200 years that this power has become a decisive influence and only within the last hundred that it has had the science to dominate humanity.

For world government takeover to succeed it:

A/ Had to gain a secure control of the financial system.

B/ Had to gain a secure control of national governments.

C/ Had to gain a secure social science to indoctrinate the commonalty or to install, to quote ancient lines: “.. *an outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the profane.*” The last is the critical step; do we fear to face this challenge?

Do not be fooled that Globalism is about gathering wealth. We all do things that we should be, and often are, ashamed of, we find it easy to excuse bad behavior as being JUST greed for wealth or pleasure of ego. But no, this iniquity is not just about robbery, enslavement of bodies or starvation – it is about “death”, as in not alive any more.

Now let us see more clearly how this desecration of human life was achieved, understand that what is happening in your country can only happen with authority of the hidden face of politics, understand that completion of this plan would mean the death of common humanity! ... Do not panic, we can still escape.

H.G.Wells

New and stirring things are belittled because, if they are not belittled the humiliating question arises, “Why then are you not taking part in them?”

Nine Steps: Knowledge is power

Step 1:

Let's take an Honest Look at Our World?

A person speaking of some problem may say: “I’m told this is good but expensive; but you have to do what you can, don’t you?” What does “doing what you can mean”? Doings may be right or wrong. If we don’t know the difference, ‘doing what we can’ may produce results opposed to those we want.

A response to this may be: “Well how can we know?” How to know is the important question

We go through life believing a little lie here and a little lie there, is not important. We choose by preference rather than try to find the truth; most now live by the emotion-pleasing humanist philosophy.

Humanism, the religious ideology of socialism, preaches that truth does not exist but, for everyone, there are times when the truth is very important! Is “Well how can we know?” going to be our best response?

Our world is becoming less rational and more violent. That is a tide coming in. Creation rests on a base of principles! All of creation is interrelated! The more we love truth the more we look for it; the more we look for it the better we know it and the more often we turn the right way. Turning the right way has never been more important.

Let’s take a quick look at culture in light of today’s reality.

Self-evident basics.

Suppose we go to a foreign country where language is so like our own that we communicate easily and, as it is a foreign country, it is easier to look honestly at their way of life.

There you find the taxation system is making the super-rich richer and the poor poorer. You also see TV is sex driven: close to being pornographic.

The young are so stimulated as to often reach sexual maturity before teenage, but law restricts marriage before eighteen and bans children from sex before sixteen! So constant exposure to sexual seduction, stimulation and peer pressure, forces them into promiscuity. However this is ignored unless one of the partners is adult.

This is revealing as it makes a sex driven culture suspicious of all “adult child” friendships so; is this about protecting the young?

You wonder at this situation because it is long known that sex is a major human bonding agent; it creates bonding to keep partners together and so provide the secure environment needed by the human family. By promoting promiscuity among the young the bonding power of sex is transferred from maintaining the comfort and security of permanent relationships and turns it to the excitement of change.

Poor family bonding leaves children with poor discipline in a world they don’t understand; instinctively they feel deserted. That is why the human ideal is monogamy.

You wonder who owns this government

People look healthy and prosperous but often stressed or worried: road rage appearing, young roaming wild;

crime, violence and rape becoming unofficially accepted. Why would any government promote cultural breakdown?

You then see the young are encouraged to believe they are no more than common animals so increasingly they behave that way. With failing parental authority and animal instincts constantly promoted, why wouldn't they grow to accept constantly repeated media challenge to excitements ranging from drugs to murder? Youth rebellion, a heavy social cost, is rebellion against cultural irrationality.

And parents no longer love their children enough to face up to it!

The electoral system is also rigged! Democracy is a pretense! Candidates are chosen by vested interests and promoted by P.R.; few have ability and highly paid advisers direct elected leaders.

Voters appear blind to simple realities, most now grow up with entertainment in place of real-life experience. Vital years of human development, from birth to seven – years where language foundations, reasoning and appreciation of life's basics are set; are being lost to TV.

Developing minds, pressure-fed misleading images of life by politically correct TV, learn little more than imprecise basic language and that life is a game of 'make-believe' with a 'birthright' of entertainment.

Pre-schooling and special programs claim to help but further undermine parents enticed to work to maintain artificial lifestyles in a manipulated economy!

Children never fully recover 'lost' years and may remain forever adolescent. They grow up to become gullible parents reliant on 'big brother' and frantic in defence of their peer-group attitudes. 'Peer-group' loyalties open the way to dialectic indoctrination by isolating victims from the wisdom of ages: 'Gradualist' manipulation is made effective by "peer-groups" generational segregation.

Programs 'supposedly' designed to help the disadvantaged fail because the real cause of social problems is hidden. The damage caused by unnatural nurture is never mentioned! When research shows it, reporting ignores it. People demanding expensive programs and mind-dulling medications never see, or imagine, 'their' government is tricking them.

Puzzled by these irrationalities you return to the class-room.

Culture, even at business level, is taught, as "consensus dialectic" so truth gradually becomes agreement between the ignorant and the inexperienced.

Children of the well-off middle class may be grouped selectively and parents with collective political power think the system works to their advantage. But they and their children are still subject to TV and less obvious indoctrination. Each generation opens to greater manipulation and parents cannot see what is happening.

Technology, though well taught is still disadvantaged; set reading limits discussion of alternatives; invention is stifled as students struggle with the pressures of TV and social junk; all lose humanity: intellectual maturity, generation by generation.

The tourist now sees how intelligent people accept their children being enticed to ideas and attitudes that increase despondency, dependency, lawlessness, social abuse, drug addiction, violence, rape and contempt for parent. Parents, as children, were themselves only a little less indoctrinated in this gradual progression.

Indoctrinated contradictions cause frustrations and generate problems. Set in compartments, adults and children welcome new 'correction' programs, rules and regulations. Gradualism succeeds because we are enticed to demand more of the controls that cause our problems.

So our tourist finds that these people are caught in a degrading social spiral. They are confused by conflicting beliefs, distracted by sport, seductive entertainment and/or poverty.

Understanding was sidetracked as the community, blinded by fake morality, let slip its political power. Parents, indoctrinated from childhood, did not notice the world changing from a collection of nations (in which leaders and people were interdependent) to become a 'new-world order' where 'independent' became a danger to the naked 'Emperor'.

Parents, hooked on early stages of this program did not want to see that if children, taught that right and wrong do not exist and then told to adopt certain morals, will suffer mental conflicts.

Or, if told they should respect rights of others but made to believe self is most important in a meaningless world, children would adopt self-serving attitudes with arrogant or violent responses. Or that selfish response based on false beliefs, might overrule

care and kindness that has no logical meaning in humanist philosophy.

Is this our culture?

If that tourist were you, would you recognize your own culture and care enough to look for long-term consequences? Would you, as a parent, be horrified that what you support is destroying the reasoning ability of your children?

Would you care that your money, controlled by people with no concern for you, has no value base? That your nation is being robbed to bankruptcy; that national parks and wilderness areas are now security for borrowed 'fake' money on which you pay interest – that your work produces little if any human value and your social structure will totally collapse at Globalist call?

Knowledgeable authorities quoted: here the world-admired authority, Bertrand Russell (1872-1970); Quote:

"Although this science will be diligently studied, it will be rightly confined to the governing class and the populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated ... education should aim at destroying free will, ..." End quote.

That could not be openly said in a university culture if were it not already effective. *"The Impact of Science on Society"* 1976.

Step 2:

Belief Manipulation

The cancer we buy and love. Every lying persuasion, cancer-like, deforms the logical ability of the brain.

Edward L. Bernays is credited as the original genius of public relations propaganda (P.R.). His work brought quick advance to the science of mind manipulation and manipulators, prideful; now reveal new dimensions of their inhuman trade.

At first it seemed this could be fixed by exposure of simple facts, people would understand this trickery and, just by seeing it, the problem was fixed.

But, as time passed, it became clear that people could no longer believe, or not always accept, the obvious. We had to look to a broad spectrum and avoid extremists who confuse all reform by falling for so many of the manipulators' decoys.

A recent TV feature called "The Cutting Edge" advertised: *"Explores how the cultures of marketing and advertising have come to influence what US consumers buy"*. This exposed new aspects of the social confidence trickery to which some descend for power.

A Culture of Mind Invasion.

Looking at various aspects of mind manipulation we see that Public Relations, as a commercial tool, is in disguise of its true use. The true use of PR is political/cultural manipulation.

It has proven that it can get an unknown candidate elected before one known and respected; create public support for war; infuse hate and promote (by misuse of Hegelian Dialectic principles) all aspects of the deadly humanist ideology now ruling culture.

That this power is directed to political deceit is beyond doubt and not surprising. However the refinements now achieved indicate that new exposures may make it easier for many to understand what is happening. Is every person now fully enslaved to mind manipulation or is there hope?

People will say some are always trying to persuade us to buy this or vote for that; it's a natural part of life; accept it? Well, in cave-man days it didn't matter. Everyone had access to everyday knowledge; survival needed unity and opinions were honest expressions of experience. Life is now changed, we no longer live a simple life and avarice is Public Relations promoted.

Your health, happiness, wealth and even your life, are all 'fair game' for these human predators. Let these get the power of knowledge to manipulate you and they own you; few ever escape. Sabre-toothed tigers were sweet pets by comparison.

Today most believe in the "Common Good" but did we notice that after we came to believe in the common good, many were persuaded that each, personally, IS the supreme good.

Yes! In recent years children have been taught to believe that there is no social truth and each one is, by self-interest, the most important.

After this indoctrination, those that take pride in their promotion see themselves, individually, AS the 'common good'. They then no longer accept the old concept of common good because that has become just another name for "my good" and each thinks that anyone who sees 'common good' as different from the

ego-made choice is stupid or at least 'politically incorrect'.

We are flattered by mind manipulating lies. *How do you feel about that?* A simple question made popular to reinforce your idea that what you feel about something is very important no matter how ignorantly it's based.

We express amazement that strangers may instantly place us in a 'box' representing attitudes or beliefs, but mind manipulators assume and recognize 'forms of language'. Public Relations science has now refined analysis of words and attitudes for precise but 'inhuman' use.

Manipulators spend many \$millions on collecting data about whole national populations; about ideas, preferences and human weaknesses; about response to deception and the way words are understood and used.

All, of course, computerized for grading and analysis. Control is not a 'mind-blowing' take-over, just a creeping paralysis of logic. No private information is protected from the international "Mind Manipulators".

Communities are now studied to such detail that propaganda can be directed to wider groupings. A father hears what appeals to him, a son what appeals to him; each ignores the other and the words that attract the preferences of the other.

The result is that many now think they know Christianity but we don't know Christianity – think they know health but we don't know health – think they know freedom but we don't know freedom – think they know politics but we don't know politics – think they know education but we don't know education – think they know love but we don't know love.

Ideas about many subjects have become psychological ghosts in mind; they are inserted by manipulation and accepted because of confused logic. The cover may be uneven but all are influenced.

This explains why some spend lifetimes in efforts of reform while unable to see that ideas of Law, or Education, or God – of government or health or welfare or culture or compassion, are just dismembered fragments in mind acting like a force of hypnosis. It is escape proof because we know of nothing to escape from.

The 'Party' trick! Trained agents employ top PR to influence people to accept ideas or to vote for 'party promoted' candidates.

The "Party System" allows behind scene manipulators to insert and promote their agents.

Parties compete for the prize of serving the 'masters' unknowing (or uncaring) that it is irrelevant which party wins; citizens cannot see that their 'vote', when given to people chosen by the 'party system', is irrelevant.

Democracy is faked and we believe ourselves free. But Constitutionally the choice is still ours; we buy 'flattering' seductions because we love them.

True democracy requires each electorate to choose and elect its own representative. All rights are created, held, made public and protected by this act of deliberate choice. When we fail this social duty, (allow others to choose our candidates) no legal right or moral law is secure.

Manipulators (behind government) feel free; having what they do called legal makes it O.K., but at best they are no better than thieves, confidence tricksters, or mass murderers. In friendship's disguise they assault without concern or pity and, vampire-like, sip away human-logic. They trick people into error to rob them of their humanity. THAT is atrocity and we know it!

To save our pride we close our eyes.

Modern propaganda causes communities to support what, in free conscience, would be rejected. Webs of lies disable our logic and we willingly die for the lie!

Those seeing a little of the growing inequity keep hitting their heads against brick walls until overcome by futility and exhaustion. Activists cannot believe they do not see a complete picture and cannot incorporate the insight of others so as to broaden their campaign and expose the larger reality – we cannot change our ideas because we feel loyal to personal beliefs before democratic community!

Is it is now too late?

Do we still have courage to face knowledge and evidence? Can we use our intelligence to save ourselves when faced with knowledge and evidence? Can self-serving activists admit the need to see a larger picture and join forces so as to then expose the truth for a larger benefit?

It is vital we see that self-interest campaigns will always fail against the general selfish interests of others; that success will come only when we see that overall community interests will give best advantage to all.

Our brain was made for gain not for garbage. For salvation, an awakening to the critical importance of cultural TRUTH must occur! This devotion to truth must become embedded within our psyche! Greed and pride, in love of the lie, enslave the mind to the disadvantage of all. With work, understanding and desire for truth we can save ourselves.

We have also to face that the battle is a global life-death battle. To see through the trickery, we need only see that desire for truth will allow us knowledge to understand and think, seriously and critically for our benefit.

Step 3:

How Experts Packed Deceit in a Box to fool the world! They sold virtually nothing to almost everyone in exchange for practically everything.

In 1975, F E & M Emery (researching at the Australian National Uni.) showed how TV viewing turned people into zombies. Brainwave-measuring equipment was used to measure the activity of various areas of the brain before, during and after watching TV, it showed the part of the brain that makes critical assessment and sorting of information “shuts down” during, and for a time after, TV viewing.

This exposes the great advantage of TV for disguising moral crime as caring, respectable culture. It greatly magnifies penetration over all previous use of entertainment for community indoctrination. It dulls the brain’s natural defence mechanism to leave it open to sedition far more effectively than repeated lies. That these experiments were given so little public coverage was a damning indication that this facility was well understood and in use.

Other research confirmed the obvious! That young children do not understand the story to learn language but see the changing scene as a fascinating (perhaps mesmerizing) kaleidoscope. Over childhood years they lose essential true-life experience to become immature adults less able to guide their own children.

Combine that with the following to understand the rapid increase in childish adult behaviour, growing lawlessness and fawning dependence on government. This is a true life/death war and saying so may well annoy those who accept, without question, the socialist values indoctrinated as humanism.

Most don’t yet accept that we now live under a dictatorship; don’t accept their own growing lack of concern for right and wrong. Mass indoctrination leads to collapse of morality in business and public

relationships along with collapse of caring and respect for others in what, in public, is incessantly promoted as a caring and mutually respectful culture. ‘Caring’ and ‘enjoy’ are catchwords of this Globalist deceit!

Caring is used to justify or disguise all deceit from gradualism to the two-faced dialectic that promotes ‘consensus’ as a substitute for truth. So take a big breath: The following essay by W. N. Grigg, with insert by Dean Gotcher, states so well this vital understanding:

“TV or Not TV? Not!” By William Norman Grigg
&

“The Hegelian Dialectic Process” By Dean Gotcher.

“TV programming is designed to shepherd viewers into compromising their values. To preserve freedom, Americans should severely limit or entirely eliminate their TV viewing.

“In the typical American home, the television set occupies the centre of the living room, as if it were the household deity. People immersed in TV’s caricature of reality indulge in a delusional sense of intimacy with people they do not know — and who usually don’t exist — at the expense of relationships with the most consequential people in their lives: their parents, children and neighbors.

Passive consumers of television also submit to the powers of people skilled in the use of fantasy as a means of re-calibrating public attitudes and values, not just about family life and sexual morality, but also about any other moral question of consequence.

“Of all the arts,” commented founding Soviet dictator Vladimir Lenin, “cinema is the most important.” Lenin’s regime pioneered the use of cinematic propaganda as a means of building the total state, and Lenin’s heirs from Hitler to Kim Jong-II have used his techniques.

[Could we think of a few more recent Prime Ministers and Presidents?]

In his precautionary tale “1984” George Orwell anticipated the use of television as an instrument of totalitarian social engineering: Every hapless subject of Big Brother’s regime was required to commune with the omnipresent “telescreen,” ... [We do this just by passive absorption.]

Most Americans would disagree that our society has much if anything in common with the dismal despotism portrayed by Orwell. But Russian anti-Communist Alexander Zinovyev, a world-renowned author who actually lived under such a regime, sees America

descending into the same nightmare — in large measure because of the influence of our popular media.

[Our media is more advanced and techniques more subtle. Ed.]

“It is enough to switch on the TV set, to go to the movies, to open a best-seller,... to listen to the ubiquitous music,” complained Zinovyev in the July 24, 1999 issue of France’s Le Figaro, “and you’ll find them propagating the cult of sex, violence and money. Noble slogans about tolerance and respect for others are concealing those three pillars of totalitarian democracy.”

The Devious Dialectic

To be most effective, the peddlers of cultural corruption must work in tandem with change agents who employ sophisticated tactics of psychological manipulation in the service of collectivism. Dean Gotcher of the Institute for Authority Research has spent much of his life studying the ways in which change agents infiltrate and subvert communities in order to undermine established values.

According to Gotcher, one of the most successful ploys used by those cultural revolutionaries is the “dialectic process,” in which diverse groups of people, in a facilitated environment, are led to submerge their disagreements through a series of compromises leading to a “consensus.” This can be carried out in discussion groups, classrooms, management seminars, or in many other settings.

“The objective of the facilitator is to break down barriers and normal restrictions,” observes Gotcher. “The facilitator (sometimes called the change agent) uses the ‘consensus process’ [to] stifle sensible protests. This actually results in ‘induced paralysis’ of the objectors [those who seek to defend conventional social mores and customs].”

“Objectors to the process of ‘consensus’ are made to feel like they are ‘rocking the boat,’” Gotcher continues. “Those who object to the changes are encouraged to alter their standards so that ‘they can get along with others.’ The reason given to get rid of normal standards often is the claim that others are being ‘offended.’” Beguiled or brow-beaten into sacrificing principles for the sake of social amity, those being fed through the machinery of the “dialectic process” are carefully led through subtle but significant compromises intended ultimately “to remake human nature.”

...

As Gotcher points out, dialecticians use.. “harmless” shared deceptions to lay a crucial predicate: The

assumption that there are no absolutes, that reality itself is subjective, and that what really matters is conforming to the consensus, the will of the collective. Exercises of this sort, he warns, are being employed in corporate, professional, health care, educational & management training, as well as in “outcome-based” educational programs.

“Whether promoted by organizations such as the NEA [National Education Association], the local Chamber of Commerce, the United Nations, [the] United Nations Education, Science, and Culture Organization (UNESCO), or through grant programs such as Goals 2000 and School to Work, this process is having a direct effect upon all our lives,” observes Gotcher.

The purpose is to prepare the public mind for the onset of the total state, which would by definition be completely emancipated from all moral and legal restraints.

The process described by Gotcher works best in relatively small, intimate settings. But the public at large is also being run through the “dialectic process” through the instrumentality of prime-time television.

Gotcher’s example of a mythical “mama bull” has a real-life counterpart in the case of the so-called married couple that took the \$1 million prize in CBS television’s Amazing Race. The couple in question, who won the round-the-world competition staged in the program, are two homosexual men. The decision to refer to them as “married” was approved at the network’s loftiest corporate echelon. After this gesture provoked a smattering of criticism, a CBS executive rebuked the objectors: “They’re gay and they’re married. What’s the problem?”

The problem, of course, is that men cannot be married to each other any more than a bull can be a mama. But just like the small group in the ice-breaker exercise described by Gotcher, the vast national audience for Amazing Race was required to be party to the collective falsehood of homosexual “marriage.” And it’s hardly a coincidence that this took place at a time when serious efforts were underway — in Vermont, California, Massachusetts and at the U.S. Supreme Court — to lay the groundwork for officially recognizing homosexual “marriage.”

Assault on the Middle Class

Television emerged as a mass medium in the 1950s and quickly came to occupy a key role in an orchestrated campaign to eradicate conventional middle-class morals and culture.

In his monumental 1966 study *Tragedy and Hope*, the late Georgetown University historian Carroll Quigley observed:

“The period since 1950 has seen the beginnings of a revolutionary change in American politics. This change is not so closely related to changes in American economic life as it is to the transformation in social life.... What has been happening has been a disintegration [outlook reformatting] of the middle class....”

While economic factors certainly played an important role in this disintegration, continued Quigley, the most important development was the destruction of “the middle-class outlook ... not by adult middle-class persons abandoning it, but by a failure or inability of parents to pass it on to their children.”

This was partially due to radical changes in the American educational system undertaken for the purpose of using the schools to subvert middle-class morality. But just as important was “the context within which the educational system has operated,” noted Quigley — specifically, the popular culture and entertainment media.

“The chief external factor in the destruction of the middle-class outlook has been the relentless attack upon it in literature and drama throughout most of the twentieth century,” Quigley wrote. “In fact, it is difficult to find works that defended this outlook or even assumed it to be true, as was frequent in the nineteenth century.”

The anti-middle-class outlook, which was rapidly absorbed by television, exalted “violence, sexual laxity, social irresponsibility and perversion ... human weakness in relation to alcohol, narcotics, or sex, or domestic and business relationships ...”

The above highlights the deadly grip of mind manipulation on our lives today. If we refuse to admit it then we accept and cannot escape our brainwashing. [Emphasis Added. Continued later] Quotes from Deception & War.

Step 4:

The battle for our Right to Life

For so long I've watched this battle for humanity being lost. It has taken so much time to uncover the intrigue that explains why.

Fighting for human rights and freedoms before I knew there was a battle to be fought, or a world to save, I feel apologetic that it has taken so long to find the

evidence to help those serious about understanding this war for our humanity. Still, had I sought help from ‘authorities’ or not started from scratch, I would never have reached this point. Make no mistake, life may be a never-ending story but, we do have the ability to learn and make progress in our times.

So let’s consider why, for so long, we have been losing this battle: how the thieving of human rights and freedoms were hidden so now, almost unopposed, this disaster gains more pace as each year passes.

For instance:

a/ Why are we so often told (every news session and more) that, in European cultures, we have democracy? If we had it why would this understanding need constant propaganda of reinforcement!

b/ Why are there are so many religions claiming the eternal God and so many denominations claiming to be the true expression of this God but so few interested in scientific appraisal of what life is about? The Christian Bible says God is Truth so why do Christian religions have no faith to put this to the test?

We, in European cultures, need to admit the plain evidence that we do NOT, in fact, live in democracies and ask why we are content to ignore our legal rights while, like hypnotized bunnies, we accept cultural genocide?

We also need to realize that no capable, honest scientist can find any scientific evidence for a more logical idea of origins than is the concept of a creator God! Or any greater scientific evidence about the creation than is given by the intelligence offered by ancient writings – information that, if logically appraised, leads to understanding of culture and coming events.

We need also ask why the evolution religion is perpetually proclaimed as scientific while having no status as scientific theory. The infinite cannot be explained by either science or logic, and no science has offered any theory for the creation of life that is more logical than that the creation of life (and the human situation today) is consistent with an intelligence-designed program.

The Assault on Childhood

We need to think seriously about why, with technology in great advance, there is now decay rather than advance of social intellect and behaviour.

Why has social education produced degeneration and disaster? Why are the majority of parents reduced to despair after following modern child- raising advice?

Why is it that a ten-year-old educated today would be considered “backward” if compared to the same sixty years ago? Why are increasing numbers of children now in danger of home accident? Why does each increase in worldly goods seem accompanied by increase in greed, avarice and violence?

We need ask ourselves why compulsory education adopts less efficient ways of teaching basics and spends more money to teach junk? Why peer-group formation was encouraged though it divorced children from the love, experience and wisdom of past generations to increase mindless, arrogant, social behaviour?

Why drugs became available along with massive publicity about drug use and why the war against drugs so blatantly failed? Why mothers must work to send their children to kindergartens to learn that parents are out of date in their attitudes? Why ignorant children are told they can make intelligent decisions for themselves when science, history and logic all deny this?

Why our culture fails to explain that combining logic with truth is the base for all understanding – or *that truth is the reality of the order in which we exist?* Why every media incessantly promotes our animal instincts in association with undermining human development?

All the above, and more, reveal an integrated design to remove children from the influence of parents and divorce them from human intellectual progress. To solve a crime first ask – “Who Benefits?”

Mind manipulation is so self-evident to logical search that it needs no formal proof. Nevertheless it is a well proven, and in some quarters the well-known weapon for destruction of morality and culture.

When forces of cultural change combine destructively planned subversion is exposed, and the only beneficiary is a governing elite.

We really do need to take stock!

We need to think seriously to understand why it is that most of us are now willing to accept, without concern, the most deadly deceptions ever to challenge the intellect and moral resources of humanity.

Why the most elementary steps are not taken to make law = justice and why childishly inefficient, undemocratic government, is allowed praise (and presented as if by public acclaim) as world’s best practice.

Writers of our national constitutions would not have been blind to this deceit nor have accepted it: why now do we submit, without question, to cultural genocide?

Why are we losing this battle?

Why are we, with overwhelming numerical advantage and with access to more evidence than we need, losing this battle for our health, our wealth, our wisdom, our sanity and even our humanity?

The answer is mind manipulation; this even divorces us from the old wisdom that says: "United we stand, divided we fall".

Ideological divisions, religious divisions, political divisions or cultural divisions; they make us weak and we grow weaker. By a myriad of little changes we are led, gradually, to sickness and death.

Mind manipulation, through media and education, has made it so difficult for us to accept the big picture – to see that there is a worldwide program, soundly logical, to take over the earth.

It is mind manipulation that blinds us to the simple reality that ‘Big Brother’ is not devoted to the care of those no longer able to care for themselves.

Ownership of earth is an historic ambition! We ignore clear evidence! Now over-population excuses this power grab and excuses deletion of a population mindlessly wasting natural resources. Globalists are not in human service and their strength is that the public does not know of them. An international crime syndicate with infinite stolen wealth and corrupt power now rules earth.

If we DO look with honest intent then we CAN understand that this power wants us morally blind, money poor and socially ignorant; that it has the financial strength to gradually increase our deception, multiply divisions, strengthen our distractions and plan our disposal.

Honesty tells us the globalist forces will have agents working constantly to spread false ideas, encourage our pride, and flatter our determination wherever our success can be used against us! We CAN understand why abuse of power by Globalism is promoted as “about money”!

As almost everyone has greed for money that does not frighten us! We do things to harm our own health and also the health of our friends and our children so, if told an international industry sells us sickness as a way to make more money we accept it, money is king!

If they start a war to gain ‘cheap oil’, ‘save freedom’ or spread (fake) ‘democracy’: well we don’t complain because we hope to benefit.

People shapers know that if we believe political atrocities to be ‘mother love’ activities for our good, then we will avoid questions and thoughts questioning what they are really about. We will not say: hey, why do you want money when you control international finance?

Globalism needs control – NOT money! They have to keep the people poor, distracted, ignorant and helpless. That is exactly what should be obvious about Globalist planning.

Jesus of Nazareth said that a little faith could move mountains, but the scribe who noted this item did not record WHAT Jesus said we need faith in. However, if we use a little logic we may see that what we need have faith in is TRUTH. A little faith in truth does more than move mountains: it also defeats injustice and all who promote it.

Step 5: Police State Democracies

“The Secret Patriot Act II Destroys What Is Left of American Liberty.

A Brief Analysis of the Domestic Security Enhancement Act 2003: Known as Patriot Act II By

Congressman Ron Paul told the Washington Times that no member of Congress was allowed to read the first Patriot Act that was passed by the House on October 27, 2001.

The first Patriot Act was universally decried by civil libertarians and Constitutional scholars from across the political spectrum. William Safire, while writing for the New York Times, described the first Patriot Act’s powers by saying that President Bush was seizing dictatorial control.” End quote.

[Editorial Comment: If you find it hard to believe the sordid reality of the extreme ‘Patriot Acts’ legislation, the URL above will reveal, legalized, oppression equal to the most extreme of dictators – the oppression we claim to fear and fight is introduced under excuse of defending our ‘pretend’ democracy.

Legislation of this kind is not confined to the US of A, it is common to the ‘free’ world. Such legislation is not enforced generally when introduced, it is there so that if authority is caught in atrocity it can claim entitlement by law there to protect us.]

Here is what is really strange: “WHY is it that we are not told, early in the above article, that the US of A Constitution has been (for years) suspended?” President Roosevelt suspended it in 1933 and every President since renews this suspension yearly.

This is inexcusable. So long as we, the people, accept dictatorial law, then why whine about it – or about having our lives destroyed by Globalism? Again this is not unique to the USA! It could not happen in a nation alone because it would then be seen to happen. It has to be a creeping worldwide seduction, and to happen world-wide has to be organized world-wide.

A government may pass any law it can get away with only if complaint ignores that the ‘free’ world has already allowed governments full dictatorial power. The Constitution of a true democracy cannot be secretly suspended! If we have any concern for liberty, culture or our human future, then any suspension must have time-limit and public approved.

The USA suspension is inexcusable; it is unlimited and includes times of peace. In fact, if the general citizenry is NOT well aware of the suspension and the fact that they live under disguised dictatorship, then this must surely involve brainwashing, deceit and treason.

National Constitutions and Democracy

When our champions complain about political deceit and iniquity but do not highlight that our National Constitutions are being ignored, then the credibility of all protest is lost: our outrage is pretended.

It is reasonable to say that mere protest against illegal government demonstrates our servility.

Is action to save human freedoms being deliberately confused by poorly researched resistance? Why do activists (world-wide) maintain old fixations and determine to not be confused by the reality of today?

It should be obvious to us that, when we continually protest and whine to authorities, we are just proclaiming our servility. The question earlier posed by H. G. Wells is worth considering; why are so few involved? Why are we so servile that we refuse to “choose & elect” our own representatives?

Example: What is called the Australian Constitution was just an agreement between the States to unite Federally. Each State already had a Constitution based on British law and this did not need repeating in a National Constitution subject, by design, to State’s limitation of its powers. That this, by sedition, is now ignored does not make the change legal.

Nor were Commonwealth or States set-up to be governed by “Party Systems”! They were not so governed at their beginnings!

Those saying parliament cannot work without parties display uncaring levels of ignorance. In our pre-party years ‘independents’ were ‘pushed’ by community leaders and biased toward the establishment, but this establishment was then “at least” national. Although misled by lies we were not indoctrinated to futility.

Parents had rights to discipline their children in practical ways; as a result most readily accepted communal responsibilities. Today ‘independents’ are usually self-chosen and push as reformers in support of personal fixations. A parliament of these would be a battleground rather than a democratic service. But we do have Constitutional right to choose our honest and experienced managers.

Globalist controlled humanist/socialist education and money controlled mass media, has mind-set deadly social-intellectual-deformities over the recent century. A political stand-over brought the government of the USA to heel in 1907. By 1910 World Government saw Australia was important enough to need be taken under control. The “International Bankers” did this in the 1920s. See Book 2. World events show all nations today to be Globalist manipulated.

No chapter or book tells the full story! To exercise the control to negate democracy, the Internationalist Sect had first to introduce “Party System” governments. In the USA this was achieved almost instantaneously with independence: in Australia it was delayed variously between States and also Commonwealth.

For secure control, scientific cultural-indoctrination of ‘Humanist philosophy’ followed. The then secret ‘Globalism’ finally advanced to be able to openly call itself “Globalism” and proclaim a New World Order. We can no longer say we are not told, only that we fear to look for the consequences. Quotes else-where in our literature add vital evidence to matters referred to here.

We must now work to gain understanding of the present world situation – the Globalist goal and its means of achievement. We can defeat this monstrosity by having the courage to accept our social responsibilities.

We need open our minds: “Party system” government cannot be democratic; it needs vested interest control to survive! Public submission and ignorance is essential to the party-system. Like a football match it is full of emotion, passion and mindless loyalty.

Parties play to win, but obey rule by umpires who, by careful selection, seduction, rod of finance and deceit, ensure competing parties work to secret overall programs.

As in the USA, Australian founding fathers assumed the everyday common sense of the majority. The Australian constitution even stated the democratic essential that people choose their own candidates – an obligation to ‘not just vote for any egotist who presents or for quislings chosen to serve unknown powers.

Without behind-scenes control, ‘party systems’ are as self-destructively divisive as self-chosen independents; ‘party’ candidates are chosen before election, so the first loyalty of the member must be to the ‘party’ or ‘authority’ that gives the ‘pride-driven candidate’ the backing to be a candidate; few rebel although what they do is unconstitutional.

A Parliament of representatives chosen by the electorate will represent the people without division; the entire parliament is dedicated to the common good. No government today obeys a democratic constitution! No law now defends justice; law now defends law. Globalism suspends, revises or, re-interprets, to make democracy meaningless to natural thought processes.

It is unrealistic to think that any form of constitution will be maintained unless a national population is both aware of its responsibilities and also of the mind-manipulating power of illegitimate control. All who work for reform by change of law or constitution, rather than by community understanding of personal responsibilities, just aid the deceit.

Do we now fear to govern ourselves?

Many of us, despite the massive evidence, seem to find it too frightening to face the truth of what is really going on in our world; but why? Is it only too frightening because we do not have the courage to take responsibility for our own lives? Or is it that our minds have become so crammed with self-interest ideas and entertainments that we can no longer imagine concepts such as “the common good”?

Is all our public protest based on self-interested begging for handouts or favours?

It is by lies and handouts that mind bars are created! Once enslaved we feel we must have others to organize our lives; we lose our normal self-sufficiency; we cannot see consequences and like “Stockholm Syndrome” captives we soon feel we owe our lives to our captors. (See “Stockholm Syndrome”)

The fear that comes with ideological enslavement comes with a constant indoctrination! Once the 'government gods' are accepted we think they MUST take care of us! Our decisions are made unreliable by false beliefs. We cannot sacrifice our ego to the common good because we fear to trust ourselves and are reliant on handouts.

Don't we see that with freedom of knowledge of social truth, the entire culture changes; our values and needs clarify and simplify. Truth overrules fixations; morals and justice become meaningful. We can trust ourselves and no longer need the false comfort of dictatorial gods who deceive us to destruction. We CAN escape the Criminals who govern our world.

Step 6:

How Hegelian Dialectic turns Capitalism, through Socialism, into Communism?

A reader questions the word 'socialism' claiming, it means: "community owned production for community benefit", what's wrong with that? But we soon see that Communist takeovers have left surviving working classes 'equal' only as slaves to ruling elitists and can then agree the socialist dream was never intended to be more than a dream.

True equality of effort and reward is for insects! Humanity is intellectual and therefore delivers effort in many ways. Communism feeds on capitalist contrast until capitalism itself (by indoctrination) is able to makeover the commonality mind. Socialism, naked, is exposed: see later in "*Shame of Nations*".

Socialism

"Social" deformed by addition of 'ism' or 'ist' means "something like". It is added to culture or religion and in the case of socialist religion is, Humanism. It was created to promote egocentric, faith-based ideals of social to replace respect for human self-discipline.

Socialists, Fascists or Capitalists all enlist support by promoting idealized and artificial social beliefs to add religious zeal to indoctrination; a godly ability to mould life in disregard of nature is assumed. Reality of self-evident truth is discarded. Communism is, "a commune of socialists".

"Humanism" promotes pretence of a human ideal as a base for Communist, Capitalist or Fascist religious totalitarianism. As such, human is very deformed. "*Shame of Nations*" (see later) shows these concocted ideologies as all parts of a plan for global control.

Capitalism is the most sophisticated of this "dialectic" whose end product is Globalism. Radhakrishnan reveals the ancestry of this deceit in; quote:

"Indian Philosophy": "One of the leading principles of the mystics was the sacredness and secrecy of self knowledge and the true knowledge of the gods. This wisdom was, they thought, unfit for, perhaps even dangerous to, the ordinary human mind; or in any case, liable to perversion and misuse, and loss of virtue if revealed to the vulgar and unpurified spirits. Hence they favored the existence of an outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the profane."

That is the ancient ideal behind Socialist/Capitalist philosophy; both are change agents to motivate the masses during that time when government by plutocracy (as a visible alternative to a sham democracy or republic) could not be sold to today's majority. As we see, the ancient idea of elitism, with government by indoctrinated beliefs for the slave class, is, quite precisely, what is being set up by Globalism today, the difference today is that they have modern human behavioural science to help achieve their aims despite that this science also exposes that they have no such right.

That so many nations so easily fall, by simple progressive mind takeover, is even more surprising when we see how openly plans are revealed. 3rd Earl Russell exposed the way of public education and Collins Dictionary gives the following explanation of socialism:

"3. (in Marxist theory) a transitional stage after the proletarian revolution in the development of a society from capitalism to communism characterized by the distribution of income according to work rather than need."

Note: no human charity in this change agency. E.A.

Ideologies fight each other like 'parties' or sports teams, to win the game but the profit goes to the promoter. Group leaders wallow in the hope of victory and ignore the evidence of its futility and treachery; they see their plans as 'on target' but pride denies them ability to see themselves as 'part' of the target.

These are set up to do the dirty work but those in power after the "killing fields" will not call themselves Capitalists or Communists. Globalists will party on as they watch their Hegelian Dialectic work its thesis and antithesis to achieve their dream.

As mentioned earlier, the Hegelian Dialectic principle is now very extensively used and permeates segments of culture ranging from entertainment to education, religion and business.

Change agents will never admit that they are using Hegelian Dialectic principles for mind manipulation, or that this is designed to confine the servant mass to slavery. Here is a reminder from Quigley, (an insider).

“Other themes woven into anti-bourgeois propaganda in literature and drama (including television) were “an oversimplified Freudianism that regarded all suppression of human impulse as leading to frustration and psychic distortions ... the salutary consequences of self-indulgence ... [and a] total rejection of middle-class values, including time, self-discipline, and material achievement, in favor of a cult of personal violence....” (Note: Humanism without clothes: “suppression of human” is its heartbeat.)

The result, concluded Quigley, was “a total reversal of middle-class values by presenting as interesting or admirable simple negation of these values by aimless, shiftless, and totally irresponsible people.”

[Unfortunately this, worldwide, is now the rule rather than the exception.]

Television is the single most effective means of shepherding the American population through the dialectic process. This is why Americans seriously interested in preserving their freedoms should severely limit or entirely eliminate their TV viewing.”

End Quote.

Note:

Quigley, an insider, had a very clear view of socialist people-shaping confidence trickery but didn't admit its capitalist links. The principle of no right, no wrong, is promoted incessantly, but slyly (mainly by suggestion and example) through all media. The consequences of this are now tearing the social fabric of world cultures apart to create crime, corruption, accidents, drugs addiction, lack of foresight, contempt for parents, contempt for rights of others, and terrorism.

All who have, or intend having, children, or have any responsible concern for preserving human intellectual freedoms, should not introduce children to T.V. at all under age of seven years and (in its present form) very selectively for the next ten.

The best use of TV is as entertainment in aged care homes. Mature adults, with well-established mental habits will not be harmed and may find it (in present form) useful for understanding of cultural decay.

TV trains the mind to behave as if information is a passing entertainment to be savoured on the instant for excitement rather than for serious thought: it leaves no time for thought, personal involvement or consideration of implications. It simply leads your

thoughts and moulds your attitudes without conscious involvement on your part.

Similarity between computer and TV screen is obvious; the un-warned mind will accept the two as equal. As TV bonding normally comes first it is important that those looking for information to help understanding of life and living convert to hard copy.

Prison in Mind

Entering the 21st. century

Living as in penitentiary

Imprisoned by bars in mind

Knowing not our true kind

Now no time for despair

Bars melt, as we grow aware

A.G.

Step 7:

Advertising damages logical response.

Advertising fellow-travels with corrupting entertainers and deceptive informants for continued undermining of mental health

Based on “ADVERTISING DAMAGES MENTAL HEALTH” by: Paul Kalkbrenner. Essay, originally published in: Hamburg Chaos Communication Congress December 1997, was translated abridged from the German:

<http://www.chscene.ch/ccg/congress/1997/004>.

Yes your Indoctrination IS International.

Munich psychoanalyst Franz Strunz shows that Sigmund Freud’s thesis that infant’s dreams are free from the intimidation of parents and society is no longer correct. This is good evidence that the logic of traditional “loving discipline” was supportive and comforting to children.

Strunz, in his investigations, demonstrated that “dreadful monsters, crimes and catastrophes bristled in the“ dreams of his analysed children but the development of T.V. was not the only difference between the children analysed by Freud and Strunz. With TV came humanist childcare philosophy, where parents allow these influences to combine we find explanation for the cause of the frantic and rebellious children of our times.

Basic knowledge of childhood perception is needed here. How does perception function? Quotes (shortened for convenience):

“Language consciousness first develops at age 4, until then ... Language and logic are in-comprehensible to the child ... the [younger] child understands what he

sees on television before speaking. ... like illiterates [do]. "The power of media pictures educates them long before their parents and society can make clear linguistically/verbally what is wrong and right.

"This phenomenon only concerns our generations ... The logical understanding that "what is in the box" is virtual, like what is in the newspaper" comes late to all of us. ...

"Jacques Sequeles from the Euro-RSCG agency formulated: "Advertising conquers everything and has become the schoolmaster of our children... They sit glued or spellbound to our [TV] spots. Thus advertising becomes the schoolmaster for life."

[But this situation did not come about by chance! In a true human culture to inflict mind deformity on children would be unthinkable.]

"The power of pictures of visual communication is also unbroken in adult age. This power of virtual pictures is stronger than logical arguments. Even if you feel elevated above this, the tirade of advertising pictures is still drilled into you.

"For that reason, all advertising works with pictures. Successful brands are word-pictures. Everyone knows the Coca Cola logo and the attitude toward life drummed into our heads by countless advertising spots and print campaigns. ... one who knew and used the power of media pictures and corporate identity, quasi-the father of advertising, was Adolf Hitler. No trademark was as well known as the swastika. ...

"There are no distinctions any more between the mechanisms of advertising, television and other media. They function everywhere in the same way with the same trick. Gradually the editorial part was confused with advertising.

"On television, All-American series roll out the same "enticing" dream model as advertising. All the game shows, tele-shopping shows and shows like "The Price is Right" connect with advertising, make themselves advertising, or call people to consume by promising fantastic promotional gifts that change our life.

"More and more, shows resemble gigantic promotion events where actors and singers are always invited to promote their latest film or their latest CD....

"We have fallen under the rule of the television dictatorship that came [was placed] over us gently [seductively] in an advertising manner and not forcefully. This makes the dictatorship especially dangerous. ...

They control the picture and thus the reality, the body and the mind, a convincing, subliminal dictatorship that is systematically guided by ratings experts. "This is the worst possible of all dictatorships since no revolt is possible any more. This dictatorship needs no prisons or guards. Instead of bars and grating, there is the idiot box.

"Jacques Sequeles of the Euro-RSCG agency: the function of advertising is to lead consumers to their hidden expectations. By uncovering these expectations, advertising first creates the delight that is the true engine of our unbridled consumer society."

"Without advertising, no consumer society could arise. Without advertising, our minds would be free to reflect about more important things.

Homepage: <http://www.mbtranslations.com/>

Address: <http://www.commondreams.org/>

"Advertising ... is a negative life experience ... Do advertisements work by being honest? Do advertisements simply present the facts as facts? No! ...

"Advertisements push psychological buttons and they push them very, very, very well. They make up problems to sell the solutions ... They prey upon sexual identities. ... They try to make consumers believe they lack something, and from this feeling of lack, of being lesser than their peers, they create needs for products which may not be genuine, needs which do not arise genuinely out of individual consumers.

"Advertisements are black holes which suck up, through appropriation, themes, interactions, models, stereotypes, fears, art, politics, everything. But what happens to these things, which have their own integrity or value, after they have been sucked up by the black hole and shot out of the jets of advertisements?

"They have been utterly trivialized. They have had their integrity and identities sucked out of them. ... What about the trivialized interactions that make up 30 second commercials. The trivialized interactions between lovers, between men and women, between husband and wife, between child and adult, between employer and employee? ... What happens when reality starts to model ... advertisement?

"What happens to genuine human interaction when lovers, men and women, husbands and wives, adults and children, just start reciting and parroting the interactions of 30 second commercials?

"So take all of this and multiply ... Day after day ... button pushing after button pushing ... How many

times has the advertisement promised something which the product it was selling could not deliver? Multiply that certain disappointment ... "What does this do? Don't tell me that this bombardment doesn't effect mental health ... Don't tell me this bombardment doesn't corrupt the desires and wants and needs of humanity". EQ.

Our culture is now a sordid reflection of manipulative 'entertainment' and advertising. It is careless of truth. It represents the sickness created by gradual increase in beliefs deformed by deliberate corruption. The above is another example of how truth is hidden; is/was that given great (or any) publicity?

University experiments support that a lie, repeated often enough and with authority, will, even against logical denial, be accepted; similar experiments also prove that as more believe obvious lies more will follow the mob. If resistance is to succeed we have to achieve a "threshold of credibility".

There is no doubt that TV and mass media are quite capable of levels of influence we seldom imagine possible. Change agents do not need approach levels that may challenge community perceptions!

The destruction of logic in a community is quite sufficiently achieved by gradualism and reinforcement. Change agents need take no risk of exposure (no need of extreme solutions) to achieve mind control, it is in the nature of ego to defend its beliefs and evade truth. There is no need of extremes such as drugs in the water though I suspect there is useful gain with fluoride, a poison that, (I'm told) was used in the USSR for sedation of prisoners.

There is just too much evidence of natural easy suppression of human logic and of deliberate, international level deceit. Whether a majority of young children now suffer frightening dreams I don't know but, when I watch the deformities and monstrosities they are given to watch, and try to see life through their uneducated eyes, I think they should.

I DO know that the world we live in presents deformity as normal and ugly deformities, from face painting to humanized animals, dominate the lives of children below the age of reason. Deceit creates bias to deform logic. The mind compass pulled a little off-course at the beginning, leaves intelligence frustrated and open to belief that life has no meaning.

For all deceit on which we act in good faith, there is less than the desired outcome – every learned error is an obstacle to rational response. After generations of deceptive promotions most lose all faith in their own

judgments: their instinct is then developed to distrust their own judgment.

I also know that every screaming child will not be screaming for more love from its parents; love that does not carry guidance of discipline is no substitute for reality. They scream because of the agony of living in the frustration suffered from lack of honest life guidance – for some disciplined force or for anything meaningful to guide them in their wilderness; or drug – that will ease their agony of frustration.

The young child is at the peak of its hunger for intelligent life-guidance and all it gets is smothering love, mindless comforting or TV. It screams to attract attention to its need of a love sincere enough to teach it life's truths, anything to ease the pain of frustration that is eating away its life's potential and giving it nightmares. But don't worry, it will adapt to deceit.

The blind will trust blind leaders even though they know them untrustworthy. We lose ourselves in sport, drugs and trivia, having no confidence to respond positively in our own interests. Those who care about family or humanity should treat as urgent the need of mental discipline. In the seven-year life of the above article millions of lives have been shortened or ruined but, in that time, it would not have received the publicity of a single national sports event.

"Politically Correct humanism" is incessantly promoted as 'caring', 'non-judgmental' and 'rational'; masked by this deceit 'soap operas' characters act "petty", "judgemental", "cruel", "irrational".

You cannot be 'caring' and 'non-judgmental' in the same life! If you really care you must be judgmental. You can only be non-judgmental if you don't care! Every little human child instinctively knows this because we are born with a capacity for logic that will enable us, by our nature, to gain control of our behaviour. When denied logical treatment a child is tortured unless or until able to kill-off or ignore its natural impulses.

Mass-media "role models" act-out cultural deformities to make crazy behaviour appear 'normal'; we are forced to love role models we live with in greater, more emotional, involvement than with real people.

Step 8:

Advance back to the crossroads

No man can learn what he has no preparation for learning, however near to his eyes is the object. ... Our eyes are holden that we cannot see things that

stare us in the face, until the hour arrives when the mind is ripened, -- then we behold them, and the time when we saw them not is like a dream.

Emerson; from “*Spiritual Laws*”

Mind manipulation is something those afflicted cannot see, or may refuse to see, in relation to themselves. In many cases it is as the object hidden within a puzzle drawing; it is hard to find, but when seen becomes hard to miss. Were manipulation easy to admit, our enslavement would be controlled and manipulation would not be the common form of human slavery.

John 8:31-35 *“If you dwell within the revelation I have brought, you are indeed my disciples; you shall know the truth and the truth will set you free. “They replied, we are Abraham’s descendents; we have never been in slavery to any man. What do you mean by saying, “You will become free men’? “In very truth I tell you, said Jesus, that everyone who commits sin is a slave”.*

Social crime is called sin; no developed human would commit sin for it is the equal of cutting off your nose to spite your face. But this enslavement is true of about 99.9% of all ever to have lived so, if Jesus was not believed then, how can I expect to be believed now?

We now see how effective “Hegelian Dialectic” is when adapted for seduction; or the efficiency of peer-group socializing programs for isolation of each generation from the accumulated cultural wisdom.

We see the efficiency of T.V. and mass-media in general to impress degenerate social values as enlightened values; also the efficiency for brutish moral seduction by pop-music. At the same time we see how the natural development of intellectual logic is being undermined so as to increase our gullibility and enhance our reliance on leaders. Then to this add the efficiency of advertising to further mislead and undermine our confidence in decision-making.

Yes we live in different times; His listeners were (in so far as we know education today) uneducated. Yet today our best education does not enlighten us to the potentials and dangers of enslavement by deceit – culture is arranged so as to promote that enslavement and we are even more dominated by immoral values and quisling leaders.

Despite this we are now more advanced in other ways, we not only have access to enlightenment but also have services that allow us access to information if we choose to use our intellectual gift. Language is also very far from the primitive language of uneducated

people 2000 years ago. So, by admitting the logic of it and looking at the evidence, we can prove our situation to ourselves – while more efficiently deceived we have courage, free will and access to information to escape our enslavement.

All these are now reported on and clearly exposed, so why have we lost moral sense and vision? These are all elements available to human control and involve our ability to either gain individual initiative or suffer its removal.

We no longer have to accept seduction to failure; we are no longer forced to trust in leaders for guidance and life support. So let us go back a few years and read words of someone not subject to today’s corruption and perhaps gain some idea of the direction we should be heading.

*“I will not dissemble my hope that each person whom I address has felt his own call to cast aside all evil customs, timidities, and limitations, and to be in his place a free and helpful man, a reformer, a benefactor, not content to slip along through the world like a ... spy, escaping by his nimbleness or apologies as many knocks as he can, but a brave and upright man, who must find or cut a straight road to everything excellent in the earth, and not only go honorably himself, but make it easier for all who follow to go with honor and with benefit.” *Man the Reformer*”, by Emerson.*

Yes the language is a little strange to us, and in those days humanist attitudes did not deny us the simplicity of using ‘man’ for mankind and so being inclusive of women. That at least is refreshing; now try this:

“Truth is the summit of being: justice is the application of it to affairs. All individual natures stand in the scale, according to the purity of this element in them. A healthy soul stands united with the Just and the True, as the magnet arranges itself with the pole, so that he stands to all beholders like a transparent object betwixt them and the sun, and whoso journeys towards the sun, journeys towards that person. ... Thus, men of character are the conscience of the society to which they belong. Emerson.

So now, hopefully with our minds set to a new rhythm, let us look at some writing by Alexis Carrel, (1873-1944) a French surgeon and biologist who emigrated to the USA and, in 1906 joined the New York staff of the Rockefeller Institute for medical research. He won the Nobel Prize 1912 for work on blood-vessels. Carrel, like some others quoted, can be classed as an insider to Globalism. Working within

their system he would be knowing of their ways and thinking. From: “*Man, the Unknown*”:

“Mental activities are influenced by social environment as profoundly as by the fluids of the body. Like physiological activities, they improve with exercise. ... The Constitution of an Alpine guide is much superior to that of an inhabitant of New York. Nevertheless the organs and muscles of the latter suffice for sedentary life. Mind, on the contrary, does not unfold spontaneously. The son of a scholar inherits no knowledge from his father. If left alone on a desert island, he would be no better than Cro-Magnon men.”

The powers of the mind remain virtual in the absence of education and of an environment bearing the stamp of the intellectual, moral, aesthetic, and religious accomplishments of our ancestors. The psychological state of the social group determines, in a large measure, the number, the quality, and the intensity of the manifestations of individual consciousness.

If the social environment is mediocre, intelligence and moral sense fail to develop. These activities may become thoroughly vitiated by bad surroundings.

Consciousness is thus exposed to the attacks of its intellectual and spiritual surroundings. ... the frontiers of the mind are entirely open. According to the nature of these attacks, it develops in a normal or defective manner.

Intelligence depends largely on education and environment. Also, on inner discipline, ... It has to be moulded by the habit of logical thinking, by that of mathematical language, and by a methodical study of humanities and sciences. ... The education of the intelligence is relatively easy. But the formation of the moral, aesthetic, and religious activities is very difficult. The influence of environment on these aspects of consciousness is much more subtle. ...

Civilization has not succeeded, so far, in creating an environment suitable to mental activities. The low intellectual and spiritual value of most human beings is due largely to deficiencies of their psychological atmosphere. The supremacy of matter and the dogmas of industrial religion have destroyed culture, beauty and morals, as they were understood by the Christian civilization, mother of modern science. The small social groups, possessing their own individuality and traditions, have also been broken up by the changes in their habits. The intellectual classes have been debased by the immense spread of newspapers, cheap literature, radios and cinemas. Un-intelligence is becoming more and more general, ... Strange to say it

often exists with advanced scientific knowledge. School children and students form their minds on the silly programs of public entertainments. Social environment, instead of favouring the growth of intelligence, opposes it with all its might. ... End Q. Emphasis Added.

If that is not revealing of the fact that what we are revealing was very well understood by those who use it against us now, then what is? Most importantly; the educated were, in those days, well aware that ‘humanity’ is a learning process and therefore open to manipulation. So we see that the basic knowledge on which our debasement has been organized and accomplished has been known for hundreds of years; he was speaking within the first half of the last century. How would he have felt today seeing that these trends were not only, not corrected, but deliberately used to decay the commonalty intellect?

It must shock readers today that nearing a hundred years ago he saw, and clearly understood, the human nature as now used to advance us to disaster.

This program of denial has certainly been in progress for over a century. Do we now see that by accepting mass media promoted opinion, rather than thinking things through or researching to find evidence for logical answers for ourselves, we submit ourselves to enslavement.

For Example

How long since you thought about the meaning of “democracy”? Put simply it means government of the people by the people. It also means freedom for mind and human development! For this special freedom people must truly govern themselves, only be self government will we not secretly set in place deceits to cause harm to ourselves. All gain access to truth and ability to develop the true self rather than live as genetically controlled animals, “*dictatorship of the proletariat*” cannot exist because we cannot, in our human nature, be slaves of ourselves.

Step 9:

As ye sow so shall ye reap

“Life lies behind us as the quarry from whence we get tiles and cornerstones for the masonry of today.
Emerson

For results of government by public deception look about you! We are gaining knowledge and ability to use knowledge yet; when apparently concerned people are asked why they do not explain or advocate true democracy we get zombie-like responses.

Why are so many not interested in making the easy transition to self-government? Why do we appear not to care that while under criminal government all are debased and in grave danger? Why do we love making useless complaints? A politician explains it: "Its good for them to let off steam". It is also good for the masters. It does them no harm; every protest is an acknowledgement of servitude.

We make silly excuses despite that simple reasoning would show that, until we, the people, select our own representatives, then we are unable to be sure of the truth of anything we are told; or trust in either education or law; or enforce any constitutional right.

A popular excuse is that if we elect independents they will soon form parties. This is a mind-manipulated response because:

A/ Democracy is NOT government by independents. An "Electorate Chosen" candidate can no more be independent of the electorate by whom he is chosen than can one party chosen! In true democracy the electorate itself is the 'party' of the representative, there is free-flow of situational information between representative and electorate.

B/ That a group of responsible people coming together to make a decision must come to a majority opinion, clearly does not make of these a 'political' party. They are not controlled by a party organization and have no obligation to agree on this or following decisions.

C/ It is surely not intelligent to prefer government by a criminal organization to government by members who are accepted cultural and intellectual members of the community. Silly opinions for rejecting community-controlled government are the result of unwillingness, or inability, to perform simple acts of reasoning. This will clarify as we progress. These common disabilities result from mind manipulation.

Where are we now?

Of course democracy cannot be installed overnight or before we educate ourselves to understanding it.

We are gaining understanding of our world but it is becoming less peaceful and honest, more violent and cruel? Is the love that leads to self-sacrifice dying? Is the love of self that leads to injustice, greed and hate growing? Are we losing ability to understand simple problems and becoming fixated on divisions? We are, and we have no honest excuse for it!

A Nutritional Crisis example:

Why no public protest about nutrients missing from foods or the internationally organized push to ban the cheap nutrients we need to replace those removed from, or becoming deficient in, our foods?

Why is nutritional understanding not part of modern medical training, why are safe nutrients being put beyond common reach by laws and political pressure from international business?

Why are so many pharmaceuticals declared tested and safe, found to have serious side effects? Why do we find 'medical services mistakes' listed as being the single highest cause of death after cancer or heart-failures? Why are all these trends worldwide if not organized?

Many people, without research effort or use of reasoning based on knowledge, reject as impossible any idea either of dictatorial World Government or that our elected governments betray us. Yet this campaign shows social manipulation for selfish gain is not only possible but, with time, simple. A program of gradual manipulation needs only moderate pressure. As Carl Sagan (astronomer) observed: once manipulation is in place very few ever escape. But now we have the key to how it is done, Globalism is vulnerable.

Regardless of deliberate mind control consider this: All teaching relies to some extent on a level of hypnosis. It means getting the maximum attention of the student directed to the teacher: memorizing requires maximum attention of the pupil fixed on the educator (or TV). But a famous inventor is reported to have said: *This problem is too difficult for professionals, we will have to wait for some gifted amateur to solve it.*

The fact that so many technical and social problems have been solved by the self-trained is not because amateurs are more intelligent but because they, as individuals, learn by their passion for understanding the truth of their subject. These are not satisfied to just 'parrot' or regurgitate words with blind faith, as do those who use memory only for passing exams.

We find it hard to admit our manipulation but, when we face the fact that everything of value in our lives is at stake, the need to elect our own government and the need to recognize our true Creator both become obvious. A book could be filled with information to show:

Those who rule the world want us dead!

The Globalist need for total power hinges on population control. Millions have already died for this and yes, our Creator tells us that we can know him *through the eye of reason by the things he has made.* That is a universal! Any intelligence – any reasoning being, can know any other by its works – its honesty;

its deviousness; its intelligence; its cupidity. As ye Sow so shall ye reap! Look & see.

Later literature enlarges and confirms that now outlined. This work represents a lifetime of research backed by many quotes from the insight, logic and research of others. Even many who work, or have worked, for our enemies! They have no fear of exposure because they control the means of mass communication and the belief of the commonalty.

The total evidence is overwhelming. Reasoning ability is our key to all knowledge so it is also logical that those who want to rob us will want first to rob us of our logical ability. Your life is there for you to save if you believe your life worth the effort of thinking for yourself.

Part 2. “Background Briefing” Enlargement on introductory statements.

Chapter 1: Philosophy/Ideology/Religion

The Humanist arrogance

Philosophy, Ideology, Religion, three words of meaning so alike they may be considered the same, “forms of beliefs”; these concepts, exclusive to humans, are important to our life attitudes. Common animals do not have access to such concepts.

It is fortunate perhaps, that there have been many philosophies, religions and ideologies and in the normal way of life we take on a mixture. We, in part, adapt our “nurture” (the way we are raised) to our “human” natures so that we can, to some important degree, find our human nature.

However, people of excessive greed and arrogance are ‘animalist’ orientated and when in power promote “animal” nature and introduce philosophies in their own limitations. As a result our nurture turns more in support of our animal bodies and human intelligence becomes increasingly directed to serve animalist behavior.

Atrocious! Worse! Leaders have learned that the quickest way to subdue and reshape community is to destroy its moral base by infusing a philosophy of pretend morality based of animal excitements. That is the crime of authoritarian Humanist arrogance.

The master planners know that human nature is developed by experience and, if deprived of humanizing experience or deceived about it, then humanity can be deformed, retarded and enslaved. To

take advantage of this they created a philosophy called “humanism” to convince the indoctrinated that mankind can be beneficially remade to satisfy animalist behavior. But the human thus created is artificial, stagnant and deprived of human potential because of the deformed relationship between intellect and emotion.

The suffering now expanding around the world is the result of these delusions deforming the behavior of those who have them and these, then creating misery for those who do not want them.

Everything from sex to sanity; from health to humanity; from politics to pastimes, has been redefined and deformed to fit the “politically correct” plans of people who think of themselves as Gods but are too egocentric to see that they are not.

They have now redesigned, by deformity, the behavior of millions of young people more lovely than the cuddliest of animals but, by reducing any part of their behavior options to genetic responses, these young people are robbed of their potential for developing in the full glory of human life.

As we have seen from Part 1, the information on which this sabotage is based was well know over 100 years ago. As shown by quotes by people both within and outside humanist hierarchy – from people such as British aristocrat Bertrand Russell: University Historian Carroll Quigley USA (1966): & Alexis Carrel, (1873-1944) French.

However the fact that humans behave according to what they believe has been taken advantage of, in simple ways, from time immemorial. Now:

The God sect, Humanism:

The humanist sect is totally arrogant in its god complex. Blinded by deceit, they claim entitlement to remake humanity (the only life-form created for self development) into a common behavior-programmed animal.

In this cause they develop a fetish for word manipulation. They relate their language to that of their victims to take words in common use and deform common meanings. They teach the young, that authoritarianism is bad – a degrading Christian failing, and demean Christians as “judgmental”.

Authoritarianism and judgmental are two interesting words because, in use, they become self-damning. When someone is said to be ‘judgmental’ or ‘authoritarian’ those speaking are themselves clearly

making a judgment and projecting their own authority on it; but they are too arrogant to see this.

Humanist ideology also claims there is no social truth but, at the same time, also claims what they say is true: i.e. that creation is/was a chance event. They cannot see that their self-contradictions are self-contradictions; but if you believe there is no truth, then to present anything of consequence to culture as truth is a self-contradiction.

It seems they neither have the moral strength to face reality nor the intellect to understand it. They have made of themselves zombies mind-bound to live in their own dogma.

On the other hand Christians say they believe in truth, but may not see that this belief also becomes contradictory when related “selectively” to dogma of the Church they favor. Many are now so bound to humanist ideology that they do not see that if their Creator represents the truth of the creation then, if they do not seek to live by the rational truth they are in denial of their Creator.

They do not take their Guide literally! They do not see that truth is universal to life eternal – that life grows in search of truth and truth cannot be turned into dogma and remain alive. As Jesus told us: *Our God is God of the living not of the dead. I am the way; I am truth, I am life!*

A statement on behalf of truth honestly sought is not a statement of desire but of honesty! So the authority for it is not of a planned arrogant desire to reshape mankind but represents a view of creation's reality – a statement of honest attempt to understand scientific cause-and-effect truth.

So there is a big gap between arrogance and honesty! Those who present human nature independent of personal preference are not being judgmental or pushing personal authority. However, those of Humanist religion, unable to claim the backing of a truth whose existence they deny, must base every cultural statement or claim on their own desire, authority or judgment; they can never be other than authoritarian or judgmental.

Encouragement to self-deceit is the crime of the “people shapers”, the self-made gods of arrogance.

They would, as Jesus said, be better to have a stone tied to their necks and tossed into the ocean. They gradually reduced development of general human potential and it is vital we understand that this abortion of human potential is of deliberate design.

Let us now look more closely at some of their work.

A Core Issue.

Globalists know there is a Creator but the religion they teach those they call “useful idiot” followers – those given positions of authority to pass on to the commonalty – the religion of ‘Humanism’, are quislings; and the religion of the N.W.O. is plausible but imperfect for *“the Profane”*.

The God created for the commonalty by Globalists only lives in their ideology. Being without moral courage or principles Globalists must infuse this religion and its politics into the minds of the innocent and defenseless. They deny the existence of human intellect or spirit but they do not explain why they fear, and see need to destroy, the common possession of what they claim does not exist.

Two Books:

Now quotes from books by students of “Social Order”. These could be called insiders, but not insiders to Globalist New World Order scheming, these are people who have worked within the system as conventionally educated participants and see development of social deformity from within conventional intellectual positions.

One of these witnesses saw the looming catastrophe of educating children to a contrived ideology (an ideology to reinforce our animal nature over our human spiritual nature), the other saw the catastrophe of increasingly undemocratic democracy – a democracy ‘dedicated’ to a ‘top dog’ ideology.

Between them they reveal enslavement of the human mind being achieved by “people shapers” and consolidated by law. Neither, I expect, saw the two impositions together as part of the same inhuman scheme it now so obviously is, but both saw a plan of deceit.

“The Child Seducers” by John Steinbacher was printed in 1971. *“The Dilemma of Democracy”* by Lord Hailsham, in 1978. Although these spoke to appreciative audiences (people then less brainwashed) the mind manipulators, backed by control of publicity and the huge force of the world financial system, won the day by simply crushing the opposition under weight of scientifically designed propaganda and use of their own ‘accredited’ authorities.

This also applied to other attempted exposure of moral subversion such as the Dr. Wertham book *“Seduction of the Innocent”*: which exposed comic books as conveying sick deformities into the child

mind. The thought processes of children turned to false nature, is being reinforced by use of modern technology and understanding of human behavior.

Chapter 2:

The Child Seducers by John Steinbacher

Quotes:

"Even though the idea that any EXPERT in conditioning techniques may set out deliberately to shape behavior to conform to some individually pre-conceived particular pattern is repugnant to all thinking Americans, this in no manner dissuades the zealots dedicated to the cause of reshaping human behavior.

Even though operant conditioning carries such accurately derogatory labels as 'mind-bending' and 'brain washing' you will find this technique being implemented with great speed in many of the schools of our land. Operant conditioning is such a highly controversial psychological technique that its ethical, and other ramifications, of scientifically controlling human behavior, has long been debated.

Under normal circumstances of day-to-day living, each individual is relatively free to select that behavior which is meaningful to him as an individual. Under operant conditioning, individual behavior patterns can be drastically altered, for here behavior patterns are selected FOR the individual BY a trainer, or an experimentalist, or eventually by the State.

This is a frightening form of human intervention, and manipulation, being promoted in our government controlled schools.

The rationale under which sex education has been introduced into the schools may be found in the following statements. According to Sigmund Freud (Social Medicine and Hygiene, 1907) "I should prefer that parents NOT concern themselves at all with any explanations regarding matters of sexuality. Above all, schools should not evade the task of mentioning sexual matters.

Schools should present lessons about the animal kingdom, which should include the great facts of reproduction. These facts should be given their due significance and emphasis should be laid on the fact that man shares with the higher animals EVERYTHING essential to his own organization." And "In those countries which leave the education of children either wholly or in part in the hands of religions the above method urged, would of course,

NOT be practicable. For NO religion will ever admit the IDENTITY in NATURE of MAN and BEAST, since to the religious person the IMMORTALITY of the soul is an absolute foundation for MORAL training, which they cannot forego."

[Note: Anyone of free human mental ability will see that man does NOT share "everything essential to his own organization" with animals. It is also evident that Humanism is itself a faith-based religion.]

Freud cites as the authority for these views the writings of the self-avowed atheistic Dutch dramatist 'Multatuli' who separated from his family, led a Bohemian life in Amsterdam, Brussels and elsewhere, finally settling in Germany. Multatuli particularly opposed the influence of church, and religion, on individual freedom of thought.

... The simple fact is family life-sex education courses are vehicles of this philosophy, for they expound absolute permissiveness, designed to encourage atavistic behavior; the films invigorate the labile fantasies of even the dullest, not-yet-self-controlled child, and no child, so titillated, can learn the basics of education which properly should be the only material presented in a classroom setting. This can only be defined as an absolute usurpation of the child's right to be properly educated. [i.e., the child's right to be human.]

Sensitivity training, a technique employing 'psychological uppers and downers,' is a slough of Esalen, and National Training Laboratories (NTL) of the National Education Association (NEA). Initially, sensitivity training was eulogized as a promoter of "good interpersonal relations" and group cohesiveness. However, trainers insist that each individual must submerge his own integrity to that of the group, leaving the participant subject to the machinations of the trainer and/or the group.

In recent years, countless numbers of individuals have reported that depravity has become the epoxy of sensitivity training. And now word comes in from widely differing parts of the country that participation in sensitivity is be-coming increasingly demanded of school administrators and of those who teach family life-sex education courses, those who are involved in physical education, the various branches of the social studies programs, home economics, etc.

It is as Dr. Charlotte Crabtree recently enthused to those attending a Social Studies Symposium in Portland, Oregon, 'You are pioneers; you are right out on the frontier when you teach this very dangerous material. To date, NO analyses of our

programs are possible . . . analyses are still very primitive but we HOPE for some changes when Taxonomy is committed to PPBS (Planning Programming, Budgeting System data processing procedures)."

From variously designed sensitivity training sessions, most resembling nothing more than a witches' Sabbath, 'newly trained trainers' are spewed forth to spread their heresies, and to effect various forms of behavioral and attitudinal changes throughout the land and especially throughout the whole educational system of America, for in the words of Lester Kirkendall, "We have too many youth indoctrinated with the parochial attitudes of their families." (Basic Issues in Sex Education, California School Health, Vol. 3, No. 1, January, 1967).

And from NEA's Issues in Training comes this word, "Training, even though it has NOT reached professional status, and ill-defined as it is, has had good acceptance in many organizations. (Note: Notably in the field of education.)"

"There is NO clear-cut set of standards for trainers" (therefore no ethical absolutes) . . . "professionally, knowledge in the fields of sociology, psychology, social work, educational psychology, psychiatry, personnel or administration, whether gained from an academic situation OR whether self-taught, is considered to be helpful," and " 'Getting to know you, Getting to feel, free and easy' is more than just a line from a popular song. It is BASIC to success in interpersonal relations."

[As we see, seduction of young minds is so easy as to need no professional training or scientific understanding. This assault on innocent minds has now been operating, world wide, at different levels, for a few generations, so many parents are blind (dumbed down) to it.]

Through the mediums of education in sexuality, of sensitivity training, and of operant conditioning, trainers have learned that it is all too easy to manipulate a child by denigrating parents. By extolling amorality, they have also learned how to preclude the formation of individual conscience and individual integrity in a child, and how to effectively alienate a child from his parents through the changing of moral norms.

When sufficient downgrading of parental values has occurred a child will not identify with the appropriate, or like-sex parent. An ongoing study of child-parent identification has revealed fairly serious to severe maladjustment in 92% of those children not

making proper identification with his or her parent(s). This is a frightening statistic.

Professor George Odiorne of the University of Michigan summed up the whole problem when he stated "Many trainers are 'amateur head shrinkers' that lack the proper psychological training, psychiatric damage may result" End Quotes.

This is from the "Foreword" by M. Royer, M.S. In the book proper (P93-7) we find side-by-side comparison between the 1939 National Socialist (NAZI) Sex Education Experiment, and the 1969 Anaheim High School District (AUHSD) Sex Education Experiment. They are the same, detail difference probably occurred in translation.

Sensitivity training is better described as "*sensitivity deprivation training*". It is designed to de-sensitize children so as to allow comfort for primitive responses. As we see from the responses of parents and activists, it is very successful for, were they not already too 'dumbed down' to ignore the enormity of what is being done to their children they would fight to the death to defend them against it.

A 'niggle' with the above is use of the word EXPERIMENT. De-humanizing appears to be the same 'experiment' used in Communist USSR to destroy and replace the existing culture. By 'text book' it may be experimental but, in practical terms, it is as scientific as time and tide. It is basic logic and tested on whole cultures. It is reliable enough for use world-wide without need of further research and basic enough to need no formal training.

As already seen, humanity is learned! Deceit is imposed! De-humanizing needs no professionals' only denial of access to human development. Any parrot will do; in fact will do it better, the parrot brain has no human capacity for moral care or question of what it is doing.

An important reminder; in a culture where violent deletion of dissidents is impractical it does take a few generations for complete indoctrination. We have already had a few generations; has it been enough to prevent reversal or rebellion?

It seems that those behind this program believe the great majority are beyond awakening.

Justice to John Steinbacher's book would need a very long review and is impractical here, hence my quoting from the foreword. If you want more than is here to awaken your human concerns then you may need to search second-hand bookshops.

I am still surprised that it was so easy to trick parents into allowing such abuse of their children.

Of course it could not have been done without control of the political system and mass media and, given that, our culture. That would not have been possible without historical time, arrogance, and access to virtually unlimited finance through control of the financial system.

Now quotes from Alexander Solzhenitsyn (USSR) & W. Bowen (USA)

Quote: *“To do evil a human must first of all believe that what he's doing is good... Ideology - that is what gives evildoing its long-sought justification and gives the evildoer the necessary steadfastness and determination. That is the social theory which helps to make his acts seem good instead of bad in his own and others' eyes, so that he won't hear reproaches and curses but will receive praise and honours.* Solzhenitsyn.

William Bowen. 1984. Quote: *“Globalists wield almost limitless power because of their wealth and position. They are convinced [and convince us] that what they are doing is for the eventual salvation of mankind and the planet earth. The greatest strength they possess is that the general public ... does not even know they exist.”*

Do Globalists think that what they are doing is for the eventual salvation of mankind? Only if you accept that Globalists are mankind! Those chosen to teach the deceit are their so called, “useful idiots”; those who believe it are those deceived. The Humanist methodology is to sabotage human development by creating an artificial belief system and promoting confidence in an arrogant class of people.

Chapter 3: The Dilemma of Democracy by Lord Hailsham

Two kinds of Democracy (1978):

Quotes: *“The genesis of this book lay in a desire to rethink my own political philosophy ... I no longer believed that a simple defence of my own party or its philosophy matched the needs of our time.*

...

As I wrote, it gradually became clear to me what was in my mind. Our troubles derive from the fact that we are halting between two inconsistent opinions about the nature of democracy, indeed about the nature and function of government, and between the two we are unable to make up our minds. Both opinions claim to be democratic. Both assert they are libertarian. Both

claim to rest upon the interest of the people. Yet each is wholly inconsistent with the other.

The politics of the next twenty-five years may well depend upon the encounter between the two, and more will depend on the outcome than the future of the British Islands. The two theories are the theory of centralised democracy, known to me as elective dictatorship and the theory of limited government, in my language the doctrine of freedom under law. Between the two theories there can ultimately be no compromise.

Both may depend upon universal adult suffrage. But the one will assert the right of a bare majority in a single chamber assembly, possibly elected on a first past the post basis, to assert its will over a whole people whatever that will may be.

It will end in a rigid economic plan, and, I believe, in a siege economy, a curbed and subservient judiciary, and a regulated press. It will impose uniformity on the whole nation in the interest of what it claims to be social justice. It will insist on equality. It will distrust all forms of eccentricity and distinction. It will crush local autonomy. It will dictate the structure, form, and content of education. It may tolerate, but will certainly do its best either to corrupt or destroy, [theist] religion. It will depend greatly on caucuses or cadres to exert its will. Some will be directly appointed by patronage as in the increasing number of 'Quangos'. Others will be elected by a tiny minority of dedicated activists and apparatchiks relying on the apathy of the rest as a passport to office. [Emphasis added]

This is already happening in some unions and local authorities. It will worship material values, but not succeed in producing material plenty. When its policies fail, it will rely strongly on class divisiveness or scapegoats to distract attention from its failures.

[Hailsham did well in sorting out the confusions of his times and today we see that elective dictatorship (communism) is the true nature of the democracy that developed under pressure of a dumbed down culture and Hegelian Dialectic manipulation.]

Among the white races the present apostles of this type of democracy are mainly of the left.

In Eastern Europe and in parts of Africa and Asia they have already succeeded in producing 'people's' democracies. In this country they still represent a small minority,(1978) though they include Privy Councillors amongst their number. My criticism of them may be resented ...

To such critics I will reply that their complacency is misplaced. Centralised democracy is not the prerogative of Socialism or of left-wing extremists. The reappearance of Fascism under the banner of the National Front should sound a warning, and, if history is any guide, such movements will not be sent away simply by abuse or attempts at suppression.

The best modern exposition of centralised democracy so far may be 'The British Road to Socialism' issued by the Communist Party of Great Britain. But both Hitler and Mussolini and the various Quislings in Europe were apostles of the same creed. So was Sir Oswald Mosley in Britain. All were spawned from the loins of nineteenth century Liberalism, and although the progeny was manifestly illegitimate, it was none the less authentic.

All these creeds are the natural offspring of two related humanist philosophies, utilitarianism and legal positivism. The belief of the first is that the common good is the only criterion of political action, and that talk of human rights and natural law or justice to borrow Bentham's luminous phrase, is no more than 'nonsense on stilts'. The exponents of centralised democracy on the left should pause to reflect that the mirror image of their political methods and ideals is presented by the National Front, and their main constitutional dogmas are supported ever more explicitly as time goes on by Mr Enoch Powell.

Above all, I find it strange that they do not consider the example of South Africa. Apartheid was illegal under the entrenched clauses of the old South African constitution. One nationalist measure after another depriving the coloured community of its rights was struck down by the Supreme Court.

Unhappily, there was one gap. The Senate was first packed and thereafter the Supreme Court was by-passed. The doctrine of centralised power had secured another triumph. If they reflect upon these sinister examples from the right, left-wingers will, I hope, consider my presentation of the alternative as something better than Tory special pleading.

[Centralized power became a secret transition of capitalism into super communism without change of name and that is what stands today: 2008.]

But what is the alternative? I do not present it as a new thing invented by myself, but it may be that it is novel in this context. It is the old doctrine inherent from the very first, that is, from the time of Bracton onwards, in English Law, that those in a position of political authority may not rule absolutely, that, being human, even kings may not place themselves above

the law, and may not make laws which affront the instructed conscience of the commonalty.

This is the theory of limited government. Hitherto I have called it the doctrine of freedom under law, and, in some ways, and in other contexts, it may be the better name. But it is, I believe, the traditional doctrine of Western Christendom, indeed also of pre-Christian Western philosophers, running like a golden thread through the thinking of European writers from Plato onwards.

It reached its peak I believe, in England in the time of Burke before Bentham's Utilitarianism, the belief in the greatest happiness of the greatest number, married the legal positivism of his friend Austin, whose creed was that the command of the ruler was all that there was to be said to define the now law. From this union sprang all the various political ideologies which have sought to find intellectual justification in the unlimited authority of the state combined with a benevolent intention described as the common good.

[As intellectual ability declined corruption became a one-way street.]

The reason for the growth of centralised democracy is largely historical. For at least three centuries the question of politics revolved around the relationship between privileged rulers and the unrepresented ruled. During this period Parliament came to be regarded as the guardian of liberty, and the executive as the representative of lawful authority. But the conflict came to an end with the total victory of Parliament at the time of the Act of Settlement. Thenceforward Parliament controlled the executive by way of Cabinet government.

But it did not take long for men to notice that the victorious Parliament was itself unrepresentative based as it was on an uneven franchise, unequal constituencies corrupt membership.

So began the struggle for a reform of the franchise, attempted during the eighteenth century, interrupted by the French revolutionary wars, achieved to a limited extent by the Reform Bill but pursued thereafter and completed only in my lifetime by the grant of the so-called 'flapper vote' in 1925.

If only Parliament could be made to represent the whole adult population, it was argued, abuses would be remedied, injustices expunged, inequalities abolished. So, at each stage, a proposed extension of the franchise moved hand in hand with the demand for progress.

[Can we now see that electoral franchise does not and cannot, create democracy while political parties control selection?]

Since 1925 the demand has been to put into practice the benefits already available in theory. The emphasis has been on welfare and social reform, and, despite recession and world war, much has been achieved, so much indeed that it seemed at first that nothing was amiss. But gradually people have come to realise that all is not well. Our society is not stable. Whole geographical units show signs of wishing to opt out.

Organised minorities clamour to be heard, and, what they cannot win by the ballot box, seek to extract by violence or by depriving the population of their rightful needs. In a world of universal franchise, loyalty is at a discount. Self-discipline is a dirty word. Law and order have become objects of ridicule. Every restraint must be removed, and the anarchic total described as liberation, permissiveness, or even humanism. Worst of all, society is divided as never before.

It is only now that men and women are beginning to realise that representative institutions are not necessarily guardians of freedom, but can themselves become engines of tyranny. They can be manipulated by minorities, taken over by extremists, motivated by the self-interest of organised millions. We need to be protected from our representatives no less than from our former masters.

...

[The refining of elected dictatorship has been going on for centuries. We should be aware that no law or constitution can protect us if we elect representatives chosen for us by special interests. The first step to true democratic freedom is the termination of the party political system. The 2nd. Is that electorates choose and elect their own representative. However it seems that the "dumbed down" commonalty will require an extraordinary kind of awakening.]

"The alternative is the theory of limited government, familiar enough when men could recognise the distinction between government and governed because they were in different hands, but long obscured by anonymous majorities and rising standards of life.

The theory of limited government offers precisely what the dominant theory denies. In place of uniformity it offers diversity. In place of equality it offers justice. In place of the common good, it protects the rights of minorities and the individual. As an alternative to regulation it propounds the rule

of law. It does not seek to overthrow governments or institutions, or abolish universal franchise or popular rule. But it prescribes limits beyond which governments and Parliaments must not go, and it suggests means by which they can be compelled to observe those limits.

In place of concentrating, it diffuses power. It confers rights of self-government on previously ignored communities. It offers protection against the oppressiveness of unions and corporations.

Above all it corresponds with the general conscience of mankind. While the dominant theory propounds that a bare majority may pass what laws it wills, the doctrine of limited government asserts that individuals and minorities have rights against constituted authority, even when this is elected by universal franchise. Agamemnon was wrong to sacrifice Iphigenia to give a fair wind to the fleet. He would not have done right had he been authorised to do so by popular acclamation, as no doubt he was.

Caiaphas spoke falsehood when he asserted it was expedient that one man should die for the people. He would not have spoken truth even had he a unanimous vote of the Sanhedrin, or the full approval of the majority, as perhaps he did when the crowd yelled for the release of the Barabbas and the crucifixion of the innocent Christ." End Quotes. Emphasis added.

The safety of limited government can only come when people accept responsibility for their own representatives. Not a big step for any; a fearful step for many, and logic offers no alternative.

We should know all these things but we need reminders. As it has been said: *"Those who fail to learn the lessons of history are bound to repeat them."* We have our chances but lessons have to be re-learned. Hailsham, did not acknowledge, probably did not see, that centralized power would quickly become manipulated dictatorship even were it not, from the start, so programmed.

It seems that most people in the English-speaking world still (if vaguely) have the idea that our democracy is that of "freedom under law", but readers now must surely know that is just delusion.

Globalism will never admit its trickery nor capitalism its dictatorship, but, as we are seeing, something is very wrong with their eyes.

In particular, since the second 'gulf war' the 'great' democracies have introduced legislation, to give them powers as bad as that of any despised dictatorship.

This will, without fanfare, be used as it suits and we can never know if what governments say is true! Left to itself the outcome must result in a drastic reduction of world populations to conserve the earth for elitist benefit? Government is our business!

Chapter 4:

DIG: The truth is available. Buried truth will not raise itself, to be worthy of it we must work for it

Why do leaders, who claim to be truly concerned for public rights and welfare, never reveal our rights and our way to true democracy?

If they hide that, what else do they hide? And WHY? And to what lengths will they go?

So many questions kept unpublicized and unanswered! Democracy cannot be achieved until we, the people, are prepared to lovingly care for it.

The way to freedom is still an open road, but the following chilly comment by Professor John McMurtry shows, I think, just how little of it remains.

The McMurtry article is copyright. Permission to reproduce is granted if accompanied by:
Copyright (c) 2000 COMER Publications.

The quotes are from "A Manifesto of Corporate Totalitarianism" by John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy at the University of Guelph, ON., CA. [Canada]. He is here commenting on British PM Tony Blair speaking about Corporate Globalization.

First words of Blair; quote:

"These forces of change driving the future do not stop at national boundaries. Don't respect tradition. They wait for no one and no nation. They are universal."
End Blair quote.

John McMurtry comment; quote:

Blair's statement reveals by its sweep of assertion the invasive forces of which he is a spokesman. His words are very clear. Their structure deletes the subject of every sentence as nameless, inhuman, without definition, accountable to nothing.

They affirm with no moral qualifier an occupation of societies everywhere by "Forces " which will stop at no borders of national or cultural identity. All that was once secure in historical time and place is declared powerless against the transnational tide. ...

One might think that Blair's statement is a rhetorical conceit. But is it, in truth, the missing key to every act

of his office? What act in his record is inconsistent with any part of it? When has Blair, or Chretien or Clinton, ever not acted in conformity to this belief in inevitable corporate globalization – "waiting for no one and no nation," trampling all who do not jump into line with its omnipotent advance. "

"They are not, in fact, national leaders, but obedient expressions of these forces of corporate globalization.

Once we understand that, we see into the directive logic of current historical events ... We can identify the defining features of this new order's authority by diagnosis of Blair's own words.

"(1) The forces of corporate global restructuring are without meaning or value in their direction. For they are external "forces" not what we care about intrinsically, and they "drive the future" as the forces of ... gravity move the tides. They are to be submitted to, not because they confer meaning or give us a moral direction, but because they are all-powerful and we cannot resist them.

"(2) The forces of corporate global restructuring are lawless. They "don't stop at national boundaries," as all lawful agents are obliged to do. The first principle of domestic and international law, to respect the boundaries of others, is overridden by these forces as the destiny of the world's future.

"(3) The forces of corporate global restructuring are unaccountable. There is no electorate or standard of behaviour or anything else that they answer to or have to answer to for their domination because "they wait for no-one and no nation" and "drive the future."

"(4) The forces of corporate global restructuring are nihilist. For they "respect no tradition" ethical, Legal, or cultural- and there is no exception. ... "As we unpack the inner meaning of Blair's representative statement, we need to ask what political leader has not acted in conformity to the demands of these transnational corporate forces?"

What "democratic" political or legal leadership yet seeks to make accountable, or hedge in by enforced law, or raise any other power above, or in any way morally question the transnational corporate agenda which these forces express?

"The sad fact is that our leaders demand that we accept what they themselves describe as lawless and unaccountable..." E Q.

We, who follow in some depth the political scene, surely know that the above is true. This cultural

poison is imposed worldwide. The United Nations has, for years pressed for: downgrading borders; confusing what is ethical, legal and cultural; mixing and confusing race to no rational or demonstrated humane purpose; destroying tradition and human discipline; instilling unnatural and unhealthy standards of behavior; allowing confidence trickery as financial practice; promoting belief that some crimes have no victims and misrepresenting what democracy means.

All this in the interests of shattering humanity, creating confusion and installing division; this in turn clearing the way for the "lawless and unaccountable" corporate take over we now call Globalisation.

'Politically correct' enslavement of humanity is promoted under the cloak of 'humanism'.

Among leaders of state, church, law, education, entertainment or mass media who in 'democratic' leadership, has raised a persuasive voice in response to community complaint of political corruption? Or made any serious effort to resist or explain the globalist invasion?

How few have raised a finger; or lent an ear? Tried to expose the lack of substance in ideology – fought against law that protects corporate crime – explained the democracy sham, or money scam, or warned of the corruption of community morals by trickery?

Let us remember again how prophetic was Professor Hayek *"The Road to Serfdom"* 1944; quote: ... *many who think themselves infinitely superior to the aberrations of Nazism and sincerely hate all its manifestations, work at the same time for ideals whose realization would lead straight to the abhorred tyranny.*" EQ.

We are SO close to the unthinkable; a despotic, arrogant, all-powerful world government that obeys no law and governs by its own decree. But is there a sign the Globalists are worried? Why do they come, even a little, into the open to have us told that globalism is irresistible and inevitable; that it cannot and should not be fought?

No social movement, especially one without human morals, is either irresistible or inevitable; every caring human has a moral choice to oppose this insanity.

The basic principles we are now being forced to live by are totally false – dictatorial World Government is human suicide! This IS a life/death WAR; will we surrender humanity to death of the mind as prelude to totality?

Others come out!

Paul Hellyer, former Deputy Prime Minister of Canada; quote: *"...globalisation is just a code word for corporatisation and colonisation.*

The transnationals want to re-engineer the world in such a way that they don't have to pay taxes to support social security and fix pot holes in the roads or maintain parks, and don't have to pay their employees decent wages. ...

They're moving production to places, such as Honduras, where they pay women absolute starvation wages, working 13 hours a day, up to seven days a week – no environmental standards, no health care. If they get pregnant they get fired. ...

Well, the process has reached the point where Lewis Lapham, editor Harpers Magazine, says the U.S. has two governments: the permanent and the provisional.

The permanent government consists of the Fortune 500 magazine's largest companies, also the largest law firms and public relations firms in Washington that work for those companies, and the top bureaucrats, both civil and military and they're the permanent government."

Then there is what they call an election every once in a while and they elect the provisional government and they elect actors that come on stage and read the script written by the permanent government." E.Q. Honorable Paul Hellyer at the "Save Canada Conference" held in Ottawa August 20 and 21, 1999.

"Sound familiar? Remember Quigley? He said, 1966, that in the US every four years the people – meaning the rabble, the hoi polloi, could vent their spleen by 'kicking the rascals out' without really having any effect on policy direction.

[All parties serve the same masters.]

Sadly, modern reformers like Paul Hellyer give explanations that show they have not investigated as thoroughly as they could. Nevertheless they should be congratulated for going as far as they have. "Are people waking up" or has our will for freedom already drained away?

The evidence of mind manipulation is enormous, but meaningful only to those who accept that truth is essential for their own beneficial decision-making.

These are people who have the courage and self-discipline to suppress pride, arrogance, selfishness and/or prejudice to achieve a predominately unbiased

viewpoint from which to defend and benefit their own decisions. Awakening can do a lot to save us.

Others will never accept learning; example the Crucifixion: Pilate found no case against Jesus but the crowd shouted – crucify. To better understand why a large number of people would want to crucify those who try to help them, we refer to a philosopher from ancient Greece.

Plato explained that if people are bound so as to see only shadows on a wall they will, in time, come to believe that the shadows are the reality. If then, you tell them that the shadows are only shadows, they will laugh at you; should you persist to force them to see the truth they will turn on you and try to kill you.

Pride still rules and we now live in a world of TV shadows generated in a box.

People have been seduced to excessive pride and deceived about the need for truth: we are mentally bound to belief in shadows. We see ourselves as the beliefs we live by; this is personal – to accept correction means accepting that our lives were based on delusions; we instinctively feel insulted.

Will we gamble stagnant dogma against human truth and progress? One last quote; source unknown, "Those whom the gods would destroy they first make mad". Let us not surrender too soon; let us look, to the source of our madness, before we leap.

Chapter 5: A tale of Two Cities:

**When knowledge is power ignorance is deadly.
Should we not ask ourselves: Why are the rich getting richer and the poor poorer?**

The stock-market behaves erratically and pundits give advice: "If you haven't sold don't worry, so long as you are in for the long haul good stocks will always come good. There has never been a ten year period when prices have not advanced; now is the time to look for buying opportunities."

Parrots sitting in a 1,000 year old tree might give each other similar advice but, when rot is in the heartwood; or when an axe-man stands at the base and says, "I can get a big home out of this one" then all past history of the tree becomes irrelevant.

Stock-market waves come and go irrespective of tides, but the usurious commercial system is rotten to the core. It seems likely an axe-man is saying the tree of usurious commerce stands in the path of human

progress. Intelligence says that this huge tree will fall. It may take time but it will fall hard. This is not a time for nesting in the branches.

We are advised to take note of both old and new knowledge. Old knowledge shows us that things not only follow patterns of change but that patterns also change. We need old history to know ourselves; we need new history to see that advancing achievement requires the courage to change lives and attitudes.

A problem of community is that we get stuck in a groove of pride and prejudice, we fear to admit of need to change. To avoid this leap of faith we sell our souls.

Globalists own the world financial system; by this power they ignore frontiers and national governments.

They plan to own and build a *New World to their design*. The financial power of this group is based on a system of corruption that is, in the Christian Bible, called usury. Usury is most commonly understood in relation to money but money represents labour; usury can be as simple as one person exploiting another to his own advantage.

How does the usurious financial system operate? It operates similar to a gambling casino. A Gambling Casino is set up to take advantage of gamblers.

A properly run casino does not itself gamble it makes its profit from the gambling of others by taking a percentage of the money gambled – each time it is gambled. The world financial 'city' is a system set up to take advantage of players in the game of life.

Neither the 'city of finance' nor the 'Casino' create value. The production of others is stolen by legalized (fraudulent) services.

The Casino:

Suppose a thousand gamblers with a \$million dollars each are locked in a Casino to gamble until one has all the money. The money goes back and forth! In time half will have lost their money and been tossed out.

Do you think that at this time the half remaining have an average of two \$million each? Of course not, the Casino, taking its percentage on each play will already have millions in profit.

The Casino owners observe the scene; the game might possibly be over too soon so they take some unlucky gamblers aside and offer loans, say at x%: losing gamblers jump at the chance. The game is now very pleasing to the casino owners! The owners are not

actually risking any money; loans may appear as if deductions from profits but, as we will see, they risk nothing.

So the game goes on, some losers are removed, others are loaned more money to keep them in the game; eventually only one is left. This 'lucky' player has several million dollars in chips, but wait, how much does he owe the Casino. Even the winner could leave in debt.

Can things be arranged so cleverly that all loans will be repaid in full? No, but that doesn't matter. Some gamblers may have been thrown out owing big debts to the casino. Who cares! The casino finishes with all, or almost all, the money brought into the game! Those ejected with debt leave with only debt; if the casino can squeeze repayment out of these it is not to recover losses, it is added profit. All that was given was gambling credit!

The suckers were used to keep the game alive while the casino collected the real money. The casino gained all, or most, of 1,000 \$million dollars from the world and for that produced, in return, only misery. That is what usury means!

So there it is: the tale of two cities working as one: the city of finance and the city of the gambling Casino.

Like our imaginary Casino, Globalists now possess most of what is of value in our world; they sell us credit to manipulate the game. Do they still need us? The time when our wealthy nations become poor nations may be closer than we think. What nation is not in debt? What nation has escaped the mind manipulators?

It is now close to one hundred years since the international bankers showed their claws to bring Australian and the US governments into line. That story is in Book 2: and earlier editions back to the original "BRAINWASH" we follow-up the money trail again in Book 4. All five books develop this problem of Human development to demonstrate finally the origin of the persistent ruthless power of nature represented by the wealthy-arrogant – and the need of a human generosity that appears as a pathetic weakness or treacherous servility. The eternal glory of life and the truth that sustains it.

Do not imagine that those owning the world financial system do not have the money/power to wreck the world economy by causing the collapse of the Stock Market system and, if needed, the banking system. They have proved their power. Do not imagine it will hurt them. Only they know when! Some very rich

people will go down but these are just pawns in the game. The collapse will mean that Globalism has foreclosed on the globe.

We can't sit back and leave it to God to fix. We have to prove our worth! We turned a blind eye to truth and justice; do we still have the strength and ability to clean up our mess? Jesus said, "If you find something of great value in a field you should sell all you have to buy that field".

We do not have to live by imposed values or gamble life away in the Globalist casino! Freedom is our birthright! We can govern ourselves! The fears and torments are only in our minds! Truth is the thing of great value in our field of life! Globalists cannot own it! Let them and their 'Great Whore' commerce meet justice! Life cannot progress in partnership with its own corruption!

Do some think the casino simile simplistic? When you see financial press comment about huge debts to the world banking system by 'rich' or 'third-world' countries; you may see more clearly. Un-repayable loans are not made because bankers are too stupid to realize that they will never be repaid, they are made to keep players in the game until Globalism achieves its ends. They aim then to close the casino to claim ownership of the world.

Read Revelation 17: 1-18. Also 18: 1-24 see the "Great Whore" intimately tied to trade and commerce. The Great Whore represents the usurious financial system: the 'city' of finance; she dates from Babylon. The ocean below her is the ocean of peoples. The seven hills are therefore seven nations of leading influence ruled by seven kings/leaders.

Don't be put off by narrow religious dogma.

Chapter 6: What have we come to?

"Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind. And when the drums of war have reached a fever pitch and the blood boils with hate and the mind has closed, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up all of their rights unto the leader and gladly so.

How do I know?

*For this is what I have done. And I am Caesar".
Julius Caesar*

The above is interesting but says nothing a student of human behavior should not know. We watch it happen – why do we not admit it?

Is enslavement to despotism less important than primitive music, amusements, sporting events or junk food? Recent news: a thief awarded \$50,000 because, the Judge said, force used to subdue him (bruises and a cracked skull) was excessive. Had he been asked nicely to leave and then seized an advantage to kill and rob in return for this kindness (as a drug addict may do) he would not have earned \$50,000. Is this a brilliant crime prevention idea?

Example: a USA 1980s item.

Extracts below are from a long letter by book author Chip Elliott, written in response to an *Esquire Magazine* article entitled "Fifty Million Handguns". His letter so impressed the editors they published it in the September 1981 issue as the cover story. Elliott's comments have growing, worldwide relevance in our society that ties its hands as it claims to fight crime.

Shortened for space saving:

"The streets of America...are as dangerous as the roads and alleys of an earlier century. ... I went to carrying a 9mm Smith & Wesson automatic in ten weeks. My wife is a psychiatrist. Very attractive, very easy to intimidate, very abstracted, a likely target for muggers both outside, because she's lost in her thoughts, and at home, because punks think doctors keep drugs in their houses (they don't). She has a gun, too, a .38, and she knows how to use it. We are not hillbillies: we are people who went to Radcliffe and Stanford, respectively. Appalling, huh? It used to appall us too, until we were forced to realize that our lives ... were worth protecting. ...

[1978] ... we moved to Los Angeles. ... all was not as it seemed in Venice. For starters, we had moved to the intersection of turfs of two rival Mexican gangs. We got along with them. When they shot at each other—as they did less than a week after we had moved in—they shouted to us in Spanish to get out of the way. We did. There were other clues. Walking, I would occasionally see brown spots on the sidewalk that, from my experience as a police reporter, I could recognize as bloodstains. I would notice this the way you might notice a scruff of feathers where something has gotten a small bird: a tiny memorial to violence.

One morning ... I watched a gang ... pour gasoline all over a parked car and set it on fire. ... Broad daylight. A few days later, I heard of a robbery two blocks from where we lived: A woman came to the door of a house and asked to use the telephone, said it was an emergency. When the man opened the door,

her henchmen came in right behind her. The three of them stabbed the man to death and left his wife barely alive. In the next block a woman was raped twice after her nose and jaw were broken.

*Just to be on the safe side ... bought a .38 snub-nosed revolver. After all, this was Los Angeles. But a gun! Who had ever owned a gun? It cost \$160. ... I would rather have bought a painting. ... we went to the Fox Venice to see *Forbidden Planet* ... When we returned, the door had been broken in. The stereo was gone, the television was gone, the paintings and cameras and typewriters were gone. The dressers had been turned over and ransacked, the bed had been torn up and the revolver taken; the birdcage had been torn off the wall and the parakeet set free for a while until the cat got it and ate it, leaving the remains on the floor where a rug had been. ...*

... On the seventeenth of December in 1978, I saw a woman mugged for her purse—and I watched her run screaming after her assailant until she collapsed, crying in the street.

On the eighteenth or nineteenth of December, my wife was at a meeting, ... I walked alone to the Venice Sidewalk Cafe for some dinner. It occurred to me that it was silly to put on a shoulder holster just to go out for a beer and a sandwich, but I did it anyway, ...

Walking home about six-thirty at night, just off the corner of West Washington Boulevard and Westminster Avenue, I was confronted by five young, well-dressed uptown brothers. Black. Okay. ... They could just as easily have been white. We were directly under a streetlight and less than fifty feet from an intersection thick with traffic.

I was not dressed as a high roller. ... I look like exactly what I am, a middle-aged guy who's seen a little more than he needs to see. I thought, what are these guys doing? Their leader pulled a kitchen knife out of his two-hundred-dollar leather jacket. His mistake was that he wasn't close enough to me to use it, only to threaten me. He smiled at me and said, "Just the wallet, man. Won't be no trouble."

That was a very long moment for me. I remember it just as it happened. I remember thinking at the time that it was one of those moments that are supposed to be charged with electricity. It wasn't. It was hollow, silent, and chilly.

I looked at this guy and at his companions and at his knife, and I thought: Don't you see how you're misreading me? I am not a victim. I used to be a victim, but now I'm not. Can't you see the difference?

I pulled the automatic, leveled it at them and said very clearly, "You must be dreaming." The guy smiled at me and said, "Sheeeit," and his buddies laughed, and he began to move toward me with the knife. I thought, this guy is willing to kill me for thirty-five dollars. I aimed the automatic at the outer edge of his left thigh and shot him.

He dropped like a high jumper hitting the bar and yelled "Goddamn!" three times, the first one from amazement, I guess, and the second two higher pitched and from pain. He yelled at his buddies, "Ain't you gonna do nothing?" They did do nothing.

... I was not coming on like James Bond, ... I was simply protecting my right to walk around town with a lousy thirty-five dollars in my pocket and not be afraid for my life. ... I felt terribly strange, ... I realized that what I was doing—in our current state of affairs—was a cultural procedure no different from going to the grocery or getting a haircut or buying a shirt. ... if I wanted to stay alive, it was possible I would have to get used to it. ...

What happened to us, ... My world changed sometime between 1975 and 1980, ... We were lucky. We lost more than eleven thousand dollars of what we owned, but we weren't killed. We adapted. Now the guns are a normal part of our lives. ...

... We accept it as a fact of life and go right on. And it will stay a fact of life until our fellow countrymen get it out of their heads that they can do as they please, that there is no such thing as social responsibility that they have a right not to behave. Because ..., if they have the right to mug us, we have the right to shoot them.

I used to believe that these people had some justifications on their side. I used to feel that I ought to have some compassion for them, and I did. I used to believe that a job and some credit would put them on the right path. It isn't true. I also used to believe that much of the human wreckage—the millions upon millions of people with emotional damage—could be repaired. That isn't true either. ...

Let's face it. ... not all of them are poor, not by a long shot." End quote.

So what was that you were saying about terrorism? I don't know if Chip was charged, probably not, but today he probably would be – its now sad if you kill an honest person – its murder if you kill a crook.

The above is a small sample of everyday events in 'western democracies'. Our culture is criminal and

criminals often have more protection under law than do honest people. Millions with emotional damage; that's our culture. Street crime kills and maims more people than common terrorism!

More soldiers may be lost to inoculations forced on them by their own government than to enemy action. Ideologues use mind virus deliberately to cause social disintegration? They have no care for consequences.

But those who do the dirty work are just the 'useful idiots' of manipulators. They believe the simple-minded ideas. Guns do not make those honest into criminals nor criminals honest.

"Mind Manipulation by People Shapers"

Mind manipulation is the real weapon of mass human destruction: fake 'human rights' and 'anti-discrimination' are its disguises!

It is idiotic, horrific and Government backed. Law helps blind and bind us to manipulation! Since our indoctrination to humanist ideas we have become pretenders to justice and compassion while becoming realists to accepting ever-growing crime, cruelty and contempt for human values – and, yes, we do still claim to be civilized. Had Chip explained the cause of the problem, would things now be different? No, he wouldn't have been published.

Note: Matthew 4:5-7 The devil: *"..throw yourself down; for scripture says, 'He will put his angels in charge of you, and they will support you in their arms, for fear you should strike your foot against a stone.'" Jesus: "Scripture says again, 'You are not to put the Lord your God to the test.'"*

We are given intelligence to behave intelligently; if we do not use our gifts then the consequences are our own. So many now follow Satan's tempting – why do so many expect God to clean up their mess?

Chapter 7: The war of all against all

When war is called peace and hate is called love, war becomes terrorism and truth becomes crime.

George Orwell (reformed communist) warned us of mass manipulation by deleting words or reversing their meanings! Alert readers must be becoming increasingly alarmed at talk of war, 'pre-emptive' strikes and virus threats that sound like anticipation of biological attack. The nature of war has changed and true national governments are long gone, you cannot

inoculate against an unknown virus but you can persuade people that you are protecting them while you poison them.

Powerful nations, now serve Global interests and war is now uncaring and contemptuous! Terrorism results from an unjust use of power by those who see a need to eliminate our polluting population. How they do this will be visible only in events. Terrorism helps their plans and creates excuse for corrupt law.

The following may be found disturbing! However, if we close our eyes to a danger, then we cannot guard against it. Ideas here are not newly discovered secrets nor made up by me, we deal with matters of established knowledge. Many have knowledge but few seek understanding – many are aware of danger but few consider consequences.

Lack of public concern results when real dangers get little publicity; we are sedated to believe that things of great national or human importance will be massively publicized, things that appear only as short, undramatized news we ignore. Be warned!

Weapons of Mass Destruction

Dr. Brock Chisholm, in his book, *"Can Society Keep Pace With Science"* lifted a veil back in 1946. He was in a position to see developments that are only now coming to public notice; quote: *"Any country reasonably free to visitors can be neutralised as an effective fighting force within a few weeks. If any government becomes convinced that it will have to fight any other country at any time it would be very foolish indeed not to neutralise that other country at once. Any realistic government can be counted on to take that action. A thousand or so of visitors could spread bacteria or toxins to paralyse any country's power of aggression."* End quote.

Are new diseases, or new strains of old diseases, chance created or results of experiments to develop weapons more dangerous than nuclear weapons? (See: AIDS – a politically protected disease). AIDS has already killed and disabled far more people than atomic weapons.

Will governments keep to international agreements? As Dr. Chisholm presents it, any nation that is seen as a 'possible' threat must be treated as deadly and 'neutralized'. A nation attacking secretly is, in this view justified – provided of course, that it is your nation.

We have no defense against paranoid aggressive leaders unless we exercise our right of self-

government, and in that, of course, we have failed so shamefully. The 'toads' in their ideological 'toad halls' easily agree with the nice Doctor: if you see someone is planning to attack you, then it makes sense to hit first. It is easy to see it that way but what he actually said was: *"If any government becomes convinced that it will have to fight any other country at any time".*

So where does it end – or, more urgently, begin?

When national governments are just global government stooges; when people elect politicians chosen by (and to serve) foreign interests, then where do our convictions originate?

We now have a New World Order.

Is there any nation today that might not be 'convinced' *that it will have to fight another*, or others? In this century we have already seen the pre-emptive strike scenario advocated and used; war is no longer fought with civilized honor, it is now mere international level criminal assault.

Do we see: leaders with access to weapons overwhelming in mass destruction, can claim existence of threat as excuse to destroy (in modern terms defenseless) nations? Or; alternatively, exploit other nations so long as they can be restrained in 'hit-back' ability? Is the only answer that the most powerful must eliminate all possible opponents? Just natural law; say humanists/evolutionists.

While the new moral attitude may appear reasonable to those confident of being with the strength, the possibilities for terrorism today are such that even those involved may not think of all options. Were civilians ever more vulnerable? I offer no list of targets but the balance between nations may not be entirely one-sided; despair can produce unexpected results.

The real potential of despair-based terrorism against the terrorism of public manipulation, is yet to be seen. When we live under a secretive world government; who has the power? Who, seriously studying world affairs, is not aware that our most powerful nation is being progressively and seriously undermined?

Many knowledgeable financial tipsters are seriously forecasting a MASSIVE crash of the US of A economy; a crash whose effects will flow, like a tsunami around the world? Yes the US of A could be facing such a financial crash that, in the not too distant future, it will no longer be the world's leading nation. If it happens, what do you think will be the cause?

The New World Order.

The *New World Order* is seldom news unless Globalists want it to be but, 62 years after the Chisholm statement we have an even newer world-order and today many nations able to produce toxins and deadly bacteria.

At the same time, in a fragile 'modern' culture, where great cities seldom have more than a few days food or fuel in reserve; where an electricity blackout can soon become a national disaster, simple tools may cause huge damage and loss of life.

With weapons easier produced than nuclear, an attack may be without warning or identification of the attacker. An attack by one can be blamed on another. This was the danger Chisholm foresaw but his defense strategy would, to be effective, need to have been carried out before smaller nations became alert to 'alternative weapons' potential and to their own desperate situation.

Today, many small nations will be fearful for their future and seeking weapons for defense – or revenge. So I ask you: "What government, if it can develop an effective genetic or biological weapon, may not do so now that the seed of fear is planted"? Who dares wait to see who is hit next?

Who will not place his weapons where a first strike will not harm them – in the enemy heartland! Who may feel forced to ever more desperate action? When national death seems imminent then national suicide, if mutually destructive of an enemy, may seem a bitter, even if futile, option of revenge. A deadly disease could be hidden in your city as an option for revenge by some vulnerable nation.

What CAN we DO?

A cheap, humane defense against terrorism would be to eliminate the cause of injustice and despair! But the earth trembles; the smell of fear rises; pre-emptive strike is policy; this philosophy of war is openly stated. Globalism feels well insulated. Even so, we still have a way to escape disaster.

We CAN reveal the evidence that we are not mere biological animals unable to distinguish between good and evil. We CAN explain, and expose to the world in a "realistic" way, that there is a Creator beyond all man-manipulated religions; that an incredible future is there for our choice!

We CAN choose and elect "own chosen" representatives to our parliaments. Having done this then neighbors, knowing "we the people" control our

government, will agree to abandon terrorist weapons – yes, they will agree – they too want to live.

We have the way! Do we have the will? If we are to survive we have to use the two-edged sword of truth: the sword that cuts both ways. If we do not use truth in human service, then we will find ourselves cut down by the truth of our inhumanity.

Oh yes! "Who is this Brock Chisholm M.D.?" Well he was the first director of the World Health Organization and lauded by the Humanist Association as Humanist of the year. He represents well, the amoral attitude and danger of humanism, and also those 'New World Order' dictators he was trying to motivate to futile crime.

Hunt for an Anthrax Killer:

How close to home is terror? In 2004 an hour-long T.V. program of a US investigation into the anthrax attacks in the USA, was released.

It is unlikely that national governments are involved with knowing organization of major terrorist events. People chosen as quislings are just too unreliable for jobs of this sensitivity but, the door for Globalist involvement is open.

The biological weapons warning was given by Dr. Chisholm in 1946; ample time to allow thinking through, detailing and planning – enough time for organizing 9/11; time for planning and review of consequences.

The TV anthrax program found the anthrax had come from heartland US bio-defense laboratories. This was uncovered by use of cutting-edge analytical equipment able to analyze genetic structure in such detail as to distinguish, not only between US anthrax and that said to be in the possession of Iraq, but even between laboratories.

As might be expected, with the CIA and other high-level investigators on the job, the trail to those actually responsible became a little muddier, it seems the culprit/s will remain free. I know of no later evidence to this day.

A leading commentator thought the culprits might be patriots who were unhappy with progress in bio-defense. If so these patriots were prepared to kill, and put American lives at risk for their cause. 'Sacrifice of homeland citizens for the greater good' is of dubious morality but not uncommon. Some may ask, "If they wanted to kill people why did they fail so miserably?" But why would they want to kill a lot of their own

people when they only want to 'fan the flames of hate and fear' to keep the commonalty behind the war effort?

As was suggested, it could have involved a small group of US patriots. Certainly we have been prepared for such by propaganda TV on the lines of crazy 'right wing' patriots.

While it is not uncommon for dissident cells to appear in organizations, we have to be suspicious when they exist in the secret services and heartland defense research organizations of leading nations. But recall: a famous president said, "*In politics, if it happens you can bet your life it was planned that way.*"

Dissident cells are allowed/helped to form in order to aid clandestine or illegal operations. If things go wrong these cells are disposable without much harm to the organization.

Such cells are too small to do much on their own but can be of vital service to clandestine operations, their formation is made easy by influence of humanist value-free education.

The skills shown; the cutting-edge level of science used; access; all rule out common terrorists as source of these attacks.

Here the probability of Globalist SS involvement borders on certainty but ... not allowed said on National TV. The plan best benefited the 'NWO' program will create fear, conflict and confusion.

So also with 9/11: use of aircraft as bombs as with success of the anthrax campaign, needed planning by true professionals with access to science, technology and facilities far beyond the reasonable reach of common terrorists or dissident cells working alone.

With the 'aircraft as bombs' strike, the importance of the mission and skills needed would forbid that success be left in the hands of inexperienced trainee pilots, such could only be used to secure the controls and follow simple orders to direct the aircraft into position for an auto-pilot system to fly the aircraft accurately and free of emotion to target.

Such operations need access to high-level technology able to create equipment and have access to aircraft.

They also need access to the flight programs of airlines and scheduling of aircraft (the right aircraft have to be at the right place when the hi-jack crews board) plus of course, access to a terrorist group to provide a front and do the dirty work.

These highly complex operations are not for amateurs. The only organization with secure international contacts, Secret Services and devious purposes, is Globalism itself.

So I hope readers saw the 'anthrax' program on TV and become aware that leading technology does not secure our safety.

Nuclear weapons technology quickly spread and, as we see, we are not safe from leading biological weaponry straight out of our own laboratories.

There is also a clear distinction between elitist and common terrorism. Elitist terrorism, no matter what form it takes, is expertly planned to achieve specific public-manipulation targets and, though planners have human frailties and can make mistakes, they reveal themselves by their technical sophistication.

If the anthrax attacks are the work of 'NWO' what we say will not worry them, they believe the public mind too tightly closed to be opened by simple revelation. Our reports only reinforce public credibility and reassure trusting minds that 'our' government is open and honest.

However, we know with certainty that we are enslaved by an inhuman philosophy designed to serve a criminal world government. We will only be secure when the commonalty understands and takes responsibility for its own governing. That's our challenge and all who distract us from it aid our enemies.

Quote: "*Knowledge will forever govern ignorance: and a people who mean to be their own governors, must arm themselves with the power which knowledge gives.*" James Madison, Former US President to W.T. Barry, 1822. It is high time to start thus arming ourselves for we now desperately need to govern ourselves.

"One of the saddest lessons of history is this: If we have been bamboozled long enough we tend to reject any evidence of the bamboozle. We are no longer interested in finding out the truth. The bamboozle has captured us. It's simply too painful to acknowledge even to ourselves that we have been taken. Once you give a charlatan power over you, you almost never get it back. So the old bamboozles tend to persist as new ones arise."

Carl Sagan.

Yes we have all been fooled! We go along with the mob! We all hate to admit it; but ask yourself, is your family and future important to you? It's not too late.

Robert Weston: *Let no man fear for the truth, that doubt may consume her; only he that would shut out his doubts denieth the truth.*

Chapter 8: The Humanist Consequence

Today most people in 'western' cultures believe we were created by chance in a universe that came into existence by -- No answer, "Big Bang from zero" explains no cause, no glimpse of what existed or where it came from.

Though both lack origin, Intelligent creation has secure evidence to back its progress as against a 'Chance Creation' that is made impossible by natural law. To accept the impossible we are led to ignore truth and think so many clever people cannot be so fooled for so long – but past civilizations, religions and cultures prove otherwise.

Emotion, pride and the comfort of peer group support may make fools of the most intelligent of this world. We only need look at the "Peer Group" program to see how effectively the simple idea of "gradualism" works as a principle to deceive self and society. That simple idea overcame intelligence and reversed logical understanding in our world today.

For instance: we now think that the frantic reaction against friendships between young and older people is because of a humane desire to protect the young from sexual abuse. The laws, we think, are for this purpose. But the young are so pressured by constant seduction of mass entertainment, education and community acceptance, that children become sexually mature years earlier than is natural – extreme cases as young as nine years.

These pressures force children to sexual activity irrespective of what the law may say. So the revulsion generated by thoughts of older people leading the young astray is clearly not to protect the young from sexual exploitation, nor about desire for normal, healthy development.

The truth behind this abuse of children by popular seduction (carried out constantly and blatantly and ignored by the community) is that it is part of the 'dumbing down' process whose purpose is to reduce or abort human intellectual development.

Law, along with the whipping up of emotions, is to protect that program. Law in this area is simply to give appearance of legality to the political need to protect the peer group segregation programme that is

vital to the political takeover. This quirk is quite visible in the application of these moral laws; only when one party is in an age "peer group" which may threaten the indoctrination; only then are community feeling whipped to fury of disgust. Child welfare is obviously not the reason for the law.

If peer groups were allowed to freely mix as intellectual development requires, the changing of adolescent attitude would be noticed; even if not, there would be a leveling out of attitudes and much of the effort put into changing outlook and feelings, generation by generation, would be lost.

Parents also threaten the work of change agents; that is explains the pressure to have young children in kindergarten; it is for sly denigration of parents. Deceit is made easier because the child, newly separated from the parents, feels deserted.

Human Intelligence:

All who want to make beneficial use of their given intellect must first learn to allow for, and give rational consideration to emotion. Humanist ideology and "chance creation". could only be successfully promoted with substitute of emotion for reason.

Ask yourself this: If those who planned and first promoted the chance creation theory really believed it, why would they be so frantic about having others believe it? If there is no intelligence behind our creation, no future beyond this life, then no benefit or loss comes from how we behave, we make the best of it in our own way.

Why should what anyone else believes, or does, be of concern? Why should the most fanatical be obeyed? Why should millions of people be maimed, tortured and murdered as in the USSR, Cambodia and elsewhere for philosophy, if life is meaningless?

Experience shows much of our social trouble: suicides, murders, road rage, terrorism, obnoxious behavior generally, and including rape and child molestation, is the logical consequence of linking belief that nothing matters to common confusion.

If those behind manipulation really believed what they say it would not matter to them what we individually believe or do? Who we kiss or kill is not going to make any difference.

Or is it that because they subconsciously doubt their beliefs and become frantic at the thought that others may make use of the wonderful opportunities of life while they miss out?

Is their attitude all just a result of intellectual weakness, pride and excess of jealousy?

So, if you think YOUR life is worth living, don't throw it away because of 'plausible' emotional raving. Life is a wonderful opportunity, it is worth the time to check out the logical reality.

Human development

Development of human intelligence is vital to our future; life is about creative achievement and creative achievement can only be based on truth. At present we only use a small part of our brainpower and there is no limit to discovery and enjoyment!

But creative development is not the whole advantage humanity has; we can have intellectual advance, caring, adventure, foresight and exploration. The awakening of our human potential is a process that is exciting beyond anything yet experienced.

We know some people are born strangely gifted. We also know that intellectual development can begin before birth and is helped by nutrition that helps physical development. A stimulating or challenging environment with mind challenging games, etc. helps.

Development is also advanced by "alone time" with encouragement to quiet contemplation and the learning process of thinking through ideas.

Research in development of human intelligence appears to be confined to private effort. Government deliberately discourages development of our huge untapped potential.

Regular readers will be more advanced and more interested so some may have read, experienced, or have contribution or suggestion that may stimulate discussion and help us start making headway in relation to this exciting, and urgent, need.

Natural human development should be a science-based pursuit. Truth is the name of our God in this life and truth means being sincere with what is true/correct/honest/accurate rather than with the lies, the dishonest the faulty, etc.

It may now be quite a leap of faith for many but even to accept our potential is to stimulate and expand our enthusiasm for intellectual development.

This is not just about cutting edge science. Though the robbery of our mind is by a sophisticated plan that has deformed our natural thinking ability, we can still have access to basic knowledge as a result of

combining learning to read with natural curiosity about life and creation. Today the child that learns to read before going to school has a huge advantage over its dumbed-down classmates but many find the school system too discouraging.

True science is a marriage of logic and evidence! The keys to our political, cultural and health problems are visible in the marriage of emotion and lies promoted for our entertainment.

The cure is public exposure. No logic or science has been offered to challenge the science or logic presented here because 'plausible' explanations are not science. We can never gain our social ideal without dedication to truth: that is the law of the creation of which we are part.

So now let us look at our social behavior under influence of Humanist religion!

Politics of danger

How was it that Humanist Ideology became, almost instantly, a world-wide movement if it was not well planned and funded?

As we have seen it has been under planning for at least one hundred years. Most of this time was needed to prepare the groundwork, infiltrate and manipulate power blocks and keep certain critical information from the public mind.

We see evidence of this from quoted 'insiders' who well understand the public reluctance to avoid involvement.

Who does not know that our leaders lie to us so why can we not see they serve alien interests? Readers here now know how it was done and why; what is the plausible explanation needed by those still unable to face reality?

Terrorism is horrific but, for these abused, no more than a response of last resort. It is trivial compared to the secret, silent, scientific, mind-warp program designed to deform human behavior and bring crime, terror, war and death to civilization?

Our worry should not be about mice creating nuclear bombs to challenge the super powers, rather we need concern about science producing diseases that neither care nor discriminate.

Can any weapon of terror be worse than the plot to deform intellect and delete our birthright of human development?

Humanism is not bad because it claims to promote false caring but because it blinds us to what we need care about; the more we care about the irrelevant the less we learn about what we should care about.

They are clever and careful with words: “*how do you feel about that*” (you must feel, not think). They continually promote feelings. ‘*Political correctness*’ demands feel-good intentions! Don’t think, ‘*get your head around it* = accept it.

We are soothed by double talk! “Making *convincing* progress” means they want to ‘convince’ us they are making progress against evil ‘poverty’ and “plan to *make a difference*”, but of what kind?

Mindless feel-good intentions carry a cost beyond human ability to pay! Vengeful terrorists never dream of ruining so many lives as are ruined by politically correct philosophy!

World leaders rave against lethal terrors to manipulate people into war and the chaos of mutually accepted ignorance. We surrender our rights to ‘Caesar’ and do not see that Caesar is our dangerous burden.

Manipulation of the public mind would not be possible in an advanced culture because people living in any advanced culture would be self-governing and enlightened about manipulation by emotion and deceit. That we are not so enlightened is conclusive evidence of corrupt, world wide, manipulative government.

Humanism is not a biological virus or poison gas; it is a sweet-tasting feel-good, scam of ideology that is rotting the very fabric of an innocently trusting human intellect – it is a little scroll of writing that tastes sweet to emotions but sickens when swallowed. (Revelation 10: 8-10. See Book 3.)

It is robbery of the pathetic poor by the obscene rich. It is unaffordable insurance; deformed medical care; corrupt law! Because we are taught to think emotionally, we are blind to cause and affect. Human rights turn to injustice! Our hearts care but we pay others to tell us what to care about.

No law can reverse morality or change truth. How many teenagers now believe all ideas are equal, that our responsibility is to get what we want from a meaningless life or become ‘losers’? Parents cry for children who treat them with contempt without ever knowing why?

Matthew 16: 19-20 “... *what you forbid on earth shall be forbidden in heaven, and what you allow on*

earth will be allowed in heaven. A frightening thought? But wait! If creation’s plan is that we develop in the rational intellect of our creator we will then know our true nature and then be trust-worthy?

Our problems are within our ability to understand and fix, if we just care enough to make the effort. We are not really so stupid as we try to believe: just pathetically “dumbed-down”.

Chapter 9: Who Will Speak

*In this atomic tyranny
Of blood and brains, who frames his speech
To leave both friend and foe so free
That manhood is evoked in each?*

*Who, in this bewildered hour
Of barbed intellect and strife,
Can act out of the heart’s full power,
Can forge and wield the fine edged knife*

*Of steeled and tempered consciousness,
To utter words so true and sharp
That they can cut the emptiness, --
Can pierce like tones plucked from a harp.*

*This stagnant moil of reeking air,
This farce of unreality,
That dupes the people to despair
And soddens their humanity?*

*Who can aim the heart’s blade true
And cleave the tawdry veil asunder,
With all his human statue, who
Can speak with lightning and with thunder, --*

*Can walk erect, wide eyed with death,
And walking, foil the wraiths of terror
Can summon thoughts with living breath
To grapple with the hordes of error?*

*And when the faithful are accused,
The wise deserted and denied,
When the humble are abused,
The free beset from every side.*

*Who will speak in such an hour,
Who, in face of shame and death,
Will act out of the heart’s full power,
Speak the truth with living breath.*

Arvia Mackaye Ege

Who will speak! A very appropriate question at this time! Where will we find those who love truth and

know its importance? The 'hard' sciences, those that demand we bow to principles of truth or mathematical accuracy, should be a place to look. Sports, though we may think them frivolous because their low value to human development, are also bound by disciplines of mind and scientific training.

Mathematics is said to be the ultimate proof of scientific theory but despite scientific posturing by evolutionists, evolution does not have that backing; competent mathematicians have long disproved the theory and Globalism downplays science in culture.

So do we accept that international brainwashing has wiped out much of our ability for true analytical thinking, or do we assume ourselves incapable of common sense?

So what is the key to simple truth? As a child my grandfather told me this story. *"When I was a boy I used to think it smart to tell lies until one day I overheard a conversation where one man said to another, "X is a good man, you can always rely on what he tells you as being true" "Right then I thought I would like people to say that about me and determined to be truthful from then on."*

It may seem trivial but it often needs just a small insight to change a life.

A few years later I read a story about the English King Harold. Harold had a friend who was a witch and, when William the Conqueror invaded England, she decided to ensure Harold's victory by creating a banner for him embroidered with all the signs for luck and success.

Time was short so she gathered the village maidens and, working day and night, finished it just in time to give Harold as he set off with his army.

Then, after a good night's sleep, as she sat musing by the pond with the ducks and frogs, an awful truth suddenly dawned on her.

She was really in love with Harold and had committed the greatest sin of witchcraft; she had not allowed for the bias this would create. So all her designs for good would turn bad and her victory banner would bring defeat. It was too late to warn Harold and, as history shows, the bad luck was his and he was killed.

Now whatever we may think of witches the facts remain, if you want your plans to work well you must respect truth. If you let your desires deform the truth then the logic of your planning is corrupted. Elementary: yes, but its as simple as that.

It is not that you love; it is that you do not love enough to sacrifice simple earthly desires to truth.

Truth is essential to good planning and bias of it is easily seen in family situations; many children would be so much better served if parents saw behavior with honest eyes.

Humans were given two things that make us fundamentally different from other animals.

A/ We have a brain that gives us power to be both self-aware and to develop the insight to create for self-control and life.

B/ We have a body designed to manipulate the articles we create; we are able to build memory storage and advance without foreseeable end.

What we must learn and remember is that human life is a creation of truth of order bound with love. For success we must love truthful understanding.

The whole of the Creation is constructed in the truth of order and each creation reflects attributes of its creator. Reading the Christian Gospels with honest eyes reveals this along with the accumulated human error of its maintenance.

Love inspired our creation and by diligent devotion to eternal truth the order of all that was created was constructed. Love is your inspiration and truth your order of construction; any deformity of truth by love, pride or prejudice will corrupt design.

Those who work in the hard sciences should therefore look carefully to their huge responsibilities in relation to social order for, as social order creates the foundation for our intellectual achievement, a collapse of social order endangers humanity.

All involved with mathematics should long ago have backed-up those who pointed out the faults in chance creation; all intellectual disciplines should, long since, have exposed the deceit of a philosophy of culture without truth. Egoists have no power to change reality nor does analytical intelligence expose any right to try.

The Challenge of Complexity and the futility of chance:

Looking at latest research into DNA – the double helix – the built in controls that make life possible – it must become obvious to any who have learned to use their analytical powers that life could not begin until this remarkable system had been designed; the procedure for creation had to be designed before the creation of life could begin.

This truly complex program for development of intelligent life could not begin before the principles for its organization were understood.

The principles of order have to be understood before design. From primary elements of procedure life is created. Will some scientist explain to me how the uneducated primitive who set down the words of the Bible knew (by chance) that the WORD (i.e. plan) had to come before anything was created?

My writing has attempted to explain the need for intelligent design without connection to groups on any similar path. However an article in the Natural History magazine which is the voice of *The American Museum of Natural History*, (April 2002) featured a special report, *"Intelligent Design"*. This provided three examples arguing for and three replies against. I need comment only on the first.

Michael J. Behe. Quote: *Every living cell contains many ultra sophisticated molecular machines. How can we decide whether Darwinian natural selection can account for the amazing complexity that exists at the molecular level? Darwin himself set the standard when he acknowledged; "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down."*

Here we see that Darwin is not an analytical thinker or he would not have used a meaningless statement to back up his life's work. Obviously there is no creation that could not be "formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications"; the meaningful question is; could these occur by chance?

It is useless to look at a finished product and say this could have happened in this or that way! Anyone can look at a great painting and say "I could have done that". Of course you could, if you had the skills and the inspiration. But you don't, you didn't, and so you couldn't have.

Michael Behe uses a mousetrap as example of intelligent design because, until all its parts are assembled, it is useless as a mousetrap. His counterpart argues that each part has some use in its own right.

Behe has a valid point but, having failed to analyze it to a logical conclusion (or exclusion) it can be countered by equally weak argument.

The alphabet of reality: Let's put it this way: books are mainly composed of letters of the alphabet every

one of which is used to help express countless different ideas. The adaptability of neither alphabet nor genes is relevant to the means of creation. However both need foresight of use before either are designed and created.

Evolutionists claim every book could be written by chance. In endless mixing, a 'T' could find itself in front of 'H' and later an 'E' could form THE. In this way words could be formed and by similar chance the word 'AFTER' might find itself in front of 'THE' and in this way intelligent sentences could appear; each word is valid in its own right. They call this 'plausible'! IS it?

What is so convenient to ignore is that, in the never-ending random shuffle, the T&H are more likely to be separated before the E is added in its correct place. Also each word alone has no survival advantage and every student interested in the subject will most likely have already found out that every living creature has genes that may, or may not, be useful to it in any existing life situation.

Life-form efficiency is maintained by the nature of chance to randomly create genetic DAMAGE. If a damaged gene is of use to the life-form in its present environment, those with this gene damaged will be disadvantaged, less competitive, eliminated; the viability of the life-form is preserved.

On the other hand if the gene damaged by chance is of no value to the life-form in its environment, then any load of useless genes is reduced and in time that gene would be eliminated from all members; once eliminated it is never known, by chance to be restored; even if it comes to be needed at a later stage.

The above process, called specialization has been long understood. SO, whether we are talking of books or life-forms or mouse traps, the principles apply. Life is based on intellectual principles more basic to life than the human genome or alphabet or bits of wood or steel. Someday, after we start again to use our intelligence, we will layout and learn, all these principles.

You see why we need deal with only one question and answer. Evolution theory has been disproved over and over in so many ways that it seems almost a waste of time to express any variation of argument; there are quite a number in my work now. So long as people believe, without question, criminal authorities, then evidence and logic are irrelevant to them.

Chance is a destroyer not a builder and neither chance nor natural selection can operate until there is a

creation to provide options for chance and selection to operate on. These destroyers and preservers are very important but they have no intelligence, they cannot foresee future need and are not creative in nature.

When our community is persuaded to open its mind it will see that there is no alternative; life could only be created by intelligence. It also leaves the honest to admit that when Jesus said "I am truth; no one comes to the father except by me" He knew what he was saying even if we did not understand Him.

He told us (though most fear to admit it) that He represents truth and no one can know our Creator or the creation, except by searching for the truth of what has been created: the truth of unbiased science. To understand the scientific reality of the creation we must first open our minds to it.

In the same way religions could not face the challenge of Galileo, the reality did not fit with their preferred image of the universe which had been set firmly in dogma. Pride forbade that they admit error so their image for victory turned to defeat.

For the same reason they later chose to evade the truth for the humanist "emotional" philosophy based on love in preference to truth despite that He also foretold that worldly wisdom would be refuted.

Criminals now run the world. Religions, bound in dogma, are still too proud to admit error, law cringes before worldly power and social science joins religion. Those who do not doubt themselves have no faith in truth.

Finally: Albert Einstein: *"the significant problems we face cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them."*

We are creating problems because our intellectual capacities are deformed. We passed a vital crossroads in human development, we need to return to our natural abilities: take the road called "logical intelligent reasoning".

This is Book 1 of a 5 book series, To read the whole of life story continue with books 2, 3, 4, 5. at:
www.gniebxbeing.org.uk